woman: Christine Massey

Peterborough, Ontario

The man or woman acting as "Justice of the Peace" or "Judge" Ontario Court of Justice 70 Simcoe Street, Peterborough, Ontario, Canada

Date: April 11, 2022

Subject: Notice Trespass [Jurisdiction Challenged] April 12, 2022 "appearance" via "zoom", 9 AM, virtual "courtroom 2" ["Case No. 3311 998 22 33100161"]

Greetings man or woman acting as "Justice of the Peace" or "Judge",

i am a woman, not MASSEY, CHRISTINE ANNE, or MASSEY, Christine, or any legal "person" [fiction] created by a crown corporation.

i will make a 3rd coerced special "appearance" on April 12, 2022, under duress and challenging lawful jurisdiction.

All communications are to be in everyday English; i am not fluent in legalese.

Christopher James might be assisting me as my counselor at law on jurisdiction of this court. i require that he be allowed to join this meeting so that i may consult with him, according to my lawful right as a woman.

Christopher does not represent me in this matter, nor is this man a member of a private society [BAR] acting as a lawyer on legal matters.

On February 16th, 2022 and March 24, 2022 men acting as "Justice of the Peace" and "Judge" for a service corporation, who I believe are paid agents of my "opposing party" REGINA, moved forward setting "pretrial" dates 1) without REGINA, also known as "the crown", "the queen", "the state" ever "appearing" to face me, 2) without a verified claim of trespass from REGINA, 3) based on "charges" that make no reference to REGINA, 4) based on incoherent, unintelligible paperwork listing inconsistent "charges" ("charge screening form" versus all other paperwork citing "charges"), 5) based on paperwork ("warrant") with no signature or listing an unheard-of court: "Ontario Court of the Justice", 6) based on a "crown brief synopsis" that also makes no mention of REGINA and is inherently contradictory, 7) based on an "Information" with no signature, from a woman with no direct knowledge of my activities on or about January 19, 2022, that gives no indication of an "Intimidation" charge having been sworn (see enclosed), 8)

without proving lawful jurisdiction of "the court" for *any* purpose, and 9) without my consent and against my expressed wishes (trespass).

This "case" is incoherent and vexatious "on its face". Proceeding under any of the above, or without full "disclosure" regarding REGINA, or scheduling a trial by judge or "jury trial" rather than by jury of my peers in a court of record moving under the common law (not case law) to ensure the correct jurisdiction as per my right as a woman, or forcing "Criminal Rules…", "Evidence Act", legal procedures, or any acts/ omissions/presumptions contrary to my good: trespass, unless you can answer "yes" with written verified evidence:

- am I your property to administrate (or property of the service corporation that you represent)?
- did i relinquish my God-given rights to you or to the service corporation that you represent?

I am willing to settle this matter honourably outside of "the court", with no "peace bond", no guilty plea. Paul T. Murray has refused to discuss the case further, outside of court (see attached).

Anything scheduled/ordered/coerced by you without the above satisfied are subject to this fee schedule: \$5,000 CAD per hour billed to you on the private side, due the day of in cash.

i wish the truth be known, to forgive the people who trespassed against me and to live in peace.

Respectfully and with all of my God-given rights reserved,



woman called Christine, of the Massey family, also called Christine Massey

cc: man: Paul T. Murray, <u>Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca</u> man: Mauro William Di Carlo, <u>dicarlolaw@nexicom.net</u> woman: Sara-Jane MacDonald, <u>sara.j.macdonald@ontario.ca</u> man or woman: acting as "Virtual Crown", <u>VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca</u> man or woman: acting as Clerk, <u>Peterborough.OCJ.Courts@ontario.ca</u> man or woman: acting as Trial Coordinator, <u>Peterborough.ocj.criminal.trialcoordinator@ontario.ca</u>

Crown Attorney's Office Peterborough (County of)			cureur de la Couronne erborough (Comté de		Ontario 🕅
	and the second second		rue George N., 2e étage erborough, ON K9J 3H1		
Tel: (705 Fax: (70	1 States and States		ephone: (705) 755-536(ecopieur: (705) 755-5365		
			HARGE SCREI		IING FORM ATIONS PORTÉES CONTRE UN
			ADULTE		
	Ap	Bring this form and any attached disclos <u>Avis</u> : Ce formulaire vous indique les accusa dem	ure to your lawyer or to t tions criminelles portées ande le procureur de la	the s co Cou ué à	a votre avocat ou au Bureau d'Aide juridique Ontario (si
RE	GINA	v. MASSEY, CHRISTINE			SCOPE ID: 1138041
CC	264.(2)	S) / ACCUSATION(S):) - Criminal Harassment: Besetting or Watch Unknown CC charge imported via XML x 1	ing x 1		
1.	The C	Crown intends to proceed / Le procureur d	e la Couronne a l'inte	ent	ion de procéder :
		As charged above / selon les accusations	ci-dessus		
		As follows / de la façon suivante: s. 42	3.1(b)-intimidation.		
2.	The C	Crown elects to proceed / Le procureur de	la Couronne choisit	de	procéder :
	-	Summarily / par procédure sommaire	C		By Indictment / par acte d'accusation
		Summarily if limitation period waived / Par p sommaire si le délai de prescription ne s'app]	Deferred / Décision différée
3.		is an appropriate case for / git d'une affaire à laquelle les programmes	s suivants pourraient	s'a	appliquer à cette matière :
		Direct Accountability / Responsabilisation directe			Possible Mental Health Diversion / Déjudiciarisation possible pour cause de troubles de la santé mentale
		Domestic Early Intervention Program / Programme d'intervention précoce - violence conjugale	C]	Possible Indigenous Diversion / Déjudiciarisation possible pour Autochtones
		s. 810 Peace Bond / Engagement de ne pas troubler l'ordre public selon l'article 810			
		position is dependent upon / e position dépend de ce qui suit:			
4.	The	Crown is seeking the following sentence u rocureur de la Couronne demande la peine			
	Re	equires Crown pre-trial.			

