

# FOIA to CDC re: scientific proof of "measles virus", or purification

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "FOIA Requests (CDC)" <FOIARequests@cdc.gov> Sun, Jan 1, 2023 at 2:49 PM

January 1, 2023

To: Roger Andoh Freedom of Information Officer 1600 Clifton Rd NE MS T-01 Atlanta, Georgia 30333 Email: FOIARequests@cdc.gov Phone: 770-488-6277 Fax: 770-488-6200

Greetings Roger,

I require access to general records, as per the Freedom of Information Act.

### **Description of Requested Records:**

1. All studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) that scientifically prove the existence of the alleged **measles virus**;

### Note:

Scientific proof is NOT

- Opinions
- Speculation
- Review papers
- Descriptive papers

### Scientific proof requires

- Use of the scientific method
- Repeatable and falsifiable hypotheses that have been tested using valid, controlled experiments where only 1 variable differs between the experimental and control groups
- In this case, the 1 manipulated variable would be the presence/absence of purified particles suspected of being a "virus"
- Consistent results from valid, controlled experiments (i.e. identical "genomes", consistent in vivo effects)

2. If the CDC has no studies responsive to #1 above, please indicate such explicitly, and provide all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the **purification** of particles that are alleged to be this virus, directly **from bodily fluid/tissue/excrement or from a cell culture, with purification confirmed via EM imaging** (the images must be available as well).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:

- · cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or
- · created an in silico "genome", and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a "virus" without host cells.

Gmail - FOIA to CDC re: scientific proof of "measles virus", or purification

Further, I am **not** requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification** (**separation** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other small things).

#### **General Note:**

Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by the CDC or ATSDR or that pertain to work done at/by the CDC or ATSDR. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description authored by anyone, anywhere, ever.

### **Publicly Available Records**

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please assist me by providing enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

### Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; please don't ship anything to me;

### **Contact Information:**

email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Thank you in advance and best wishes, Christine of the Massey family



Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

# Your CDC FOIA Request #23-00464-FOIA

MNHarper@cdc.gov <MNHarper@cdc.gov> To: cmssyc@gmail.com Wed, Jan 4, 2023 at 12:27 PM

January 4, 2023

Request Number: 23-00464-FOIA

Dear Ms. Massey:

This is regarding your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of January 1, 2023, for 1. All studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) that scientifically prove the existence of the alleged measles virus; Note: Scientific proof is NOT • Opinions • Speculation • Review papers • Descriptive papers Scientific proof requires • Use of the scientific method • Repeatable and falsifiable hypotheses that have been tested using valid, controlled experiments where only 1 variable differs between the experimental and control groups • In this case, the 1 manipulated variable would be the presence/absence of purified particles suspected of being a "virus" • Consistent results from valid, controlled experiments (i.e. identical "genomes", consistent in vivo effects) 2. If the CDC has no studies responsive to #1 above, please indicate such explicitly, and provide all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the purification of particles that are alleged to be this virus, directly from bodily fluid/tissue/excrement or from a cell culture, with purification confirmed via EM imaging (the images must be available as well). Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead: • cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or • performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or • created an in silico "genome", and/or • produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things..

Please see the attached letter.

Sincerely, CDC/ATSDR FOIA Office 770-488-6399

2 attachments

23-00464 Acknowledgement (Complex) 30 Days (027).pdf 157K

**FOIA to CDC re\_ scientific proof of \_measles virus\_\_ or purification.msg** 



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Atlanta GA 30333

January 4, 2023

Christine Massey

Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) received your attached Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated January 1, 2023. Your request assigned number is 23-00464-FOIA, and it has been placed in our complex processing queue.

In unusual circumstances, an agency can extend the twenty-working-day limit to respond to a FOIA request.

We will require more than thirty working days to respond to your request because we reasonably expect that two or more CDC centers, institutes, and offices (C/I/Os) may have responsive records.

To process your request promptly, please consider narrowing the scope of your request to limit the number of responsive records. If you have any questions or wish to discuss reformulation or an alternative time frame for the processing of your request, you may contact the analyst handling your request Mark Harper at 770-488-8154 or our FOIA Public Liaison, Roger Andoh at 770-488-6277. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Services (OGIS) to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services; National Archives and Records Administration; 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS; College Park, Maryland 20740-6001; e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

Because you are considered an "Other requester" you are entitled to two hours of free search time, and up to 100 pages of duplication (or the cost equivalent of other media) without charge, and you will not be charged for review time. We may charge for search time beyond the first two hours and for duplication beyond the first 100 pages. (10 cents/page).

If you don't provide us with a date range for your request, the cut-off date for your request will be the date the search for responsive records starts.

You may check on the status of your case on our FOIA webpage <u>https://foia.cdc.gov/app/Home.aspx</u> and entering your assigned request number. If you have any questions regarding your request, please contact me at 770-488-8154 or via email at wzj6@cdc.gov.

We reasonably anticipate that you should receive documents by March 24, 2023. Please know that this date roughly estimates how long it will take the Agency to close requests ahead of your request in the queue and complete work on your request.

The actual date of completion might be before or after this estimated date.

