Article at CHD and Censorship

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>,

CHD posted an article on this yesterday and I posted two comments within that thread one of which was censored. Below the article you will see the two comments.

I then challenged CHD on why my comment was censored. I will post that exchange in a follow up note.

https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/denis-rancourt-militaristic-medicine-excess-deaths-rfk-ir-podcast/

First comment which was censored:

I hope people will take the time to carefully listen to the interview and go read Rancourt's paper on all-cause mortality. As you state it blows the lid off of the health freedom narrative that a viral pathogen caused mass death.

There was no pandemic and no unique viral pathogen. It was public health despotism that killed hundreds of thousands in the US.

If you listen to the interview with Denis Rancourt you will see multiple examples that illustrate RFK's inherent contradictions and assorted logical fallacies as he discusses and attempts to defend the notion that there was a viral pandemic. RFK (and JJ Couey) now seems to be pushing some "targeted aersolization spread" theory of which there is no evidence and plenty of evidence that illustrates this so-called theory to be complete nonsense.

If you or I made such a ludicrous assertion we would be laughed out of the ballpark.

Are we suppose to ignore this?

Look this is THE guy who sits atop the mountain of the "health freedom movement." Yet on this most basic of questions he hasn't the capacity to discuss this with any degree of intellectual rigor. He simply doesn't know much about it- admits he doesn't- yet not only forms (and has) a firm hypothesis (which defies all logic and biological and medical history) but has the audacity to state that his cock-eyed theory is what EVERYONE should hold to be true.

And guess what- because he is seen as THE sacred cow virtually everyone who hears the words out of RFK's mouth will believe this patently absurd theory EVEN AS he openly admits he doesn't know much about one of the lynchpins of his theory.

That's nuts man, and I can guarantee that if it were the other way around people would be skewering Rancourt but I guess RFK is to get a pass here cause it might "hurt the cause" or

"create division? What crazy logic is this?

This snippet is not unique it is indicative.

BTW Tuscany is in western Italy, west of the Apennines, south and west of the actual region which appeared to be heavily impacted. He couldn't even get the damn place right and knows zero about what has been happening in N Italy for the last 15 years- hint: it ain't a virus.

Listen to the entire interview, RFK was in way over his head. He sidestepped many of Rancourt's points which illustrated via concrete evidence there was no pandemic.

Here is a snippet from the transcript. At this point in the interview Kennedy is responding to Rancourt's evidence that viral transmission could not be possible for excess mortality as it has been stated. Note that RFK postulates a theory as to how the viral spread occurred in N Italy AND presumptuously posits that "most people" would recommend this:

@8:54:

RFK: "Okay, so let me push back on you. Yeah. You know, I think most people are going to recommend here, which is that the reason that you had these huge number of deaths in Paris and New York and for example, in northern Italy, which you did not mention in Tuscany, the same time as earlier, actually, than the spikes that I was mentioning. I'd love to hear your explanation for that. Because that seemed to be I mean, that's where we all got the idea that this virus was galloping through and killing lots and lots of people. And they didn't have remdesivir in Italy at that time, so something was killing people."

The conversation returns to Italy and after Rancourt suggests the deaths there were mainly in hospitals RFK says the following:

@31:56

RFK: "My impression I don't know much about Italy either than the news reports and having been over there and people having the impression..."

Read that again- RFK freely admits he doesn't know much about what happened in Italy but just 23 minutes earlier he used N Italy as a lynchpin for his "hypothesis."

There are multiple other examples of RFK's lack of coherence. He simply can't or won't come to terms with the fact that there was no pandemic it was administrative slaughter by the Bio-Security State used to instill fear, force lockdowns, roll out mRNA's and smash the economic order for the Going Direct Great Reset as decided upon by the G7 nations in 2019 at Jackson Hole.

=========

Second comment that did go through which was a reply to another:

"Team Reality" doesn't seem up to facing what actually happened in the hospitals in Spring 2020 which illustrates that there was no unique viral pathogen and no pandemic.

