

Steve Kirsch

3:21 PM (18 minutes ago) ☆ ↶ ⋮

to me, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▼

Let's be clear.... if I assemble a team of say 5 people to debate you, Lanka, Kaufman, Sam Bailey, and others on your side, will you accept the challenge? Who would the people be on your side?

The debate would be: Is COVID-19 a virus? Yes or No.

One article wrote:

Kaufman has also argued that COVID-19 could be the result of all manner of causes, but that it is certainly not caused by a virus. Instead, he claims the disease is the result of stress, improper nutrition, pollution, ionizing radiation and a variety of unspecified conditions.

Is that your position?

---

**From:** Christine Massey <[cmssyc@gmail.com](mailto:cmssyc@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, February 7, 2022 1:01 PM

**To:** Mathew Crawford <[crawford.mathew@gmail.com](mailto:crawford.mathew@gmail.com)>

**Cc:** Steve Kirsch <[stk@skirsch.com](mailto:stk@skirsch.com)>; Roger Hodgkinson <[roger@hodkinson.ca](mailto:roger@hodkinson.ca)>; Peter A. McCullough <[peteramccullough@gmail.com](mailto:peteramccullough@gmail.com)>; [updates@drtrozzi.org](mailto:updates@drtrozzi.org)

**Subject:** Re: debate the virus isolation issue?

*"I am working on a set of hypotheses that may **bypass this debate**.... Personally, I'm not interested in throwing my scarce waking energy at a debate on this topic, but I'm spending several hours a day in reading and discussions on tangential topics..... I never agreed to debate anyone on whether viruses are real, nor do I think it's worth the time..." -- Mathew Crawford, Feb 3, 2022 and Feb 4, 2022.*

Please stop.

Hi Steve,

I see [the announcement](#) of a new challenge that will soon be presented to the virus-pushers of this world has you rattled and hoping to cause a distraction.

Try not to behave in your **typically conniving** manner, and give me a chance to consult with my colleagues before you run off and post another misleading blog, please?

Byram and Jessica - be advised that Steve has a history of running away from debate challenges and pretending to his readers that the "no virus" crowd are the ones who backed away, as shown in the emails below and here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/open-letter-to-steve-kirsch-january-10-2022/>

Christine

...

**Steve Kirsch**

to me, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▾

4:02 PM (2 minutes ago)



Wow. Is that how science works? It wasn't that at all.

Now that i've heard back from you I'll hit the publish button and you'll learn the motivation for the request.

...

**Christine Massey**


to Steve, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▾

4:04 PM (0 minutes ago)



Of course you will ignore my request to give me a chance to consult with my colleagues. Typical!

...

 **Christine Massey** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

to Steve, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▾

Tue, Jun 14, 7:17 PM (14 hours ago)



Steve - a few things:

1) Mark Bailey has confirmed he will be happy to join the debate. He and Sam have several children including a baby so I'm not sure yet if she will join. I'm waiting to hear from the others.

2) As I'm sure you were already aware before you issued this sudden invitation, my colleagues and I are currently planning a new challenge for the virus-pushers in order to help settle this issue. Therefore the debate **may** need to wait until we've finalized those plans.

You did, after all, run twice already, so you can hardly expect us to drop everything and start prioritizing another debate with you and your "team" (that never materialized in the past) over our new project. Even now, you are distracting me from another important deadline.

3) Dr. Kaufman already put in time and effort planning a debate with you and went to the trouble of drafting a debate question and outline in January (which has been on my website for months: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/open-letter-to-steve-kirsch-january-10-2022/>), and then you chickened out. So don't expect any of us to expend much energy towards planning another debate with you or to agree to a different topic. You'll have to convince us that you plan to follow through this time and show some respect.

4) I've already confirmed Mark Bailey for you. Meanwhile, I note that your "invitation" was in fact worded **hypothetically** and that you copied 3 people on it without any concrete indication as to whether or not they have agreed to participate.

Given that and #3, instead of demanding to know up front who would be on **my** team, why don't you demonstrate that you're actually serious this time by letting us know whether you have anyone lined up for your side and if so who? In fact, how about posting this information on your blog, in a demonstration of good faith?

