Grievance Letter

cum

Urgent Public Demand for Performance of Duty

TO:

1. **The Director General, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR)**
2. **The Director, National Institute of Virology (NIV)**

CC:

3. **The Health Minister of India via the Chief Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW)**
4. **PS to Cabinet Minister, Ministry of AYUSH**
5. **Member Secretary, National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA)**
6. **The Home Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs (MHA)**
7. **The Chief Justice of India & his companion Judges, Supreme Court of India via Registrar.**
8. **Prime Minister Office (PMO) via Chief/Principal Secretary**
9. **The President of India via Secretary to the President**
10. **All Judges of Constitutional Courts in India via Registrars**

Urgent demand for practical demonstration to prove key microbiology hypothesis via practical real time public demonstration

Reference:

1. The **Open Legal Notice** which was submitted to the above addressed offices, from 29 June 2022 to 15 July 2022, by various citizens of India via their respective e-mails, asking for valid scientific papers on Medical Microbiology.
2. The **Grievance letter**, following the Open Legal Notice, which was submitted to the above addressed offices, from 16 August 2022 to 22 August 2022 by various citizens of India via their respective emails.

Your response:

Your response through email dated 31 October 2022 u/s 76 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 & u/s 12 of the Public Records Act, 1993 to Dr. Biswaroop Roy Chowdhury at [redacted] by Dr. Jitendra Narayan, Scientist, ICMR, Department of Health Research, MoHFW, New Delhi.

SUBJECT:

Demand to prove the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus (or disease-causing virus). In other words, to prove that the alleged SARS-CoV-2 and its alleged variants (or disease-causing viruses) are real physical entities that is supported with real time research via public demonstration and peer reviewed Scientific Papers.

Additionally, to prove that there was a real scientific basis behind this COVID-19 pandemic.

Dear Public Servant,

At the outset, we are very disheartened and unsatisfied with your response.

We are referring herewith to your response, which lacks sincerity and shows great negligence/disrespect towards the public. Moreover, it also lacks rationality and scientificity.

1. **YOUR UNSATISFACTORY RESPONSE:**

   If you diligently read our Open Legal Notice, you would have noticed that **we asked you for valid scientific experimental research papers/records/documents to prove the existence alleged SARS-CoV-2 or disease-causing viruses**. However, the scientific research papers that you provided against the RTIs and our correspondences [*see Annexure of the Open Legal Notice*] fail to prove the existence of any 'disease-causing-virus' including the alleged SAR-CoV-2 virus or its variants, that we showed in our Open Legal Notice (based on scientific principles/methods and rationality).

   Furthermore, without providing any valid scientific papers/records/documents to prove your claim (i.e., existence of any disease-causing virus and/or the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus), you have given a poor logical reply.

   This to our surprise was a kind of response never expected from an esteemed scientific institution such as yours. Therefore, we are now forced obligated to mandate scientific, rational and clear-cut answers to our questions.

   Therefore, unless you can provide us with a valid scientific proof and/or research papers to prove the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 or its alleged variants, we demand an explicit statement mentioning that you do not have any such evidence; <u>as you clearly mentioned that you do not have any scientific evidence for disease-causing germs i.e., disease causing bacteria, fungi, protozoa, parasites or any kind disease-causing-microorganism against the RTI attached.</u>

2. YOUR REPLY FAILED THE SCIENTIFIC TEMPERAMENT:

(For our reply against your perspective, please see annexure attached here with)

As per our present observation/experience and doubt, the absence of valid scientific evidence and the lack of submission of proper science principles/ methods in the research work of Virology show that:

- Through imagination, theory and fear generating tools like the PPE kit, as well as masks and heavy sophisticated machineries/tools etc., an atmosphere has been created by the virologists fabricating an imaginary entity to be a real one for others.
- Virologists are the victim of a misconception (i.e., existence of disease-causing virus) which has been conceived by them and is further spread/propagated by the medical doctors/professionals across the society/public. As a result, people are now suffering from the fear of an imaginary entity. This misconception has been made the base of almost all the alleged epidemic and pandemic since hundreds of years. The truth revealed through proper scientific investigation has never been done yet.

Also, after interacting with various scientists/experts from over the world their statements further clarified that existence of disease-causing virus is a misconception.