Ministère du Procureur général

Z

Ministry of the Attorney General

Ministry of the Attorney General Crown Attorney's Office Peterborough (County of)

270 George Street North, 2nd Floor Peterborough, ON K9J 3H1

Tel: (705) 755-5360 Fax: (705) 755-5365 Ministère du Procureur général Procureur de la Couronne Peterborough (Comté de

270 rue George N., 2e étage Peterborough, ON K9J 3H1

Téléphone: (705) 755-5360 Télécopieur: (705) 755-5365



5.

The foregoing is based on information available on this date and may be subject to change upon further review or receipt of additional disclosure. Final sentencing decisions are up to the judge who hears your case. Les choix ci-dessus se fondent sur les renseignements disponibles à la date de signature de ce formulaire et peuvent changer en cas de révision supplémentaire ou sur réception de divulgation additionnelle. Les décisions ultimes relatives à la peine seront rendues par le/la juge qui entend votre cause.

S. MacDonald

On behalf of the Crown / au nom du procureur de la Couronne

/14/2022

Date

TO APPLY FOR LEGAL AID: Please bring / send this form to a Legal Aid Office and complete your application as soon as possible. More information is available at 1.800.668.8258 or www.legalaid.on.ca. POUR DEMANDER L'AIDE JURIDIQUE : apportez ou envoyez ce formulaire au bureau d'Aide juridique Ontario et

remplissez votre demande dès que possible. Renseignements : 1 800 668.8258 ou www.legalaid.on.ca

Ministry of the Attorney General Crown Attorney's Office Peterborough (County of)

270 George Street North, 2nd Floor Peterborough, ON K9J 3H1

Tel: (705) 755-5360 Fax: (705) 755-5365

Ministère du Procureur général Procureur de la Couronne Peterborough (Comté de

270 rue George N., 2e étage Peterborough, ON K9J 3H1

Téléphone: (705) 755-5360 Télécopieur: (705) 755-5365



CONDITIONS OF DISCLOSURE:

If you do not accept any of the following conditions you should return this disclosure to the Crown and come to a different agreement with the Crown or seek a court order with respect to disclosure.

- You may use these materials for the purpose of making full answer and defence only.
- You must keep these materials secure. Disclosure is confidential information. The contents are not to be published, distributed or shared with anyone but your lawyer.
- Counsel may permit access to these materials to persons acting under his/her supervision.
- If counsel is retained you will deliver all disclosed material to that counsel and the same conditions will apply.
- In the event a different counsel is later retained, the former counsel will <u>return the disclosure to the Crown Attorney's Office immediately</u>. New counsel may obtain the disclosure along with this notice from the Crown Attorney's Office.
- 6. All future disclosure on this file is subject to these same conditions.

NOTICES:

- Take notice that where any record of a judicial proceeding, book document, entry, or business record forms a part of this or any further future disclosure, the Crown intends to produce the same at the preliminary hearing and/or trial pursuant to the provisions of the CANADA EVIDENCE ACT.
- Take notice that pursuant to s. 657.3(3) OF THE <u>CRIMINAL CODE</u>, that where a report or written opinion of an EXPERT WITNESS (including his/her name, area of expertise, and qualifications) forms part of the disclosure in this case, the Crown may seek to adduce the expert opinion evidence at the preliminary inquiry and/or trial of these charges.
- Take notice that in the event you choose to proceed with a preliminary inquiry, pursuant to s. 540 the Crown will seek to tender credible or trustworthy information contained in the statements of witnesses made in writing or otherwise recorded that are provided in this or subsequent disclosure.
- Take notice that in the event you are convicted or a finding of guilt is found in relation to an offence under s. 487.04 it is the intention of the Crown to seek an order for taking samples for the purpose of DNA ANALYSIS.
- 5. Take notice that in the event you are sentenced or found not criminally responsible in relation to an offence under s. 490.011 it is the intention of the Crown to apply for an order requiring a person to comply with the <u>SEX OFFENDER INFORMATION REGISTRATION ACT.</u>
- 6. If you are French speaking, you have the right to have your trial heard in French (or possibly as a bilingual proceeding). You must exercise that right by requesting that your trial be held in your official language of choice. If you wish to proceed in French, you should advise the judicial officer or duty counsel when you attend court. Duty counsel or a lawyer of your choice can explain your language rights more fully.

CONDITIONS RELATIVES À LA DIVULGATION:

Si vous êtes en désaccord avec l'une des conditions ci-dessous, vous devez retourner la divulgation au bureau du procureur de la Couronne. Vous aurez alors le choix de négocier une entente avec le procureur de la Couronne, ou encore de demander une ordonnance du tribunal relative à la divulgation.

- Vous devez utiliser la divulgation uniquement dans le but de préparer votre défense pleine et entière.
- Vous devez garder ces matériaux sécurisé. La divulgation est confidentielle. Son contenu ne peut être publié, distribué ou partagé avec qui que ce soit, à l'exception de votre avocat.
- L'avocat peut autoriser des personnes agissant sous sa tutelle à consulter la divulgation.
- Si vous retenez les services d'un avocat, vous devrez remettre toute la divulgation à cet avocat. Les présentes conditions continueront à s'appliquer.
- 5. Dans l'éventualité où vous changez d'avocat, votre ancien avocat devra immédiatement retourner la divulgation au bureau du procureur de la <u>Couronne</u>. Le nouvel avocat pourra obtenir la divulgation ainsi que le présent avis en se présentant au bureau du procureur de la Couronne.
- Tout autres document venant s'ajouter à la divulgation est assujetti aux présentes conditions.

