



**Case ref: 1409 - FOI Purification of SARS-COV-2 and Variants (CF)**

2 messages

Information Rights <InformationRights@ukhsa.gov.uk>

Wed, Oct 27, 2021 at 3:49 AM

[Redacted]

The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) has taken responsibility for requests previously addressed to Public Health England (PHE), your request was assessed based on the information held by PHE at the time of receipt.

In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), I can confirm that UKHSA does not hold the information as specified by your request.

We have provided information regarding the isolation of Sars-Cov2 that we hold, you may be able to find further sources from other organisations.

Under Section 16 of the Act, a public authority has a duty to provide advice and assistance. Accordingly, we have provided further information below that you may wish to view.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus has been identified by electron microscopy, which uses high resolution to visualise virus particles directly in specimen, as well as culturing in a laboratory. Evidence of the Electron Micrograph is available at the following link: <https://publichealthmatters.blog.gov.uk/2021/02/05/what-do-we-know-about-the-new-covid-19-variants/>

Viruses are not independent living entities. They require a host cell substrate to replicate. Isolation of any virus without any medium therefore is not possible. The medium provides suitable growing environment for the virus in the absence of a human or other host animal. These media and any products added are all sterile and do not contain additional genetic material.

You may be interested in the following information on the COVID-19 variants:  
[https://github.com/phe-genomics/variant\\_definitions](https://github.com/phe-genomics/variant_definitions)  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/new-sars-cov-2-variant>

A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

Yours sincerely,

Information Rights Team  
UK Health Security Agency  
[InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk](mailto:InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk)  
[www.gov.uk/ukhsa](http://www.gov.uk/ukhsa) Follow us on Twitter @UKHSA



\*\*\*\*\*  
The information contained in the Email and any attachments is confidential and intended solely and for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). It may not be disclosed to any other person without the express authority of the UKHSA, or the intended recipient or both. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not disclose, copy, distribute or retain this message or any part of it. This footnote also confirms that this Email has been swept for computer viruses by Exchange Online Protection, but please re-sweep any attachments before opening or saving. UK Health Security Agency -(<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/uk-health-security-agency>)  
\*\*\*\*\*

[Redacted]

Wed, Oct 27, 2021 at 8:47 AM

To: Information Rights <InformationRights@ukhsa.gov.uk>


Greetings,

| I can confirm that UKHSA does not hold the information as specified by your request.

You confirmed that SARS-COV-2 has never been isolated and purified directly from a human. You have confirmed that the UKHSA does not have direct proof of the existence of SARS-COV-2.

Without direct evidence of the existence of SARS-COV-2, all additional information provided is nothing more than speculation if not fraudulent information.

A demonstration of scientific fraud is where virologists redefine the word isolation to mean mixture (cell culture). Virologists never isolate SARS-COV-2 as they would be able to provide a purification score if they did so and of course you would have provided me with records (see my FOIA).



This image does not show purified anything. You cannot make any claims about what is in this photo other than there seems to be dead and dying cell material. Also, this image has been photostopped as EM's are black and white. And furthermore, the red dots could be ANYTHING (EV, Exosomes, etc).

| They require a host cell substrate to replicate. Isolation of any virus without any medium therefore is not possible.

If viruses existed, YOU should be able to isolate and purify viruses directly from humans, plants, and animals as we do with other nano-meter sized particles such as bacterial phages and exosomes. Common methods for isolating and purifying such nano-meter sized particles are:

- Ultra Centrifugation
- Ultra Filtration
- Immunoaffinity / Chromatography
- Precipitation

Virologists' cell culture experiments are not valid methods of purification. Because a virologists' cell culture is a mixture of foreign host cells, plus toxic chemicals (anti-anti), RNA containments (FBS), and starvation (reduced nutrient solution).

Regarding [A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019](#),

- Does not purify SARS-COV-2 directly from patient samples
- Uses UTM onto the patient samples contaminating the samples before the experiment even begins
- Uses the same fraudulent cell culture experiment as other virologists
- **Does not have valid controls which disqualifies this paper as valid science**
- EM photos are not of purified SARS-COV-2 (confirmed by the author).

If virologists did valid control experiments, they would discover they could produce CPE in cell cultures without the alleged virus. Actually, Enders did a valid control in his first cell culture experiment and he wrote the following from 'Propagation in Tissue Cultures of Cytopathogenic Agents from Patients with Measles. (21073):

**As control antigens, fluids were taken from uninoculated cultures maintained under the same conditions as well as fluids from cultures of the agent producing changes superficially similar to those caused by the measles agents and which are mentioned below. These ma-**

Clearly, he was able to reproduce CPE in his control by just doing the process. Since his control output was the same as his experimental output, he clearly was not able to demonstrate the effects of the alleged measles virus (as his cell culture experiment would only be inference not causation). So instead of realizing his virus hypothesis was invalid, he stopped doing control experiments.

At this point in history, it is obvious that viruses do not exist according to science. This has happened throughout history. The best example is the misunderstanding of scurvy. I highly suggest that the UKHSA reads the [history of scurvy](#) to discover what happens when scientists and medical doctors have a flawed understanding of microbiology.

Viruses do not exist scientifically. Therefore, all measures that the UK government is taking are based on a 150 year assumption which we can rightfully throw away. You are unnecessarily hurting everyone by instilling fear in them, summarily removing their rights, and coercing them into an unnecessary and harmful treatment which is morally reprehensible.

It should be your highest priority to check the sources and read the papers asking critical questions. You obviously failed and have provided me with bad science.

Regards

[Quoted text hidden]

--