People were not being impacted by germs and microbes in any way that was different from every season- they were being murdered. This renders all the absurd talk of 'bioweapons", "lab leaks", "China virus", "targeted aerosolization" and so forth meaningless.

That the likes of Robert Malone, Jessica Rose, Ryan Cole and even RFK continue with this demonstrably false notion of "spread" is inexcusable at this point and a slap in the face to those who were murdered and then used to create the hysteria that launched the global Covid Operation.

Too much for people to come to terms with? Too bad- it's what happened. And it happened primarily to the nameless, faceless poor and many who came from institutionalized settings.

They don't seem to count for much even amongst the top tier 'Covid skeptics' who fly around to fancy conferences perpetuating the lie that there was a pandemic that was "just handled poorly."



Defender

CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE NEWS & VIEWS

CHD COVID BIG PHARMA BIG ENERGY BIG FOOD BIG TECH BIG CHEMICA







Maxwell

(b) 6 hours ago

Hey CHD why have you censored my other comment here which quotes directly from the interview and illustrates clearly RFK is flat wrong on "viral spread" and the notion that there ever was a "pandemic?"



John Stone Mod 2+ → Maxwell

O 5 hours ago

It was in my opinion a gratuitous and personal attack: we encompass and discuss a wide range of opinions at CHD and Mr Kennedy was giving a platform to Denis Rancourt to express his and discuss the results of his latest research.



Maxwell → John Stone

(2) 3 hours ago

It wasn't a personal attack whatsoever. Anyone who has been able to read it would see that it was focused on one specific aspect of the exchange (using the EXACT words from

Defender

COVID BIG PHARMA BIG ENERGY BIG FOOD BIG TECH BIG CHEW

it was focused on one specific aspect of the exchange (using the EXACT words from Rancourt and RFK from the transcript) where RFK's "targeted aerosolized theory" was repudiated with evidence by Rancourt.

This is a HUGE matter as what Rancourt illustrates, as have others, is that there was no pandemic-people were killed via public health despotism.

I understand the difficulty of your position Josh but you are being rather disingenuous here and stifling open inquiry. It is best for all of us to not have any sacred cows or heroes and simply doggedly pursue the truth.

RFK and by default CHD has quite a bit invested in pushing the theory of "viral spread" which covers up the reality of what happened in the hospitals and nursing homes.

This needs to be reevaluated- we are three years on now and not looking at this evidence which is rather voluminous and conclusive is inexcusable at this stage.

It is not honorable to those who died due to these human caused administrative diktats that CHD and RFK continue to insist on attributing these murders to a "viral event."

I ask that you put my comment up for the public to decide.



Yes, it was personal and since Mr Kennedy was seeking Rancourt's views, which may very well result in him modifying his own, completely unnecessary.

Article at CHD and Censorship

Fri, Feb 10, 2023 at 8:45 PM

Christine Massey < cmssyc@gmail.com>

Further censorship. Note- moderator is John Stone not Josh as I had misstated earlier.

Moderator John Stone:

Yes, it was personal and since Mr Kennedy was seeking Rancourt's views, which may very well result in him modifying his own, completely unnecessary.

My response to John Stone is below which was censored:

No- it absolutely was not.

You are simply making that up in order to justify your censorship.

It was a very well written deconstruction of a segment of the interview which illustrated, through his own words, that RFK was completely wrong in his analysis on what happened in N Italy and his overall analysis of "targeted spread" of which he used N Italy as a lynchpin. He didn't even get the location correct as he stated Tuscany (twice). Tuscany is in western Italy, west of the Apennines, south and west of the actual region where the hospicide and eldercide took place.

This is no small matter, not simply an abstract matter.

I will say it again RFK is flat wrong AND this serves to cover up the crimes of what happened, diverts attention to what actually happened in the hospitals and nursing homes. The demonstrably absurd lie that there was a pandemic caused by unique viral pathogen spread absolves those who committed these crimes as the deaths get falsely attributed to "the virus."

This causes damage in the immediate and knowingly or not legitimizes the pandemic narrative and the Biosecurity State.

On Fri, Feb 10, 2023 at 12:11 PM

wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]