



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

debate the virus isolation issue?

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 1, 2022 at 9:36 PM

To: "Peter A. McCullough" <peteramccullough@gmail.com>

Hi Dr. McCullough,

I see that you and some of your colleagues are challenging anyone to a public debate on "covid".

<https://drtrozzi.org/2022/01/29/the-way-out-of-the-pandemic-and-a-new-beginning/>

Would you be willing to debate the existence of "the virus"? The 4 of you, versus 4 on the "no virus" side?

Christine



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

debate the virus isolation issue?

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 2, 2022 at 5:17 PM

To: "Peter A. McCullough" <peteramccullough@gmail.com>, Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>

Hi Gentlemen,

I see that today Steve is **indicating** you would like to discuss, but only with people you deem worthy based on your idea of qualifications.

Question: why not simply debate the people Steve hounded for a debate in recent weeks... the people he keeps writing about... the people most well known on the "virus isolation/existence" topic... the people that the public wants to see you debate?

And will you disclose to the public what qualifications you deem necessary for entry into a "virus isolation/existence" discussion?

Best wishes,
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

debate the virus isolation issue?

Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>

Wed, Feb 2, 2022 at 8:54 PM

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Cc: "Peter A. McCullough" <peteramccullough@gmail.com>, "Mathew Crawford (crawford.mathew@gmail.com)" <crawford.mathew@gmail.com>

Christine, I asked for people who had experience (at a minimum buy things from ATCC). There are 1,310 comments in response to my ask.

<https://stevekirsch.substack.com/p/does-anyone-want-to-debate-does-the>

If you can find someone who wants to debate you in the comments, please let me know.

The last time I looked, nobody who was qualified responded. I made an honest effort to find someone to do this and nobody was interested.

Perhaps you can reach out to virologists who work as Professors at universities to debate this.

This is not a topic I'm interested in resolving since my focus is on stopping the vaccines, not debating whether a virus has been isolated per your definition.

I couldn't find anyone in my group who agreed with you and none wanted to spend time on this. sorry.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

debate the virus isolation issue?

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 2, 2022 at 9:43 PM

To: Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>, "Peter A. McCullough" <peteramccullough@gmail.com>

Cc: "Mathew Crawford (crawford.mathew@gmail.com)" <crawford.mathew@gmail.com>, Roger Hodkinson <roger@hodkinson.ca>, updates@drtrozzi.org

Steve, since when does purchasing history have anything to do with intelligence, insight, or appropriateness for debate? One could easily argue that in fact people who purchase so-called "virus isolates" are the last people you should be debating on this topic.

I'm not looking for someone in the comments, I'm asking **you, Dr. McCullough and your colleagues**. And Dr. McCullough's colleagues who say **"our team is willing to have a public debate on covid-19 with anyone - any takers?"**

Actually I've now noticed that your **"application form"** doesn't even have a category for the virus isolation topic. Interesting.

Just a couple of weeks ago you were **dead set** on debating this topic, with **me specifically**. And you moaned to your readers that I wasn't willing, when in fact you had made a ridiculous all-or-nothing offer of a **5 hour debate, me versus your entire team**. Then you played your little trick, pretending that I had been ignoring your question about "measles virus" when in fact I'm already clearly on record as saying it doesn't exist, and you only asked me the question once and I answered you within 11 minutes. It's all **documented** on my website.

This isn't about my "definition", and you know it. We all know that "isolation" to a virologist means the opposite of it's usual meaning. **It's about whether or not "the virus" has been shown to exist**. And I certainly never asked or needed you to find anyone who agrees with me.

It doesn't take a genius to see that you are simply making excuses to save face over the fact that you've run away from debating with Dr. Kaufman, Dr. Cowan, Drs. Bailey, myself, etc. Dr. McCullough is already on record as having **failed repeatedly** to prove the existence of "the virus", and his significant financial ties to the industry that benefits from belief in such has been noted by many.

In case Dr. McCullough or Dr. Hodkinson isn't aware, this is the debate outline that was drafted by Dr. Kaufman... before Steve ran away:

Debate question:

The published experiments on the isolation and in silico genome sequencing prove the existence of the SARS-CoV-2 "virus." True or False?

The purpose of this debate is to engage in a healthy scientific discussion. The spirit and philosophy of scientific inquiry demands attempting to refute all theories until it is clear they are irrefutable. This debate rekindles that spirit and serves to advance the state of truthful knowledge about the natural world. As such, the debate must only include scientific arguments. The focus is on the experimental procedures used to allege the existence of viruses, in particular SARS-CoV-2. Have these methods demonstrated the existence of a real biological entity found in nature or are they a misinterpretation resulting from the experimental procedure itself? Why have virologists been unable to extract and purify viral particles directly from the host? Why do they rely on computer computation to construct a genome? Have proper control experiments been done? If not, why?

Any takers?

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

debate the virus isolation issue?

Mathew Crawford <crawford.mathew@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 3, 2022 at 12:57 AM

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Cc: Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>, "Peter A. McCullough" <peteramccullough@gmail.com>, Roger Hodgkinson <roger@hodkinson.ca>, updates@drtrozzi.org

I am working on a set of hypotheses that may bypass this debate.

There are published papers on isolating other viruses, but I have not seen one on SARS-CoV-2. If somebody has one, please forward it.

