Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: Dr M Trozzi

Fri, Sep 9, 2022 at 6:17 PM

Dear Dr. Trozzi,

In the video you posted on your website on Sept 5th, you state that it's clear that SARS-COV-2 and other alleged viruses do exist

https://drtrozzi.org/2022/09/05/germ-terrain-reality-is-sars-cov2-real/

Please share the scientific evidence you rely on for the "reality" of SARS-COV-2 (or any other virus you say exists, natural or man-made), or any so-called "viral" genome.

If you are relying on the same papers that were cited by Rob Verkerk in his article that you also posted on your site on Sept. 5th (wherein he stated that the number of FOI'd institutions represented in my collection is 90 when in fact it is 208 and he linked to Mark Crispin Miller's site instead of my own), please let me know.

Also be advised that you have misrepresented the 'no virus' arguments of many people such as myself.

I hope you will set the record straight for your followers and would be happy to talk to you about this. Our arguments are based on the anti-scientific nature of the methods used in virology, including the construction of "genomes" that you say are legitimate.

Your response, or non-response, will be made public in the interest of settling this matter.

Best wishes.

Christine

FOIs reveal that health/science institutions around the world (208 and counting!) have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification, anywhere, ever:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/

FOIs reveal that health/science institutions have no record of any "virus" having been found in a host and isolated/purified. Because virology isn't a science:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: Dr M Trozzi < Cc: Mon, Oct 3, 2022 at 3:29 PM

Dear Dr. Trozzi, member of the World Council for Health Steering Committee:

To follow up on my prior message, I've attached for you some papers wherein authors claimed to have isolated and sequenced the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (including the CDC study, the McMaster/Sunnybrook paper and the earliest papers out of China). I have some questions for you and your colleagues at the WCfH.



 Have you read the Methods that were used in these papers, or in any other "SARS-COV-2 isolation/sequencing" papers?

Keeping in mind that "SARS-COV-2" is said to be an infectious, replication-competent, obligate intracellular parasite that consists of a genome (of which there are now millions of versions uploaded online) and a proteinaceous shell that is encoded by the genome, that spreads from host to host causing disease via natural modes of exposure...

2. Can you cite even 1 document (i.e. "isolation/sequencing" paper, "gain of function" paper, patent) wherein the scientific method was applied and the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or any other alleged virus, natural or man-made) was shown to exist? (If not, can you even cite a paper wherein the genome of particles alleged to be "SARS-COV-2" was actually determined in a valid, logical, scientific fashion?)

If not:

- Why are you assuring people that "viruses do exist"?
- Why do you encourage people to let governments and other perpetrators off the hook for having to prove the
 existence of alleged "viruses"? Why are you "giving them the virus for free"?
- Why do you defend dogma that keeps people in fear, makes them easy to manipulate, and has been weaponized against humanity for a very long time?
- . Will you stop doing these things and lend support to the Settling the Virus Debate statement?
- The following essays are recommended reading for you and your colleagues at the WCfH: https://drsambailey.com/the-covid-19-fraud-war-on-humanity/ https://drsambailey.com/a-farewell-to-virology-expert-edition/

Best wishes, Christine	
[Quoted text hidden]	
5 attachments	
Zhu NEJM A Novel Coronavirus from APPENDIX.pdf 176K	n Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019 SUPPLEMENTAR
Zhu NEJM A Novel Coronavirus from 910K	Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019.pdf
Wu.pdf	

Zhou A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin.pdf 10593K

CDC Harcourt June 2020.pdf 3931K



Dr Mark Trozzi

Honest | Ethical | Medical Doctor







🔘 Dr Mark Trozzi 📋 October 11, 2022

This past summer, I took a significantly deep dive into the questions of germ verses terrain theories; and whether viruses and particularly SAR COV2 actually exist? In addition to my independent investigation, I attended a presentation and Q and A with Dr Wahg. Also, under the excellent chairmanship of Drs Kathrada and Verkerk, we in the Health and Humanities Committee of the World Council for Health, investigated, and debated these questions.

On September 5th, I shared my personal conclusions on these questions in a video titled "Germ & Terrain Reality. Is SARS CoV2 real? < https://drtrozzi.org/2022/09/05/germ-terrain-reality-is-sars-cov2-real/> " At the same time, Dr Rob Verkerk PhD founder of ANH International published an excellent article titled "Does the virus exist? A critical need for resolution < https://drtrozzi.org/2022/09/05/does-the-virus-exist-a-critical-need-forresolution/> ". That's all fine and I hope you enjoyed what we each shared.