AVIS :

- Veuillez noter que si le procès-verbal d'une instance judiciaire, un dossier, une entrée ou des documents commerciaux font parties de la divulgation ou toute divulgation future, le procureur de la Couronne peut présenter ces documents en preuve à l'enquête préliminaire et au procès conformément aux dispositions de la LOI SUR LA PREUVE AU CANADA.
- 2. En vertu du paragraphe 657.3(3) du <u>CODE CRIMINEL</u>, si un rapport ou un avis écrit par un TÉMOIN EXPERT (y compris le nom, le domaine d'expertise et les qualifications) fait parties de la divulgation, le procureur de la Couronne peut présenter cette preuve experte à l'enquête préliminaire et au procès.
- 3. Si vous choisissez d'avoir une enquête préliminaire, le procureur de la Couronne, en vertu de l'article 540, peut présenter en preuve les renseignements crédibles ou dignes de foi contenus dans les déclarations des témoins qui ont été faites, par écrit ou par moyen d'un enregistrement qui font parties de la divulgation ou toute divulgation future.
- 4. Si vous êtes condamné ou déclaré coupable d'une infraction visée à l'article 487.04, le procureur de la Couronne demandera une ordonnance du tribunal pour le prélèvement de substances corporelles aux fins de la banque de données ADN.
- 5. Si vous êtes déclaré non criminellement responsable ou si vous recevez une peine concernant une infraction visée à l'article 490.011, le procureur de la Couronne peut demander une ordonnance du tribunal exigeant que vous vous conformiez à la LOI SUR L'ENREGISTREMENT DE RENSEIGNEMENTS SUR LES DÉLINQUANTS SEXUELS.
- 6. Si vous parlez le français, vous avez le droit que votre procès se déroule en français (ou peut-être comme une instance bilingue). Vous devez exercer ce droit en demandant que votre procès se tienne dans la langue officielle de votre choix. Si vous souhaitez que votre procès se déroule en français, vous devriez en aviser le fonctionnaire judiciaire ou l'avocat de service lorsque vous comparaissez. L'avocat de service ou votre avocat peut vous expliquer plus en détail vos droits linguistiques.

Crown Brief Cover

Crown Brief Cover

Police service: PETERBOROUGH Police Service Police case ID: C22000236 Occurrence #(s): PB22001282

Regina vs. MASSEY, C. / BERRY, T.

OIC: #280 SCHUBERT, L.

Case class.: Regular

Accused name: MASSEY, CHRISTINE

Birth date: 1968/01/11 Criminal record: No

Charge	Offence date	YP status
CC 264(2)(c) Criminal Harassment - beset and watch	2022/01/19	Adult
complainant CC 423.2(1)(b) Intimidation - Health Services - impede health professional	2022/01/19	Adult

Accused name: BERRY, TYLER RICHARD

Birth date: 1991/08/18 Criminal record: No

Charge	Offence date	YP status
CC 264(2)(c) Criminal Harassment - beset and watch	2022/01/19	Adult
complainant CC 423.2(1)(b) Intimidation - Health Services - impede health professional	2022/01/19	Adult

Page 1 of 87

-			
	lence contre un tenaire intime)	Replacement Information / Dénonciation de rempla	cement
per la companya de la	,	capacités affaiblies par des substances) 🔲 V (Vessel /	Determ
	ances / conduite avec t		Baleau)
			Information Number / Nº de la dénonciation
Non-Disclosure Order Pursua		Publication ban pursuant to	
Ordonnance de non-divulgati	on, art. 486.31	Interdiction de publication en vertu de	
Non-communication s. 515(12	, , ,	Provisions of 530(3) complied with	
Non-communication, par. 515	5(12) et 516(2)	Dispositions du par. 530(3) observées	
CANADA	Information of:		
CANADA PROVINCE OF ONTARIO		Sections 506, 508.1 and 788 of the Criminal C SHAWNA MCCURDY	
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO	Dénonciation de	Sections 506, 508.1 and 788 of the Criminal C SHAWNA MCCURDY	2 Information / Formule 2 Dénonciation Code / Articles 506, 508.1 et 788 du Code criminel
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO	Dénonciation de	Sections 506, 508.1 and 788 of the Criminal C SHAWNA MCCURDY	
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO	Dénonciation de	Sections 506, 508.1 and 788 of the Criminal C SHAWNA MCCURDY	Code / Articles 506, 508.1 et 788 du Code criminel
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO	Dénonciation de	Sections 506, 508.1 and 788 of the Criminal C SHAWNA MCCURDY e : DF PETERBOROUGH	Code / Articles 506, 508.1 et 788 du Code criminel POLICE OFFICER (occupation / profession)
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO Central East / Centre-Est	Dénonciation de of <u>CITY (</u> de hereinafter calle	Sections 506, 508.1 and 788 of the Criminal C SHAWNA MCCURDY e : DF PETERBOROUGH ed the informant / ci-après appelé(e) le dénonciau	Code / Articles 506, 508.1 et 788 du Code criminel POLICE OFFICER (occupation / profession) teur
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO Central East / Centre-Est	Dénonciation de of <u>CITY (</u> de hereinafter calle	Sections 506, 508.1 and 788 of the Criminal C SHAWNA MCCURDY e : DF PETERBOROUGH	Code / Articles 506, 508.1 et 788 du Code criminel POLICE OFFICER (occupation / profession) teur
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO Central East / Centre-Est	Dénonciation de of CITY C de hereinafter calle The informant s	Sections 506, 508.1 and 788 of the Criminal C SHAWNA MCCURDY e : DF PETERBOROUGH ed the informant / ci-après appelé(e) le dénonciau	Code / Articles 506, 508.1 et 788 du Code criminel POLICE OFFICER (occupation / profession) teur hat

Accused (Last Name, First Name, accusé (nom, prénom, (Address / adresse) Middle Name) / deuxième prénom)

(Birth Date ddmmyy / Date de naissance jjmmaa)

(Enter charges here / Insérer des accusations ici) :

(1) that CHRISTINE MASSEY and TYLER BERRY on or about the 19th day of January in the year 2022 at the City of PETERBOROUGH in the said Region, knowing that DR. THOMAS PIGGOTT is harassed or being reckless as to whether DR. THOMAS PIGGOTT is harassed did without lawful authority beset or watch the dwelling-house of DR. THOMAS PIGGOTT at Peterborough ON thereby causing DR. THOMAS PIGGOTT to reasonably, in the circumstances, fear for his safety, contrary to Section 264(2)(c) of the Criminal Code

(2) that CHRISTINE MASSEY and TYLER BERRY on or about the 19th day of January in the year 2022 at the City of PETERBOROUGH in the said Region, did intimidate Dr. Thomas Piggott of Health Services, contrary to Section 423.2(1)(b) of the Criminal Code

Declaration of Informant / Déclaration du dénonciateur

I declare that all matters contained in the Information are true to my knowledge and belief, pursuant to s. 508.1(2) of the *Criminal Code*. *Je* soussigné(e) *déclare tous les renseignements contenus dans la* présente *dénonciation sont, à ma connaissance, véridiques, en vertu de par. 508.1(2) du Code criminel.*