The recent emergence of omicron, which may have been in circulation since possibly 2017 (endemic until vaccines? A hypothesis, but one I'm seriously exploring) made me take a closer look at both testing and sequencing methods. The PCR tests are a "2 out of 3" scorecard system, and most or all of the "sequencing" seems to be a subset (8 sequences of 1,000 nucleotides at a time). When I heard Dr. Ealy talk about how some of the sequenced samples were being declared omicron even though they didn't have the right receptor binding domain mutations, I read a bunch of the sequencing papers going back to the emergence of Alpha and realized that S gene target failure has been constantly used as a proxy for "the new big bad variant", but that's only a reasonable assumption if there are no other viruses interfering with that surveillance method. But what if there were? What if the virus was intentionally not being fully sequenced, and isolates were discouraged, specifically in order to create a dark room in which unrecognized viruses in the same engineered family could be present, but unseen? This would allow for numerous forms of manipulating the pandemic, or make observation about intentional virus releases difficult to investigate.

These are important questions on their own, but may also provide a kind of "out" for this debate. The lack of isolated virus may or may not be true (we should assume that we do not know what the military is doing, for instance). But even to the extent that it is true, that doesn't tell us which of several branching hypotheses is correct.

- Is there no novel virus at all, and composites of several viruses are being cleverly used to create plandemonium? Not my favorite, but I'll state it.
- Were there always numerous or even many coronaviruses in the family, and surveillance was manipulated to obscure the truth? I'm not certain, but I'm investigating from several angles.
- Is most everything simply as we're told, a few mistakes aside? I doubt it, but I'll state it.
- Other?

Personally, I'm not interested in throwing my scarce waking energy at a *debate* on this topic, but I'm spending several hours a day in reading and discussions on tangential topics, and I welcome observations.

Mathew

[Quoted text hidden]

--

Mathew Crawford

Exponential, Not Linear

<https://roundingtheearth.substack.com/>

(469) 704-9446



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

debate the virus isolation issue?

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 3, 2022 at 8:41 AM

To: Mathew Crawford <crawford.mathew@gmail.com>

Cc: Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>, "Peter A. McCullough" <peteramccullough@gmail.com>, Roger Hodgkinson <roger@hodkinson.ca>, updates@drtrozzi.org

Mathew, I wasn't asking anyone for their **hypotheses or assumptions**.

You admit you haven't seen a paper re isolation/purification of SARS-COV-2, but you insinuate that omicron is real... which makes zero sense.

It doesn't matter what the military was doing, especially when no virus has ever been shown to exist in the history of virology. Governments, virologists, and the entire world has had 2 years to produce proof of "the virus", and failed completely. Speculation doesn't cut it.

Show me where **any alleged virus** was ever isolated/purified as you claim, along with the follow up steps to show that "it" spreads disease from host to host via natural modes of exposure.

Now back to the debate - any takers?

Christine

prepare to prove the existence of any "other viruses" you claim exist.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

debate the virus isolation issue?

Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>

Thu, Feb 3, 2022 at 6:47 PM

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>, Mathew Crawford <crawford.mathew@gmail.com>

Cc: "Peter A. McCullough" <peteramccullough@gmail.com>, Roger Hodgkinson <roger@hodkinson.ca>, "updates@drtrozzi.org" <updates@drtrozzi.org>

one of my experts will produce a written response. No debate will be needed. we will rest our case on the papers.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

debate the virus isolation issue?

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 3, 2022 at 7:03 PM

To: Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>

Cc: Mathew Crawford <crawford.mathew@gmail.com>, "Peter A. McCullough" <peteramccullough@gmail.com>, Roger
Hodkinson <roger@hodkinson.ca>, "updates@drtrozzi.org" <updates@drtrozzi.org>

Lol. Only you could come up with such a response, Steve.

[Quoted text hidden]



Roger Hodkinson

to Steve, Mathew, Peter, updates, me ▾

8:12 PM (37 minutes ago)



Stop this utter nonsense.

The virus HAS been isolated, grown in tissue culture, seen on EM, identified in biopsies and sequenced. And I'm not going to give you the references – figure it out for yourselves.

We don't need such idiocy when we have a shit load of facts.

We must stick to our knitting. PERIOD!

I'm in Ottawa where the real action is to topple a government.

Dr Hodkinson



Christine Massey

to Roger, Steve, Mathew, Peter, updates ▾

8:49 PM (0 minutes ago)



Lol of course you won't provide references... because you can't.

You're a bunch of frauds.





Christine Massey

8:49 PM (5 minutes ago)



Lol of course you won't provide references... because you can't. You're a bunch of frauds.



Steve Kirsch

8:52 PM (2 minutes ago)



to me, Roger, Mathew, Peter, updates@drtrozzi.org ▼

i provided references in my Substack article. there are several papers in peer reviewed journals saying how the virus was isolated. so your request to provide references shows you don't read what we write making this whole thing a waste of our time.



Christine Massey

8:56 PM (0 minutes ago)



to Steve, Roger, Mathew, Peter, updates@drtrozzi.org ▼

You cited fraud and anti-science.

You're a bunch of anti-science lunatics or malicious frauds.



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

8:56 PM (2 minutes ago) ☆

to Steve, Roger, Mathew, Peter, updates@drtrozzi.org ▼

You cited fraud and anti-science.

You're a bunch of anti-science lunatics or malicious frauds.

...

Christine Massey

8:59 PM (0 minutes ago) ☆

to Steve, Roger, Mathew, Peter, updates@drtrozzi.org ▼

And not only are you frauds, you're cowards and phonies.

Crying that no one will debate you, then you RUN the instant we take you up on your offer.

You're pathetic.

...