5) I have to turn my attention back to the **deadline** that you are interestingly distracting me from right now and I have a court date next week to prepare for, so don't expect me to be available to answer anymore emails for the next week.

Christine

**Christine Massey** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

to Steve, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▾

Jun 14, 2022, 7:20 PM (14 hours ago)



p.s. just read your [new blog post](#); please confirm which of the following is willing to participate in a "virus existence" debate:

Tess Lawrie

Dr. Andrew Hill

Dr. Pierre Kory

Dr. Paul Marik

\*\*\*

**Steve Kirsch**

to me, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▾

12:12 AM (9 hours ago)



I'll recruit a team after you accept.

I'm not going to assemble a team if your side won't show up.

Assume they all have impeccable credentials.

It will not be any of the people you listed.

All will have domain specific knowledge.

Basically, comparable to Professor Byram Bridle or better.

I don't want to waste your time (or ours) debating lightweights.

Sent from my iPhone

**Steve Kirsch**

to me, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▼

3:15 AM (6 hours ago)



Just had time to read your earlier email

1. The people on the cc: lines are just friends, not people who would debate you. Byram might, but he's been hard to reach.
2. I'll participate on our side, but I will also assemble a team assuming you agree to send the A-team on your side. I'm not going to assemble an A-team on my side if you don't supply one on your side.
3. I never agreed to debate your folks in the past. I'm offering this for the first time now just to check the box.
4. I have never "chickened out" to any challenge I have accepted. But this is water under the bridge at this point, since now I'm asking you to debate a team I will assemble. If you agree to supply yourself, lanka, Kaufman and a bailey, I'll put together the team on my side.
5. Your team is the chest thumpers on this issue claiming that YOU will debate all comers.
6. So I'm just confirming you will confirm the team I just outlined to debate my A-team. Since your team is known, this should be easy for you to do. One email does the trick. Why would you not be able to commit yourself, lanka, Kaufman, and one of the bailey's?
7. I have a tougher burden since I have to recruit a team whose members are unknown to me at this time. It's a fair amount of work to do that.

...

**Mathew Crawford**

to Steve, me, Byram, Jessica ▼

4:31 AM (5 hours ago)



"Water under the bridge" should be the spirit and starting point. Friendly and calm debate. None of us is the global authoritarian menace.

Christine Massey

10:25 AM (0 minutes ago) ☆ ↩ ⋮

to Mathew, Steve, Byram, Jessica ▾

I refer Steve's "just friends" to the challenge that he issued to me on January 18th, attached. More of those communications are [on my website](#) and he also blogged about this - publicly insisting that we debate and then running away and making excuses on his blog.

Steve, this is **your idea** and you already have a history of issuing empty challenges and wasting the time and energy of my colleagues and I.

I've already confirmed more than you have. You haven't yet named a single man or woman who is even **interested** in doing this. There are **plenty** of virus-pushing "experts" out there for you to approach, many whom you cite on your website. What happened to Sabine Hasan?

Get to work if you're serious about this, then get back to us.

**And leave me alone for the next week - I'm busy.**

...

 Steve Kinosh  
January 18, 2022 at 10:25am (1)

Since you are an expert on the topic, let me know when you'd like to accept it. I'll be the world that my experts all got it wrong. This will be fun. You can prove that I'm wrong for things like that.

Please supply at least 3 different dates/times.

Email your request to [stevekinosh.requests@gmail.com](mailto:stevekinosh.requests@gmail.com)

We look forward to chatting with you.

 Reply

Steve Kirsch

to me, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▾

YOU WERE THE ONE WHO “CHICKENED OUT” on this!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

OK, we’re done if you can’t assemble your own team.

7:32 PM (22 minutes ago)





**Steve Kirsch**

to me, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▾

7:55 PM (17 minutes ago) ☆ ↩ ⋮

But just to put the nail in the coffin, i'll go ahead and assemble a team, make the official challenge, and then you can back out officially.

⋮  
⋮



**Christine Massey**

to Steve, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▼

8:16 PM (0 minutes ago)



Steve - you are pathetic.  
The facts are all documented on my website.