Sincerely,

Roger Andoh CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer Office of the Chief Operating Officer (770) 488-6399 Fax: (404) 235-1852

23-00464-FOIA



Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

## Your CDC FOIA Request #23-00464-FOIA

MNHarper@cdc.gov <MNHarper@cdc.gov> To: cmssyc@gmail.com Mon, Jan 23, 2023 at 10:20 AM

January 23, 2023

Request Number: 23-00464-FOIA

Dear Ms. Massey:

This is regarding your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of January 1, 2023, for 1. All studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) that scientifically prove the existence of the alleged measles virus; Note: Scientific proof is NOT • Opinions • Speculation • Review papers • Descriptive papers Scientific proof requires • Use of the scientific method • Repeatable and falsifiable hypotheses that have been tested using valid, controlled experiments where only 1 variable differs between the experimental and control groups • In this case, the 1 manipulated variable would be the presence/absence of purified particles suspected of being a "virus" • Consistent results from valid, controlled experiments (i.e. identical "genomes", consistent in vivo effects) 2. If the CDC has no studies responsive to #1 above, please indicate such explicitly, and provide all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the purification of particles that are alleged to be this virus, directly from bodily fluid/tissue/excrement or from a cell culture, with purification confirmed via EM imaging (the images must be available as well). Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead: • cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or • performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or • created an in silico "genome", and/or • produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things..

Please see the attached letter.

Sincerely, CDC/ATSDR FOIA Office 770-488-6399



23-00464 Final Response Full Grant (1).pdf 185K



#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Atlanta GA 30333 January 23, 2023

Christine Massey

Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

This letter is our final response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of January 1, 2023, assigned #23-00464-FOIA, for:

Greetings Roger,

I require access to general records, as per the Freedom of Information Act.

#### Description of Requested Records:

1. All studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) that scientifically prove the existence of the alleged measles virus;

Note:

Scientific proof is NOT

- Opinions
- Speculation
- Review papers
- Descriptive papers

Scientific proof requires

- Use of the scientific method
- Repeatable and falsifiable hypotheses that have been tested using valid, controlled experiments where only 1 variable differs between the experimental and control groups
- In this case, the 1 manipulated variable would be the presence/absence of purified particles suspected of being a "virus"
- Consistent results from valid, controlled experiments (i.e. identical "genomes", consistent in vivo effects)

2. If the CDC has no studies responsive to #1 above, please indicate such explicitly, and provide all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the **purification** of particles that are alleged to be this virus, directly **from bodily fluid/tissue/excrement or from a cell culture, with purification confirmed via EM imaging** (the images must be available as well).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or
- created an in silico "genome", and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am **not** requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification** (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other small things).

### General Note:

Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by the CDC or ATSDR or that pertain to work done at/by the CDC or ATSDR. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description authored by anyone, anywhere, ever.

### Publicly Available Records

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please assist me by providing enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Program provides the following response to your request:

Measles was first shown to be caused by an infectious agent over 100 years ago in an experiment transmitting human measles infection to monkeys (Goldberger and Anderson, 1911). Virus isolation in cell culture occurred in 1959 (Enders and Peebles, 1959).

Regarding the CDC's current recommendations for collection of measles specimens and the isolation of the measles virus from those specimens, as well as many related topics, please see the information provided at the CDC Measles Laboratory website (https://www.cdc.gov/measles/lab-tools/index.html) and the Laboratory Testing section of the Measles chapter in the CDC online Manual for the Surveillance of Vaccine-Preventable Diseases (https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/surv-manual/chpt07-measles.html#lab).

If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of the records provided please contact either our FOIA Requester Service Center at 770-488-6399 or our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6246.

Roger Andoh CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer Office of the Chief Operating Officer (770) 488-6399 Fax: (404) 235-1852

23-00464-FOIA



Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

# Your CDC FOIA Request #23-00464-FOIA

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: MNHarper@cdc.gov Wed, Mar 1, 2023 at 4:46 PM

Greetings Mr. Harper and Mr. Andoh,

Apologies for my late reply in regards to the response letter.

Goldberger and Anderson's study was pseudoscientific by definition, nothing more than pointless animal torture. The authors lacked a valid independent variable and a valid mode of exposure (they injected little monkeys with blood, in various parts of their bodies including the heart and head), and they failed to implement controls. This is not scientific proof of a virus, or an example of an alleged virus being purified from anything, and EM images did not exist in 1911. https://www.jstor.org/stable/4566361?seq=1

Regarding Enders and Peebles, I assume Roger meant 1954? If so, that infamous paper is also pseudoscientific by definition. One of my colleagues, Mike Stone, has written about it on his viroLIEgy blog: https://viroliegy.com/2021/09/27/enders-measles-paper-1954/

The clinical samples were adulterated with milk, cow and horse materials and toxic drugs in cell cultures (human and monkey), and cytopathic effects in the cells were blamed on an imaginary virus. The study lacked a valid independent variable and adequate control. The study did not result in the isolation/purification of anything, and the design of the study could not possibly prove the existence of a replicating disease bomb that spreads disease from host to host.

Dr. Sam Bailey made a video about the Enders study: https://drsambailey.com/resources/videos/viruses-unplugged/the-measles-myth/

It was already established in Germany's highest court, by Dr. Stefan Lanka, that the Enders paper did not establish the existence of the alleged measles virus. You can find more information about this on Dr. Bailey's page above, and here is an English translation of an article by Dr. Lanka about the trial:

### All About That Measles Trial:

https://northerntracey213875959.wordpress.com/2021/10/28/all-about-that-measles-trial/

So I take Roger's response letter as another "no records" admission.

Thank you and best wishes, Christine, a woman who does not act as surety for a bankrupt corporation

[Quoted text hidden]