

Sat, Jun 4, 2022 at 11:27 AM

Reply-To: [REDACTED]
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

[REDACTED] His sophomoric response to my noting to him the trouble about viral existence is that T-cells respond to specific epitopes when even I know that there is cross-reactivity.

From his letter to me below:

"Something has to account for the appearance of T-cells responsive to epitopes consistent with the claimed transmissible agent. Again, happy to hop to the non-viral origins for those, but they've been reported thousands of times over decades. They're a real phenomenon & require explanation"

My reply to Mike who doesn't reply:

Why must T cells be cross-reactive? [Andrew K. Sewell, *Nature Reviews Immunology* volume 12, pages 669–677 \(2012\) Published: 24 August 2012](#)

Clonal selection theory proposed that individual T cells are specific for a single peptide-MHC antigen. However, the repertoire of $\alpha\beta$ T cell receptors (TCRs) is dwarfed by the vast array of potential foreign peptide-MHC complexes, and a comprehensive system requires each T cell to recognize numerous peptides and thus be cross-reactive. This compromise on specificity has profound implications because the chance of any natural peptide-MHC ligand being an optimal fit for its cognate TCR is small, as there will almost always be more-potent agonists. Furthermore, any TCR raised against a specific peptide-MHC complex *in vivo* can only be the best available solution from the naive T cell pool and is unlikely to be the best possible solution from the substantially greater number of TCRs that could theoretically be produced.

----- Original Message -----

2022年5月31日火曜日 0:23 に mike yeadon <yeaddon_m@yahoo.co.uk> が作成:

I genuinely don't understand the line of thinking here.

I've tested with a couple of dozen people I know well the concept of "no viruses" & the probability that I could persuade them they don't exist, therefore the illnesses that we're told result from them (ranging from common colds & flu to "Covid19")...

And asked them to compare that with my chances of persuading them that governments have lied about almost everything.

Every single one goes for the latter. No exceptions.

That's because it's plain as the nose on my face that they are lying. I've given 12 examples. No one has rebutted any of them (conceding that if viruses don't exist, several of them are moot, but most aren't moot).

I cannot lead with "viruses don't exist" because I'm not CERTAIN of that stark position myself. It would show. Because I am honest.

There are too many loose ends created by saying there is no transmissible agent that explains how people catch colds from each other. I'll bet 99% of people say they're quite sure that's true. I'm afraid I believe it also. Now, I'm quite ready to accept that the transmissible agent is not as described. But something accounts for it. I keep asking for the compelling alternative explanation, which is absolutely needed to go down this track. Crickets.

Then there's the clinical immunology. Something has to account for the appearance of T-cells responsive to epitopes consistent with the claimed transmissible agent. Again, happy to hop to the non-viral origins for those, but they've been reported thousands of times over decades. They're a real phenomenon & require explanation.

Can you outline why attempting to persuade the public AND ALL OTHER COMMENTATORS ON OUR SIDE that "viruses don't exist" is superior to the isolated truth (independent both of viruses AND of "vaccines") that governments have lied continually?

I'm doing all the writing. To be perfectly honest, I'm going to stop replying, because I never get proper responses to my reasonable questions. I'm sorry to say that you must understand that I, too, begin to doubt the intent of those who won't address my serious issues with their proposition. Why? Because it's using up time I might have used trying to get a true message out: that malign forces are lying to us in ways that soon will irreversibly cost us our freedoms & probably our lives.

The stakes couldn't be higher.

Best wishes!
Mike

Sent from my iPhone

On May 29, 2022, at 22:22, [REDACTED]

Hi Mike the avg person doesn't get the ins and outs of vaccines, ADE, gene editing etc. They just categorize you as an antivaxer and turn their brain off

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Neither they, nor you, even propose Sars-C-2 never been shown to exist. The no-virus people are kept far away from Alex Jones, Dell Bigtree and other bit Alt news.

We don't need to argue about all viruses. The onus is on those spreading mortal fear into the masses to prove what the fear is. Every time you say Covid is mild or the variants are scaryants you are promoting fear.

[REDACTED]