Dated at <i>Fait à(au)</i>	THE CITY OF PETERBOROUGH	in d	n the Province of dans la province	f Ontario, this <u>2</u> d'Ontario, ce	OTH day of JAN	JARY , 20 22
			Declared /	Déclaré		
						· · · · · · · · ·
						*. · · ·

Digital Signature of Informant / Signature numérique du dénonciateur

CCO-2-000-1-C-e (rev. 19/19) (posted <dd/mm/yy>

This document has been digitally signed / Ce document a été signé numériquement. Page 2 of 87

CCO-2-000-1-C-e (rev. 19/19) (posted <dd/mm/yy>

Information No. / Nº de la dénonciation	Information No. / Nº de la dénonciation	Information No. / Nº de la dénonciation	
Return Date / Date à laquelle le document est rapporté	Return Date / Date à laquelle le document est rapporté	Return Date / Date à laquelle le document est rapporté	
INFORMATION Against / DÉNONCIATION visant CHRISTINE MASSEY	INFORMATION Against / DÉNONCIATION visant TYLER RICHARD BERRY	INFORMATION Against / DÉNONCIATION visant	
Address / Adresse PETERBOROUGH, ON Canada	Address / Adresse PETERBOROUGH, ON Canada	Address / Adresse	
CHARGE / ACCUSATION Charge 1 of 2 Criminal Harassment - beset and watch complainant CC 264(2)(c)	CHARGE / ACCUSATION Charge 1 of 2 Criminal Harassment - beset and watch complainant CC 264(2)(c)	CHARGE / ACCUSATION Charge 1 of	
Refer to front page for further counts / Reportez-vous à la première page pour plus de chefs. FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY À DES FINS ADMINISTRATIVES SEULEMENT	Refer to front page for further counts / Reportez-vous à la première page pour plus de chefs. FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY À DES FINS ADMINISTRATIVES SEULEMENT	Refer to front page for further counts / Reportez-vous à la première page pour plus de chefs. FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY À DES FINS ADMINISTRATIVES SEULEMENT	
A Des Fins Adminis (MATIVES SEDELENT) Summons Show Cause Sommation Audience de justification Warrant 1st Mandat en 1 ^{ne} instance Replacement Information / Dénonciation de remplacement Reportable M.V. C.V.O.R. No (Commercial Vehicles Only) Infraction V.M. à déclarer (Code de la route 199) Numéro C.I.U.V.U. (véhicules utilitaires seulement) Sex Birth Date / Date de naissance Was defendant owner? Sexel Day / Month / Year /	A DLS / INS ADMINISTRATIVE OF OUCLETERY A DLS / INS ADMINISTRATIVE OF OUCLETERY Summons Show Cause Audience de justification Warrant 1 st Mandat en 1 th instance Replacement Information / Dénonciation de remplacement Reportable M.V. Offence (H.T.A. 199) Infraction V.M. à déclarer (Code de la route 199) Sex Birth Date / Date de naissance Sex Date / Date de naissance La partie défenderesse	Abbe for the interview of	
Jour Mois Année F Yes / Oui No / Non Driver's Licence Number / Numéro du permis de conduire Plate No. / Numéro de plaque Involves a Collision	Jour Mois Année était-elle propriétaire? M Yes / Oui No / Non Driver's Licence Number / Numéro du permis de conduire Plate No. / Numéro de plaque Involves a Collision	Jour Mois Année était-elle propriétaire? Driver's Licence Number / Numéro du permis de conduire Plate No. / Numéro de plaque Involves a Collision	
Infraction reliée à un accident Informant SHAWNA MCCURDY Dénonciateur	Infraction reliée à un accident Informant SHAWNA MCCURDY Dénonciateur	Infraction reliée à un accident Informant Dénonciateur	
Deemed Sworn /Affirmed Date 2022/01/20 Réputée être déclarée sous serment/affirmée solennellement le	Deemed Sworn /Affirmed Date 2022/01/20 Réputée être déclarée sous serment/affirmée solennellement le	Deemed Sworn JAffirmed Date /Affirmed Date Date of Arrest Réputée être déclarée sous Date de l'arrestation serment/affirmée solennellement le	
Officer / Agent de police No. / N° PC SCHUBERT #280 Police Agency / Service de police Div. / Dist.	Officer / Agent de police No. / N° PC SCHUBERT #280 Police Agency / Service de police Div. / Dist.	Officer / Agent de police No. / N° Police Agency / Service de police Div. / Dist. PETERBOROUGH Police Service Div. / Dist.	
PETERBOROUGH Police Service Occurrence Number / Nº d'incident PB22001282 Occurtence / Dalle d'audience	PETERBOROUGH Police Service Occurrence Number / Nº d'incident PB22001282 Courtenam / Solle d'audience	PETERBOROUGH Police Service Occurrence Number / Nº d'incident PB22001282 Courtroom / Salle d'audience	
Courtroom / Salle d'audience 0002 At / À(Au) 70 SIMCOE ST, PETERBOROUGH, ON Canada (PROVINCIAL COURT)	Courtroom / Salle d'audience 0002 At / Â(Au) 70 SIMCOE ST, PETERBOROUGH, ON Canada (PROVINCIAL COURT)	Courtroom / Salle d'audience 0002 At / Â(Au) 70 SIMCOE ST, PETERBOROUGH, ON Canada (PROVINCIAL COURT)	

CCO-2-000-1-C-e (rev. 19/19) (posted <dd/mm/yy> This document has been digitally signed / Ce document a été signé numériquement. Page 4 of 87

Crown Brief Synopsis

PETERBOROUGH Police Service

Valid as of 2022/01/20 22:15:07 Printed by #806 YATES, T.