However, since Sept 5, something strange has happened; Dr Verkerk, myself and other scientists with similar conclusions have been accused of something bizarre.

I hope you will find this worth ten minutes. There may be something important here for our unity and success.

> **English** (UK)

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: Dr M Trozzi <

Wed, Oct 19, 2022 at 7:39 PM

Dear Dr. Trozzi and Dr. Lawrie,

I thought I would try one final time to see if you would respond to any of my questions.

The World Council for Health (slogans: "Science Belongs to the People; Reclaiming Science Together") has been influencing public opinion and behaviour via promotion of the virus/variants narratives. And together you make up one half of the Steering Committee for the Council. Thus it is in the public interest that you disclose the science, if any, relied upon.

Like the W.H.O., the World Council for Health is not subject to Freedom of Information legislation. The W.H.O. does have an FOI-like process, yet no one has deigned to answer a query for records regarding the alleged virus. I hope you practice greater transparency.

I know that you are both aware of my FOI collection showing that literally hundreds of institutions in over 35 countries have all failed to provide or cite any record, by anyone, anywhere, ever, of a foundational step required to show the existence of an alleged virus. My questions to you are perfectly valid, so I hope that one of you will respond rather than deflect and distract with another "Strange Accusation"-style video.

Also, please note that contrary to assertions and insinuations made by Dr. Trozzi and others, the "no virus" movement has nothing whatsoever to do with letting any "covid-19" perpetrators off the hook. We are exposing the true nature of an entire field of blatant pseudoscience that has been weaponized against humanity, generation after generation, used as the premise for dozens of entirely useless and harmful injections including the horrifically dangerous HPV "vaccines" and dozens more that parents are bullied and coerced into having their children injected with in order to attend school; all "COVID-19" jabs, measures and tyranny; HIV terrorism; etc.

The people involved in the antiscientific "gain of function" studies and "virus" patents must be held accountable for their actual crimes. Reinforcing and rubber-stamping irrational and unscientific methodologies in order to build a case against them is not an honourable thing to do, nor will it benefit humanity in the long run.

Dr. Trozzi, who recently announced that he *thinks* that viruses "probably do exist" and indirectly cited invalid "SARS-COV-2 isolation and sequencing" studies, is now inducing stress and fear via claims that we now have a "really big coronavirus problem" due to alleged antibody dependent enhancement. In my view, this plays into the hands of the perpetrators - attributing future illness and death to a "really bad coronavirus" rather than the real causes.

These emails will be published with or without a response from you - the choice is yours.

Christine Massey Ontario, Canada

Freedom of Information Responses reveal that health/science institutions around the world (211 and counting!) have no record of SARS-COV-2 (the alleged convid virus) isolation/purification, anywhere, ever:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/

FOIs reveal that health/science institutions have no record of any "virus" having been found in a host and isolated/purified. Because virology isn't a science:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/

Do virologists perform valid control experiments? Is virology a science?

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-virologists-perform-valid-control-experiments-is-virology-a-science/ [Quoted text hidden]

Thu, Oct 20, 2022 at 3:04 AM

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
Cc: Dr M Trozzi <

Dear Christine,

Your approach suggests you are more interested in picking a fight than helping humanity at this time.

Sending love,

Tess

Dr Tess Lawrie

Director/CEO

C: EBMC Ltd /EbMCsquared CiC W: www.e-bmc.co.uk / www.ebmcsquared.org

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Oct 20, 2022 at 11:25 AM

To: Cc: Dr M Trozzi

Hi Tess.

I have asked simple, valid questions in the interest of humanity. Asking questions is not "picking a fight".

Whether or not viruses actually exist matters greatly to the fate of humanity.

Your response, and Mark's failure to respond, suggests to me that you both realize that you either are unable to evidence your claims, or you are not clear about the science. If you are unclear, I, and/or some of my colleagues, would be happy to talk with you. Many lay people around the world already understand the problems that are inherent to virology, so you and Mark are definitely capable of understanding them as well.

Respectfully, I suggest it is a disservice to the world to promote a paradigm that you are not clear about and publish frightening projections based on said paradigm.

Also, you should realize that having influential people promote themselves as councillors to the world on health matters, but failing to evidence their claims, refusing to answer simple questions, and gaslighting dissents is alarming. The existing legislated institutions generally at least provide a response of some sort. Will you not even do that much?

Best wishes, Christine [Quoted text hidden]