
<cmssyc@gmail.com>

I think tickets sales would go through the roof!



SHOWING LIVE WHENEVER THEY AGREE... TO ENSURE YOUR TICKET CONTACT

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.



The Baileys would be delighted to join this "virus" party...

Best wishes, Sam [Quoted text hidden]

Kind Regards,



Dr Samantha Bailey
Medical Doctor / Author


Web. https://drsambailey.com
YouTube. youtube.com/c/DrSamBailey



Here's our opportunity...

https://stevekirsch.substack.com/p/do-you-know-why-they-never-want-a

Andy



Stefano's reply is below. I'm attaching debate rules I propose. Please feel free to suggest edits.

### Andy

#### From Stefano:

This guy is unbelievable! So, Lanka won the legal case, but for him he lost because he lost in the lower court! With this kind of reasoning, you can win any argument, if they let you get away with it, that is.

Anyway, if there is any chance for a debate with this or any other guy, let's do it. But there should be clear engagement rules, to prevent turning the debate into a chaotic cacophony.

### Stefano Scoglio



The Rules of Engagement / Debating Rules are excellent Andy.

I think the issue will be a neutral mediator - Is there such a person? That person will also have to have a basic understanding of the isolation issue. As long as the mediator is professional with all the participants and treats everyone equally - that will be ok?

Very Best wishes to all

James McCumiskey



Yes that is what I meant by neutral. I was thinking of an alternative journalist who has covered both sides of the issue. I can think of several candidates.

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Fri, Jan 21, 2022 at 9:26 AM

Re Steve Kirsch, he contacted me before he wrote that latest piece and asked me to pick dates/times after January 27.

I haven't fully read his new article about me yet (b/c I was briefly arrested yesterday for lawfully, peacefully serving a Notice to the local medical officer of health at his home, since they physically prevent people from accessing the office of the health unit, plus was harassed at my home at midnight the night before by officers who pretended to have a warrant but did not).

But I see that Steve is misrepresenting the email exchange that we had.

Here are our communications. Nowhere were the following indicated:

- if I'm done early, we end early
- that I accused him of anything
- that I could bring as many people on my side as I want
- that he's fine with a shorter zoom call

I'm preoccupied now due to having to deal with the police and find a new place to live, but will keep an eye on these emails and gladly set something up with him :)

XOXO

. . . . . . . .

drandykaufman Reply-To:

Fri, Jan 21, 2022 at 3:08 PM

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Christine,

Would you consider putting me in touch with him so I can discuss this with him directly? That will take the load off you as well to deal with your more pressing concerns.

Andy

Steve Kirsch < stk@skirsch.com>

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 25, 2022 at 4:16 PM

if you can't answer the question does the measles virus exist, yes or no, with a yes or a no, I think you'll need to find someone else to debate.

Let me know.

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

To: Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>

Tue, Jan 25, 2022 at 4:27 PM

I have no idea why you are suddenly addressing me this way.

When did you ever ask me this question, Steve? Are you trying to mislead your readers again?

This is the first I've heard from you in days.

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: Steve Kirsch <stk@skirsch.com>

Tue, Jan 25, 2022 at 4:27 PM

And the answer is "no".
[Quoted text hidden]

drandykaufman

Hello all. I removed all email addresses from this group whom I don't know. If I accidentally removed someone who would potentially be debating please let me know.

I have been doing some preliminary negotiating with Steve Kirsch. I'd like to pin down the main debate issue. Is this language acceptable to everyone? If not please edit and distribute.

#### Debate question:

The published experiments on the isolation and in silico genome sequencing prove the existence of the SARS-CoV-2 "virus." True or False?

The purpose of this debate is to engage in a healthy scientific discussion. The spirit and philosophy of scientific inquiry demands attempting to refute all theories until it is clear they are irrefutable. This debate rekindles that spirit and serves to advance the state of truthful knowledge about the natural world. As such, the debate must only include scientific arguments. The focus is on the experimental procedures used to allege the existence of viruses, in particular SARS-CoV-2. Have these methods demonstrated the existence of a real biological entity found in nature or are they a misinterpretation resulting from the experimental procedure itself? Why have virologists been unable to extract and purify viral particles directly from the host? Why do they rely on computer computation to construct a genome? Have proper control experiments been done? If not, why?



I wonder, is Steve looking for an excuse to back out? I just received a strange email from him. I have no idea what brought this on.