Case file synopsis

Case file: C22000236: PB22001282; MASSEY, CHRISTINE / BERRY, TYLER RICHARD

#280 SCHUBERT, L. Author:

#806 YATES, T. Entered by:

Remarks:

Narrative:

** ADVANCED INVESTIGATION **

Entered

time:

Report time: 2022/01/20 03:22

2022/01/20 03:22

>> WARRANT REQUEST <<

CHARGES

BERRY

S. 264(2)(c)- Criminal Harassment S. 423.2(1)(b) - Intimidation - Health Services

MASSEY

S. 264(2)(c)- Criminal Harassment S. 423.2(1)(b) - Intimidation - Health Services

GUILTY PLEA SYNOPSIS

On 19Jan22, at approximately 1845hrs, a Christine Massey and Tyler Berry attended the address of Dr. Thomas Piggott, located at 56 Sophia street, in an attempt to serve Dr. Piggott with paperwork regarding the Covid-19 regulation that Peterborough Public Health have mandated. Dr. Piggott is a doctor with Peterborough Public Health and has had numerous previous incidents regarding the Covid-19 mask and vaccine mandates.

At 1846hrs, Massey walked onto the front porch of the residence of Dr. Piggott and his family and conducted a door knock. Dr. Piggott answered the door and Massey attempted to give the paperwork to Dr. Piggott. Dr. Piggott closed the door quickly without accepting the papers. Massey then placed the paperwork and a USB in Dr. Piggott's mailbox and walked off the porch. The incident was captured on the video surveillance system at the Piggott household.

It is to note that a protest was held outside of Dr. Piggott's home the previous weekend. The protest was in regard to the Covid-19 mask and vaccine mandates.

Upon closing the door, Dr. Piggott instructed his wife to contact the police to have them attend. At this time Dr. Piggott and his wife feared for the safety of themselves and their two young children. Officers attend the residence and spoke with a number of people outside the home. All parties were advised to leave the area.

Upon further investigation revealed the Tyler Berry had completed a Facebook live-stream of the incident where he state's he was there to attempt to help serve paperwork to Dr. Piggott. Berry would have no reason to be at Dr. Piggott's home unless to intimidate and harass Piggott and his family. Massey and Berry have been heavily involved in protesting the mask and vaccine mandates made by Peterborough Public Health.

Reasonable Ground was formed for the arrest of both Massey and Berry for the following charges.

- s. 264(2)(c)- Criminal Harassment
- s. 423.2(1)(b) Intimidation Health Services.

Crown Brief Synopsis

An attempt to locate and arrest both Massey and Berry were made however were met with negative results. It is requested that a warrant be issued for their arrest.

H/C

WARRANT FOR ARREST MANDAT D'ARRESTATION

CANADA					Modified Form / Formule modifi	ióo 7
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO				Sections /	Articles 475, 493, 597, 800, and / et	
PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO	T 11				of the Criminal Code / du Code crim	
Central East	To the peace officers in the F	Region a	nd in the Province of On	itario:	3311 998 22 32100161	
(Region / Région)	Aux agents de la paix dans la	adite regi	ion et dans la province c	de l'Ontario:	Case/File No. / du cas/dossier	
This warrant is issued for the arrest	of MASSEY Christine				th January 1968	
Le présent mandat est délivré pour l'au	restation de		(name / nom)		the of Linth / date de naissance)	••••••••
of the City		of	PETERBOROUGH		and of Varia dure de Maissance)	
du(de la)		de				
in the Province	Of Ontario	00		· · ·		
dans le(la)	de			, reterr	d to in this wan ant as the accuse s appelé(e) le prèvenu.	d.
BECAUSE the accused has been cha on or about the / le ou vers le	rged with, / ATTENDU QUE le pi 19th day of / jour de Januar	révenu a y, yr. / a	eté inculpé d'avoir, an 2022	\mathbf{N}		
at the City			ETERBOROUCH	A	, in the said Region	
à(au)		de		V	dans ladite region,	
(set out briefly the offence in respect of whic	ne accessed is charged / énoncer brie	èvement l'i	nfraction dont le vrévenu est	inculoé)		
Criminal Harassment - beset a	and watch complainant s.26	4(2)(c),	CC; Ir imida ion - He	ealth Servic	es - impede health	
professional s.423.2(1)(b),CC						
dig i						
				6		
AND BECAUSE (check those of are a) ET ATTENDU QUE (cocher un termen	oplicatile):		7 17			
(a) there are reasonable from	ds to believe the it is nece	ssarvin	the hublic interest to	o iscuo thic	warrant for the arrest of the	
accused (507(4), 512(1));			the public interest to	o issue tills	warrant for the arrest of the	
(a) qu'il y a des motifs raisonna	abas de croire qu'il est néc	essare	ans intérêt nublic	de délivrer l	e présent mandat nour	
l'arrestation du prévenu (507)	(): 51. ():			de denvier i	e present mandat pour	
THEREFORE, you are ordered, in He	Majesty's name, to immediately	arre t the	accused and to bring th	em before		
EN CONSÉQUENCE, il vous est enj	out par les présentes, au nom	e Sp Ma	jesté, d'arrêter immédia	tement le prév	enu et de l'amener devant	
judge or justice / le juge				, to be de	ealt with according to law.	
(state court, judge	or justice / indiquer le tribunal, le juge o	une juge d	e paix)		l'il soit traité selon la loi	
Cineral and this 20th		0000				
Signed on this 20th day of jour de	January	, 2022	40 M M			
Jour de			A Replaced	Justice of the I Ontario Court	Digitally signed by	
			Ontare	of the Justice	Roger J Le Blanc Date:2022.01.20	
in the Province of Ontario / dans la p	rovince de l'Ontario				08:57:03 -05'00'	
and a contract of contanto r dans la p			1			

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DIGITALLY SIGNED. / CE DOCUMENT A ÉTÉ SIGNÉ NUMÉRIQUEMENT.

e-CCO-7-475-B (rev. 12 / 19) CSD

Form / Formule 28 Section / Article 528 of the Criminal Code / du Code criminel

ENDORSEMENT OF WARRANT VISA DU MANDAT

CANADA PROVINCE OF ONTARIO PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO

(Region / Région)

Form / Formule 29 Section / Article 499 and / et subsection / paragraphe 507(6) of the Criminal Code / du Code criminel

ENDORSEMENT OF WARRANT VISA DU MANDAT

CANADA PROVINCE OF ONTARIO PROVINCE DE L'ONTARIO

> Central East (Region / Région)

Whereas this warrant is issued in respect of an offence other than an offence mentioned in section 522 of the *Criminal Code*, I hereby authorize the release of the accused pursuant to section 499 theref.

