Problems with the Windsor-Essex County Health Unit’s Oral Health Report 2018 and statements made by Acting Medical Officer of Health Dr. Wajid Ahmed on Dec. 17 2018 in Windsor

Christine Massey, M.Sc. Fluoride Free Peel
Bashash et al. study: Prenatal fluoride exposure & ADHD symptoms in children in Mexico City

October 2018

“...experts from University of Toronto, York University, National Institute of Public Health of Mexico, University of Michigan, Indiana University, University of Washington and Harvard School of Public Health...”

“Higher levels of urinary fluoride associated with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children

“Our findings are consistent with a growing body of evidence suggesting that the growing fetal nervous system may be negatively affected by higher levels of fluoride exposure”

-- lead author Dr. Morteza Bashash

“...builds off of previous research the team published on this population demonstrating that higher levels of urine fluoride during pregnancy are associated with lower scores on tests of IQ and cognition in the school-age children”

Earlier study from Bashash et al.
Prenatal fluoride exposure & lower IQ

Fluoride exposure in utero linked to lower IQ in kids, study says

September 19, 2017

CNN | September 19, 2017

Research led by Dalla Lana’s Howard Hu finds a correlation between higher fluoride levels and lower IQ scores in children. Read more.


Study:  https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/doi/10.1289/ehp655
“The levels of fluoride among pregnant women living in fluoridated communities in Canada were similar with levels reported in a prior study of pregnant women living in Mexico City...”

“This finding is concerning because prenatal exposure to fluoride in the Mexican sample has been associated with lower IQ in children.”

-- Christine Till, lead author


Study: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412018311814
Dr. Ahmed responds to question from Councillor Kusmierczyk re the studies:

"...this document is recently prepared by Public Health Ontario, our scientific body, and it touches on, specifically on those studies, and I would just say that those studies, and I'm quoting verbatim..."


**Problem**: PHO’s evidence review only covers literature published as of May 10, 2017 (see pg 11); Bashash et al. studies were published later; Dr. Ahmed misled Council

Public Health Ontario's

Discussion and Conclusion

This report is a summary of the evidence published since the 2010 Health Canada fluoride document to May 10, 2017 about the adverse health effects of optimally controlled fluoridated water, including the effects when mixed with infant formula.

Neurobehavioral effects

Two primary studies, one in New Zealand\textsuperscript{29} and another in the US\textsuperscript{18}, as well as one grey literature report\textsuperscript{42} assessed the neurobehavioral effects of fluoridated water.


Public Health Ontario's
Evidence Review for Adverse Health Effects of Drinking
Optimally Fluoridated Water (2010-2017)

46 references; none with “fluoride” or “fluoridation” in the title.
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Feeling reassured?

Feeling reassured?
Public Health Ontario’s actual review of the 2017 Bashash et al. IQ study

“Article Review on “Prenatal Fluoride Exposure and Cognitive Outcomes in Children at 4 and 6–12 Years of Age in Mexico”

Does not contain the quote read by Dr. Ahmed.

Does contain the following, not disclosed by Dr. Ahmed:

"Previous research in the area of fluoride exposure and neurological outcomes during childhood has often been limited by small sample sizes and/or ecological study designs. The study by Bashash et al. is a considerable improvement over previous research...”

Had Public Health Ontario reviewed the 2018 Bashash et al. ADHD study, at the time of the Windsor meeting?

No, according to their website
WECHU, Dr. Ahmed, WF promoters encourage decision makers to experiment with developing brains & bodies of fetuses, infants, children.

None appear to exist:

- a single chronic toxicity study of the fluoridation agent HFSA
- a single double blind randomized controlled study of f’ed water
- a single observational study controlling for all potential confounding variables
- or even experimental proof that HFSA dissociates 100% in tap water as they claim

WF promoters dismiss studies that raise concern (i.e. Bashash et al.) while relying on studies of lesser quality & encourage decision makers to conflate the absence of perfect studies demonstrating harm with "proven safe with decades of research".
“The “no touch” screening is done by a Registered Dental Hygienist. A ten to thirty second visual inspection of the child’s mouth is conducted with the aid of a sterilized mouth mirror and a light source.”

