

Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com> To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

see my response on your posting.

https://principia-scientific.com/open-letter-to-steve-kirsch-january-10-2022/#comment-65276

thank you for agreeing to rehash this YET AGAIN since once was not enough

please send dates and times after Jan 27 to the address in the reply

You can challenge Sabine and others to their face.

Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 5:30 PM



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 6:29 PM

To: Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>, stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com

Hi Steve,

I was confused by your "YET AGAIN" reference since this is the 1st email I've ever received from you (to my knowledge), but now I see that you are on the Doctors for Covid Ethics email list. So I take it you've seen some of my previous exchanges and the challenges that I posed to everyone on that list to prove the existence of any disease-spreading "virus".

Sabine Hazan was the only one on that list who offered me any allegedly scientific papers, and as you can hopefully see they did not meet the challenge. Tests that are only designed to detect a tiny genetic sequence (the finer details of which Sabine refused to share with me) and fabricated "genomes" that have never been shown to correspond to anything in the physical realm are not proof of a "virus".

Are you claiming that they are? Does "your expert" Sabine still insist that they are? Do either of you insist that any in silico "SARS-COV-2 genome" has ever been shown to correspond to a physical thing?

Steve, you might recall that I asked you a question in my Open Letter:

"Regarding the expensive products that you promote and refer to as "virus" to your readers, have you ever read the descriptions of these products to find out what they actually contain?"

Are you willing to answer? I'd be happy to publish your response.

Judging by your email and the comment you left under my Open Letter, I take it you are inviting me to a **5 hour zoom call** with you and "your experts", including Sabine Hazan. Steve, a **5 hour zoom call** is not something I'm even a little bit interested in, with anyone, let alone people who publish blatant anti-science and come unhinged when I point out obvious flaws in their publications.

I will agree to this though: have one of "your experts" Sabine, Robert Malone, James Lyons-Weiler or Li-Meng Yan send me any paper that they believe proves the existence of the alleged disease-spreading virus, "SARS-COV-2". Or any other virus. Or, **you** could start thinking for yourself and send me a paper that **you** actually believe proves such a thing.

Keep In mind that a proof must not rely on any unsubstantiated claims (i.e. "we know what coronaviruses look like").

I am only 1 woman and can't spend every waking hour responding to every wild claim that is sent my way, and I've already wasted many hours responding to Peter McCullough, you and now Dr. Mercola. So 1 or 2, or maximum 3 papers. What do you say?



Steve Kirsch January 18. 2022 at 10:14 pm | #

Since you are an expert on the topic, let me know when you'd like to accept a 5 hour zoom call so you can show the world that my experts all got it wrong. This will be fun. You can prove that there has never been a virus and other fun things like that.

Please supply at least 3 different dates/times

Email your request to stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com

We look forward to chatting with you.

Renh

Best wishes, Christine

On Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 5:30 PM Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com> wrote: [Quoted text hidden]



Steve Kirsch (request) <stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com> Reply-To: "Steve Kirsch (request)" <stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com> To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>, Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com> Thu, Jan 20, 2022 at 11:47 AM

This issue keeps coming up. I think we should do a five hours zoom call to resolve it yet again. Are you willing to do that or not?

Sent from ProtonMail for iOS [Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Steve Kirsch (request)" <stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com> Thu, Jan 20, 2022 at 12:11 PM

There is zero need for a **5 hour zoom call**.

I'm quite willing to engage IN WRITING. I already made that clear. Take it or leave it.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Steve Kirsch (request)" <stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com>

Fri, Jan 21, 2022 at 9:32 AM

Hi Steve,

I see that you are **misrepresenting** the email exchange that we had.

Here are our communications. Nowhere were the following indicated:

- if I'm done early, we end early

- that I accused you of anything
- that I could bring as many people on my side as I want
- that you're fine with a shorter zoom call

I'm in communication now regarding some possible dates/times that could work for "my side", plus proposed "rules of engagement".

I will be in touch.

Christine [Quoted text hidden]



Steve Kirsch (request) <stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com> Reply-To: "Steve Kirsch (request)" <stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com> To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> Fri, Jan 21, 2022 at 11:24 AM

Excellent! Let me know your terms and why my proposed debate format is unacceptable.

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

------ Original Message ------[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Steve Kirsch (request)" <stevekirsch-request@protonmail.com> Fri, Jan 21, 2022 at 11:48 AM

How many times do I have to explain this to you, Steve?

You proposed a 5 hour zoom call between you and "your experts" versus me.

You only mentioned **to your readers, but not to me**, willingness for anything shorter or for me to also be joined by "my experts".

So I quite rationally said "No". [Quoted text hidden]