Attendu que le présent mandat est décerné relativement à une infraction autre qu'une infraction mentionnée à l'article 522 du Code criminel, j'autorise par les présentes la mise en liberté du prévinu conformément à l'article 499 mentionné ci-cassorie

day of January

jour de

In accordance with the application this day made to me, I authorize the arrest of the accused (or defendant) Conformément à la demande qui m'a cré acressée ce jour, j'autorise par les présentes l'arrestation cu prévenu (ou du défendeur)

gion

within the _____

Dated this _____ day of _____, Fait le _____ jour de _____,

in the Province of Ontario / dans la province de l'Ontario

in the Province of Ontario / dans la province de l'Ontario

Dated this 20th

Fait le

Justice of the Peace Ontario Court of the Justice

Digitally signed by Roger J Le Blanc Date:2022.01.20 08:57:03 -05'00' 2022

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DIGITALLY SIGNED. / CE DOCUMENT A ÉTÉ SIGNÉ NUMÉRIQUEMENT.

e-CCO-7-475-B (rev. 12 / 19) CSD



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sun, Mar 20, 2022 at 1:48 PM To: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)"

Dear Paul, Mauro, etc.,

The following disclosures issues are still outstanding. I've had no responses from Paul for the past 2.5 weeks, since March 2, 2022, and no response from the generic email to even acknowledge these issues.

Thomas Piggott's surveillance video is incomplete/censored such that key evidence is omitted

No transcripts of audio or video files have been provided

<Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

Thomas Piggott's (or his wife's) initial call to police has been withheld (plus notes/transcription)

no records of Thomas Piggott's communication(s) with Scott Gilbert on this matter have been provided, despite the references in the disclosure to a statement by Thomas Piggott that he would contact Gilbert (after Alicia McGriskin refused to lay any charges, based on the fact that there were no grounds for any charges) and despite Alicia McGriskin's note (that was withheld in the initial disclosure package) indicating that S/Sqt Elliott told her that Scott Gilbert and Inspector Lyons had insisted/demanded that criminal charges be laid

no notes or records of any kind from Scott Gilbert or Inspector Lyons have been provided, despite their apparent insistence/demand that criminal charges be laid

- pages 46, 86 and 87 of the initial "disclosure" package were completely redacted
- 18 redacted pages (pages 11 28) (listed as "show cause hearing reports" in the "Crown Brief Index")

pages 3 and 4 of my 5 page letter dated January 26, 2022 to Scott Gilbert and Ryan Black, both of which include the URL (https://rumble.com/vsyncv-peterborough-moh-served-lies-to-police.html) for key evidence and list other issues relevant to this "case", are still withheld

the video (key evidence) that has been readily available for months (here: https://rumble.com/vsyncv-peterboroughmoh-served-lies-to-police.html) is omitted entirely

my 2nd and 3rd Notices about this matter (that I sent via email, registered letter and fax, January 31, 2022 and February 3, 2022) to Scott Gilbert and Ryan Black are omitted; these Notices went unanswered and therefore provide tacit agreement from Black and Gilbert they both violated their oaths in this matter

2 people acting for Peterborough Police Service are listed as "witnesses" on page 38: COWIE, ROBERT and GAMBLE, LILY. Lee Schubert's notes indicate that Cowie attended Piggott's house. Cowie also filmed on Burnham Street and provided that video as evidence. Yet no notes from either Cowie or Lily are provided, and there is no mention of Lily anywhere else in "disclosure".

Ryan Black's card appears to have been scrubbed on the pages showing his notes

No notes from Sgt Elliott have been provided, despite 1) her communication(s) with Scott Gilbert and "Inspector" John Lyons who insisted I be charged, 2) her discussion with McGriskin who refused to charge me, and 3) her briefing with Reesor, Schubert and Lemay who later woke me and tried to arrest me at midnight without a warrant and 4) her reassigning of the case

No notes from S/Sgt MacLean have been provided, despite having briefed Black and Musclow, and phoned my house; and sent a FB video of Tyler Berry's to M. Yurick #811 (according to notes from people working for Peterborough Police, in the "disclosure").

- No notes from Shawna McCurdy have been provided, despite Shawna having acted as "informant" against me.
- A Supplementary Occurrence Report on page 37 of the disclosure lists a video that was never provided to me.

Respectfully and with all of my God-given rights reserved, Christine Massey



Murray, Paul T. (MAG) <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca> Sun, Mar 20, 2022 at 1:31 PM To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>, "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

Ms. Massey; we have made inquiries from Peterborough Police and when I receive a response we will reply.

Paul T. Murray

Crown Attorney

Peterborough County

270 George St. N., 2nd Floor

Peterborough, ON K9J 3H1

O: 705-755-5360 ext 200

C: 905-213-1564

From: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> Sent: March 20, 2022 1:49 PM To: Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG) <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>; Murray, Paul T. (MAG) <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca>; Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net> Subject: disclosure issues still outstanding - Case No. 3311 998 22 33100161 / scope #1138041

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Murray, Paul T. (MAG)" <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca> Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

Thank you Paul.

If anyone at Peterborough Police Service tells you that they do not have the video (key evidence) that has been readily available for months (here: https://rumble.com/vsyncv-peterborough-moh-served-lies-to-police.html) and disproves Thomas Piggott's claims that I hit/assaulted him and spoke to him in a very threatening voice, this will be untrue because I personally delivered it to them on a USB key on Friday (and videotaped parts of the visit, i.e.: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RImrHi8kjPo), and I followed up with emails to the man who is currently acting as Acting Chief and John Lyons ("Inspector"), advising of such.

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]



 Murray, Paul T. (MAG) <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca>
 Sun, Mar 20, 2022 at 2:06 PM

 To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

 Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo

 <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

I understood your request for video was for any additional video from Dr. Piggott's home surveillance, not video that others have taken and is clearly within your possession. The police are determining whether there is any additional video from Dr. Piggott's surveillance system. You have identified a third party video that is in your possession (which I cannot verify the accuracy of and will not concede without an attestation from the individual who took that video. It can be reviewed to determine reasonable prospect of conviction, but as it is defence disclosure that you have provided to the police, there is no obligation to disclose what you already have in your possession.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Murray, Paul T. (MAG)" <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca> Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

Hi Paul,

I already cited the "third party video" to you on March 3, 2022 and March 14, 2022, see attached. Both times I referred to it as "*the most important piece of evidence in this entire matter*". (And yes, the withheld sections of Thomas Piggott's surveillance footage should also have been included in "disclosure".)