Health Canada on Dental Fluorosis: Caused by Fluoride Overexposure

“a permanent hypomineralization of tooth enamel due to fluoride-induced disruption of tooth development... in people with high exposure... occurs only when exposure to fluorides happens during tooth formation”

Dental Fluorosis

Public Health attitude: “Not an adverse effect”; publish fraudulent / misleading reports that hide actual prevalence

Photo Source: Dr. Hardy Limeback, BSc, PhD (Biochemistry), DDS, former Head, Preventative Dentistry, University of Toronto (18 years), Former President, Canadian Association for Dental Research, author and editor a textbook in preventive dentistry (Comprehensive Preventive Dentistry – Wiley-Blackwell, June 2012), chosen to serve on the National Academy of Science’s committee that produced the 2006 report Fluoride in Drinking Water.

Appendix B, page 44:

“Fluorosis (a cosmetic alteration of the appearance of the tooth enamel) is associated only with areas that have exceeded the recommended concentration of fluoride in the drinking water.”

Executive Summary, page 8:

“Between 2011/2012 and the 2016/2017 school year, there were no instances of moderate or severe fluorosis in children screened.”

Table 8, page 29:

| Fluorosis Index – moderate or severe fluorosis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |


*At school entry (kindergarten).
Dr. Hardy Limeback, BSc, PhD (Biochemistry), DDS

• Former Head, Preventative Dentistry, University of Toronto (18 years)
• Former President, Canadian Association for Dental Research
• Author & editor of a textbook in preventive dentistry (Comprehensive Preventive Dentistry – Wiley-Blackwell, June 2012)
• Chosen to serve on the National Academy of Science’s committee that produced the 2006 report *Fluoride in Drinking Water.*
Comment from Dr. Limeback re: WECHU’s report on dental fluorosis

“Ask Dr. Ahmed and WECHU why would they say there was no dental fluorosis when at kindergarten age there are no permanent teeth to look at??

Tables 7 & 8 show clearly that they missed all expected dental fluorosis by looking at children only at age of school entry.

Everyone in the rest of the world looks at age 12 to 16 for dental fluorosis in permanent teeth.

There was no attempt to look at kids at that age for fluorosis.

Are they passing off looking at primary teeth as being the same as permanent teeth (which have not shown up yet)??
Comment from Dr. Limeback re: WECHU’s report on dental fluorosis

“Studies in the US were all done on healthy kids.

When you look at kids who have protein or calcium deficiency (outside the US) there was lots of moderate and severe fluorosis at low levels of fluoride, including 0.7 ppm.

There are plenty of kids in Windsor Essex county who are poor and likely with low nutrition.”
Comment from Dr. Limeback re: WECHU’s report on dental fluorosis

“Please show them this graph showing prevalence of severe enamel fluorosis by water fluoride concentration (mg/L), from our NRC report.”

FIGURE 4-3 Prevalence of severe enamel fluorosis at the person level by water fluoride concentration, permanent teeth, age <20 years, communities outside the United States.
Children <6 years & especially <2 years have poor control of swallowing reflex $\rightarrow$ increased risk for dental fluorosis

So use only a pea-sized bit of toothpaste

Supervise them and have them spit it out

One gram of toothpaste has approx. 1 mg of fluoride

A pea-sized bit of toothpaste is approx. 0.25 g toothpaste (and therefore contains approx. 0.25 mg fluoride)
Problem: Fluoridated Water

In fluoridated water with 0.70 mg fluoride per litre (aka 0.7 ppm)... 

...each 350 ml, or 0.35 litre, of water contains:

$$0.35 \text{ L} \times 0.70 \text{ mg/L} = 0.245 \text{ mg fluoride}...$$

...the same dose found in a pea-sized bit of F toothpaste that everyone agrees must be spit out by young children due to increased risk of dental fluorosis... and call poison control if they swallow >0.25 mg