Jan 18 you challenged me

Jan 21 I accepted (page 6):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Steve-Kirsch-communication-PACKAGE.pdf>

Jan 26 you created a bogus excuse to back out:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/steve-looking-for-excuse-to-back-out.png>

and on Jan 28 misrepresented me to your readers:

<https://stevekirsch.substack.com/p/does-anyone-want-to-debate-does-the?s=r>

You asked me ONCE about measles, and I responded within 11 minutes - but you pretended that I repeatedly dodged the question and used that as an excuse to run away.

All of that and more is documented on my website, under my Open Letter (as these latest emails now are as well)::

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/open-letter-to-steve-kirsch-january-10-2022/>

Do whatever you want. The more you write the more of an immature ass you reveal yourself to be.

\*\*\*

**Steve Kirsch**

Jun 15, 2022, 11:46 PM (8 hours ago)



to kevin.mckernan@medicinalgenomics.com, Stephanie, Marc, me, Mathew, Byram, Jessica ▾

Christine,

Kevin Mckernan will lead the team on our side.

At a minimum it will be Kevin, me, and Stephanie Seneff.

We are trying to reach Marc Girardot, Chris Martenson, Byram Bridle, JJ Couey, and a few more.

Is that sufficient?

To make this happen, you'd need to have yourself, Lanka, Kaufaman, and one of the Baileys on board.

Do you accept? If so please give us 4 dates you can do it. We'll set it for 2 hours.

Will you or someone else be negotiating the format with me?

\*\*\*

Christine Massey

8:37 AM (1 minute ago)



to Steve, Mathew, Byram, Jessica, kevin.mckernan@medicinalgenomics.com, Stephanie, Marc ▼

Steve, what part of "***I have to turn my attention back to the deadline that you are interestingly distracting me from right now and I have a court date next week to prepare for, so don't expect me to be available to answer anymore emails for the next week***" do you not understand?

What part of "***leave me alone for the next week - I'm busy***" do you not understand?

I refer any honest people copied on this email to Steve's history of publicly lying about me and running away from debates - including the one he initiated - but pretending that I ran. It's all documented under my Open Letter to him:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/open-letter-to-steve-kirsch-january-10-2022/>

\*\*\*



kevin mckernan

9:00 AM (1 hour ago) ☆ ↩ ⋮

to me, Byram, Jessica, Marc, Mathew, Stephanie, Steve ▾

Everyone take a deep breath.  
We are not your enemy.  
We can all learn from each other but nothing positive will come from this discussion if it's spawned from vitriol and disrespect.


We should all be celebrating the fact that despite the desperation of the central planning cabal of government 'scientists', science is decentralizing and with this will come a diversity of opinion we will need to learn to be receptive to.

Case in point.  
Yesterday, I plugged in a new pocket sized \$5K Nanopore sequencer and was able to sequence the genome of the Psilocybe cubensis strain Bplus. These fungi synthesis powerful and non toxic antidepressants many of of could use right now.  
1X coverage of the 50Mb genome in 25 minutes.

A decade ago, I'd need a grant from Francis Collins and a letter from the DEA to pull it off. Soon it will be a phone app.  
The more affordable and decentralized science becomes, the more citizens can participate and less prone the system is to fiat influence and grant puppeteering of the narrative.

We are all busy but will meet with you at your convenience.

\*\*\*



Christine Massey

9:04 AM (1 hour ago) ☆

Please do not copy me on any more emails that are not focused on arranging a debate, and please do not email me again until at least Tuesday.



**kevin mckernan**

to me, Byram, Jessica, Marc, Mathew, Stephanie, Steve ▾

9:30 AM (1 hour ago) ☆ ↶ ⋮

You should never outsource your email filters to strangers.

If you can't figure out how to mute emails, I'm skeptical you can figure much else out.

This is a transparent ploy.

Be exceptionally rude so people don't want to speak with you and then yell "See! They won't debate me"

Google email filtering tips below-

<https://support.google.com/mail/answer/6579?hl=en>

\*\*\*