The existence of this "third party video" could not come as a surprise to anyone remotely familiar with the evidence in this "case".

I brought this video to the attention of Scott Gilbert and Ryan Black in **Notices of Trespass**, 8 times starting in late January - 3 of those times by registered mail.

As I have repeatedly pointed out, in the first 5-page Notice (the only Notice that is included in the disclosure) **only** the pages that included the URL for this video are curiously omitted. Those pages were not even present in redacted form, they were omitted entirely even though the pages were numbered and it was thus obvious that 2 pages were missing.

In the curiously censored surveillance video from Thomas Piggott's front porch (of which apparently neither police nor "the Crown" sought a more complete version, oddly enough) a colleague of mine is clearly visible holding a cell phone at chest height behind/beside me, as though filming.

In Thomas Piggott's statement to police, he wrote: "the man standing behind her was holding a phone at chest height... so I said, "why is he holding a camera".

Lee Schubert's notes state: "saw man standing with camera".

Lee Schubert's curiously inaccurate and misleading "Synopsis" includes the statement: "*Piggott noticed the male holding a phone at chest height...*"

This "third party video" was featured by media outlets including **Kawartha Now**, months ago, as shown at the following URL:

https://kawarthanow.com/2022/01/20/police-arrive-at-home-of-peterborough-medical-officer-of-health-dr-thomas-piggott-wednesday-night/

This "third party video" has been shared on social media; for example, I shared it on the day of the arrests, January 20, 2022, as shown at the following URL: https://www.facebook.com/photo/?fbid=382706873660229& set=a.220692366528348

This "third party video" has had 28,911 views as I write this, and I'm not aware of anyone else questioning its accuracy. But you haven't watched it yet, and you already question its accuracy?

On what grounds do you question its accuracy, beside the fact that it will disprove Thomas Piggott's claims? (You may have noticed that even Thomas' wife did not corroborate his claim that I hit him and spoke threateningly to him, even though he claims that his family watched the events unfold from their living room.)

An "attestation" from the man who took the "third party video" will tell you nothing regarding its accuracy that you can't already tell. It was obviously filmed by the man who was with me on the porch.

And I note that you included 2 far less informative videos that police downloaded from Tyler Berry's Facebook profile in the "disclosure" - without any "attestation" from Tyler.

This "third party video" clearly documents the **same events** that are shown in Piggott's surveillance video, but from a different and more informative angle.

Thomas Piggott is visible and audible in the "third party video", and his front door is visible such that everyone can see that he started slamming his door before I made any attempt to give him the Notice of Trespass, Liability and Cease and Desist, and that I did not hit him or attempt to hit him. It also shows my approach up his walkway, onto his porch, me knocking on his door, and the **very friendly** beginning of our conversation - which Thomas apparently chose to withhold from the police and "the Crown".

I finally provided this readily-available video on a USB key to the police on Friday because, interestingly, **no public servants involved in this case seemed remotely interested in its existence** - even though it shows that I was exceedingly polite to Thomas, that I was not acting to cause fear, that I was not harassing, and that I was simply serving a Notice, lawfully, peacefully, quietly, in the pursuit of Justice, with colleagues accompanying me to witness and film.

Or maybe **because** it shows those things. And perhaps that is why I had to argue with the police to get them to even accept the video, and had to argue even more to obtain an assurance that it would be added to the evidence.

Respectfully and with all of my God-given rights reserved, Christine Massey

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

Arch 14 outstanding issues.pdf

March 3 further issues w disclosure.pdf

٩	scope	×	IT	() () ()	***
÷	Respectfully and with all of my God-given rights reserved, Christine Massey			< >	1 -
•	Murray, Paul T. (MAG) Ms. Massey; we have made inquiries from Peterborough Police and when I receive a resp	oonse we v	vill reply.	Mar 20, 2022, 1:31 PM (22 hours ago) Paul T. Murray Crown Attorney Peterborough County	\$
-	Christine Massey Thank you Paul. If anyone at Peterborough Police Service tells you that they do not have	the video ((key evid	Mar 20, 2022, 2:50 PM (20 hours ago) lence) that has been readily available for months (he	☆
•	Murray, Paul T. (MAG) I understood your request for video was for any additional video from Dr. Piggott's home s	surveillance	e, not vid	Mar 20, 2022, 2:06 PM (21 hours ago) leo that others have taken and is clearly within yo	☆
•	Christine Massey Hi Paul, I already cited the "third party video" to you on March 3, 2022 and March 14, 202	2, see atta	ched. Bo	Mar 20, 2022, 4:52 PM (18 hours ago) oth times I referred to it as "the most important pi	☆
	Christine Massey			9:00 AM (2 hours ago)	☆



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> Mon To: "Murray, Paul T. (MAG)" <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca> Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

p.s. Paul, would it be helpful for me to bring you the "third party video" on a USB key, or are you able to download it from the Rumble platform? [Quoted text hidden] Mon, Mar 21, 2022 at 9:00 AM



Mon, Mar 21, 2022 at 9:31 AM

disclosure issues still outstanding - Case No. 3311 998 22 33100161 / scope #1138041

 Murray, Paul T. (MAG) <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca>
 Mon

 To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
 Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo

 <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

Ms. Massey, my point is that it is not disclosure at the behest of the Crown as 1) it is third party video and 2) you are in possession of it.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 21, 2022 at 10:43 AM

To: "Murray, Paul T. (MAG)" <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca> Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

I understand that Paul. My point is that "the Crown" should have a copy of this key evidence that disproves Thomas Piggott's claims and "the Crown's" case, and proves my innocence.

Please clarify for me - in your legal world (of which I am not part) who is considered my opposing "party" in this matter? Would that be you, or Mauro? Thomas Piggott is listed in "disclosure" as a "witness" and did not "appear" on February 16, 2022.

Naturally I have a right to know who has made a verified claim that I trespassed against them causing wrong or harm (and I'm still not aware of any such claim), and I have a right to face that man or woman in court.

Be advised that if you or Mauro or anyone else continues taking action against me in this matter despite the lack of evidence, despite the fact that even Thomas Piggott's wife did not corroborate his claims, despite the fact that his own surveillance video disproves his claims, despite the "3rd party video" (that is not remotely suspicious or questionable and disproves his claims), despite the absence of any verified claim that I trespassed against any man or woman causing wrong or harm on or about January 19, 2022, and despite lack of the reasonable prospect of conviction, I will consider taking lawful action to hold that man or woman accountable.

Respectfully and with all of my God-given rights reserved, Christine Massey [Quoted text hidden]



 Murray, Paul T. (MAG) <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca>
 Wed, Mar 23, 2022 at 10:02 AM

 To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
 Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo

 <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

Ms. Massey, I have reviewed the video that you provided in this matter. I would point out to you that you are not charged with assault, but rather with criminal harassment and extortion of a medical professional. It is clear from the video (provided by you) that you attended Dr. Piggott's personal residence on a ruse of welcoming him and his family to the neighborhood. It is clear as well that in that deceit you attempted to provide him with some documentation against his will. You use the term "served", but I am wholly unaware of any lawful action commenced in any court in Ontario against Dr. Piggott or any member of his family. Please correct me if I am wrong in that assessment (i.e. have you filed any notice of action or any statement of claim in any level of court in the province?). If not, then there is no lawful right to "serve" documentation that has no legal underpinning.

I find your threat of lawful action against the Crown unhelpful. The Crown assesses each case on the basis of whether there is a reasonable prospect of conviction. What the video confirms is that you attended en masse with others to an individual's personal residence, with no notice and under a deceitful guise for no lawful purpose. You did so knowingly or recklessly as to whether your actions in all the circumstances caused Dr. Piggott to be reasonably fearful for his safety. Dr. Piggott has expressed fear for the safety of himself and his family arising from your actions. Those are the essential elements in relation to a criminal harassment charge. Whether you engaged in physical contact with Dr. Piggott is not determinative,

As for your disclosure requests set out below, I can advise as follows:

- 1. Video: you have the entirety of Dr. Piggott's surveillance video from his house. You have separately provided video taken by one of the individuals who accompanied you to the door, and that is not further disclosure the Crown is required to provide, as you are in possession of it.
- 2. The Crown does not prepare transcripts of audio or video files, nor are we required to do so except in certain circumstances that do not exist here. You may of course produce your own transcript as you see fit for your purposes. If we do have a transcript created we will provide it as further disclosure.
- 3. We have requested the 911 call from Dr. Piggott's residence. It contains personal identifiers and we have asked that those be redacted by police. Once that redaction occurs, we will disclose it to you.
- 4. Chief Gilbert has no notes in relation to this incident
- 5. S/Sgt. McLean has no notes in relation to this incident
- 6. Inspector Lyons has no notes in relation to this incident

- 7. Pages 46, 86 and 87: redactions are to remove personal or other material not relevant. Each of those pages are confidential CPIC inquiries and are clearly irrelevant to any issues of guilt or innocence.
- 8. Redacted pages 11-28: Show cause hearing reports are not disclosable; any relevant information contained therein is already in the other parts of disclosure.
- 9. We have requested the other pages of your letter dated January 26, 2022, however I would note that since you have a copy, you are not prejudiced in any fashion at this juncture.
- 10. There are no additional witness statements from Robert Cowie or Lily Gamble; they were listed as witnesses, but as I understand it no statements were taken.
- 11. D/C Black's card is redacted to remove his contact information. It is not relevant to the proceeding.
- 12. Shawna McCurdy is a civilian member of the police service who is authorized to review briefs and swear to informations as part of her duties. She has no notes in this matter.
- 13. Sgt Elliott: we have made inquiries as to whether Sgt. Elliott has any additional notes.

I understand that a further disclosure package with supplementary reports confirming some of the matters above as well as Facebook video from Mr. Berry's arrest will be available shortly.

Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Murray, Paul T. (MAG)" <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca> Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

Hi Paul,

You state that I was charged with "*extortion of a medical professional*". This is news to me. Please let me know, with proper "disclosure", when I was ever charged with such, by who, where, etc.

I was not charged with using words in a way that you don't agree with, or with "deceit". And in fact I did very kindly welcome Thomas Piggott (who made blatantly false statements about me to police), to the neighbourhood, as proven even in his own curiously and obviously censored video.

Nothing in my behaviour indicates an attempt to instill fear, quite the opposite. I went out of my way to be **kind**, **calm and unintimidating - the opposite of reckless**. Anyone acting against me in court re "intimidation" will need to **prove otherwise**, **beyond any reasonable doubt**, and hence they will have **no reasonable prospect of conviction**.

Two days ago I asked you:

"Please clarify for me - in your legal world (of which I am not part) who is considered my opposing "party" in this matter? Would that be you, or Mauro?"

Please provide a response. If you are not the "opposing party" in this matter, I'm not aware of any reason to respond further to your **wildly inaccurate, offensive, disproven and <u>slanderous</u> claims** about my motivation, etc. Kindly cease your slander and conduct yourself accordingly, public servant.

Respectfully and with all of my God-given rights reserved, without prejudice, Christine Massey

[Quoted text hidden]

biscep PACKAGE.pdf



 Murray, Paul T. (MAG) <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca>
 Wed, Mar 23, 2022 at 12:14 PM

 To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
 Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo

 <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>

Ms. Massey, the charges you face are in the information that is before the court, of which you have a copy. You are correct, there is little reason for us to debate the matter further over e-mail. Any further discussions will conducted on the record in court.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Apr 4, 2022 at 12:04 PM

To: "Murray, Paul T. (MAG)" <Paul.T.Murray@ontario.ca> Cc: "Virtual Crown Peterborough (MAG)" <VirtualCrownPeterborough@ontario.ca>, Mauro DiCarlo <dicarlolaw@nexicom.net>, sara.j.macdonald@ontario.ca

Paul,

On March 23, 2022 you stated (in your email below) that:

"Shawna McCurdy is a civilian member of the police service who is authorized to review briefs and swear to informations as part of her duties. She has no notes in this matter."

According to the so-called "Information" document provided in "disclosure", Shawna's occupation is "POLICE OFFICER".

Several sources found in a quick google search indicate her position as "First Class Constable". [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]