For instance;

"When cells die, they are broken down into submicroscopic particles some of which virologists arbitrarily label viruses" and "Anyone who closely analyzes what virologists actually do in the laboratory to 'prove' that these particles they call 'viruses' cause disease, will easily see the absurdity of their conclusions" – Dr. Stefan Lanka, Virologist

Covid-19: the virus does not exist – It is Confirmed!
Link: https://www.drug-dissolution-testing.com/blog/files/no-isolated-virus.pdf
Dr. Saeed A. Qureshi, PhD

Secondly, regarding the PCR/RT-PCR, we already showed in our Open Legal Notice why and how the test is totally irrelevant for the purpose and it's a misuse of this test that was/is being used for the alleged COVID-19 pandemic purpose. However, you could not clarify our inquiry in your response.

Additionally, we also present herewith excerpts of the laboratory experiment conducted by Dr. Biswaroop Roy Chowdhury[1] and his team to validate the Government-approved RT-PCR[2] test for COVID-19.

[1] https://biswaroop.com/dr-biswaroop-roy-chowdhury-2/
[2] Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction

Excerpts:

"Recently from June 15-17, 2022, I and my medical team conducted an experiment wherein we took some fruits, some vegetables, and some animals like rabbit & dog and a few birds like chicken and pigeon. We collected samples of each of them and went to a government authorized Covid Test Laboratory to run them through the test to understand which of the samples are Covid +ve and which of them are Covid -ve. The results were shocking; each of the samples of Melon, Dog, Rabbit and Chicken were Covid +ve."

Dr. Biswaroop Roy Chowdhury demonstrated and explained the invalid and irrelevant usage of RT-PCR test kit to detect the unclear nucleic acid and disproved that the RT-PCR testing is non-specific for the purpose of diagnosis.[3]

Now it is your responsibility to prove your claim via practical demonstration.

You have claimed in your response that you can prove practically the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus (or disease-causing-viruses). Therefore, we are eager to participate and witness your practical demonstration as it is the only way to prove your claim.

If you claim the existence of the disease-causing germ (microbes), we demand to prove your claim via practical demonstration by providing us with the below.

i. Date, time and schedule of your practical experimental demonstration.
ii. Name and location of the laboratory (including wet and dry lab).
iii. Name(s) of the Virologist/Scientist/Committee, who will perform and participate in the demonstration.
iv. Please mention all details of the procedure(s)/steps that you will perform and demonstrate during the practical demonstration.

Your immediate response is expected **before 20 March 2023**.

Indian Republicans

(List of Signatories annexed below)

[3] https://biswaroop.com/product/naked-truth-of-covid-test/, https://biswaroop.com/rawfootage/ and https://biswaroop.com/dicecovidtest/.

List of Signatories (who are ready to participate and to be a witness of the performance of "practical demonstration" of ICMR/NIV)

NO.	NAME	LOCATION	QUALIFICATION / PROFESSION	EMAIL ADDRESS
1	DR. BISWAROOP ROY CHOWDHURY	[redacted]	PhD (Diabetes)	[redacted]
2	DR. MUFASSIL DINGANKAR		BHMS (Homoeopathy), ADND (Nutrition), MSc (Healthcare Research, UK)	
3	DR. SACHIN PETHKAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
4	MR. JITENDRA BANJARA		BSc (Biotechnology), MSc (Microbiology & Bioinformatics)	
5	MR. PANKAJ SEN		Graduate & Independent Researcher	
6	MS. SONI SHARMA		MSc Microbiology (Mycology), PhD Scholar (ICMR)	
7	MR. KAMATCHI SHANKER ARUMUGAM		Diploma in Electronics & Communications Engineering, Activist, National Secretary of The National Reform Movement)	
8	DR. AKHILESH SAHU		BPT, MPT (Physiotherapy), MD (Acupuncture), PhD (Nature medicine, UK), PhD (Mind/Quantum medicine, USA)	
9	DR. LALIT ANANDE		MBBS (TB specialist), Diploma in Clinical Research	
10	DR. N K SHARMA		ND, PhD (Naturopathy & Yoga, USA)	
11	DR. SANJAY JAIN		MBBS, MS (Ortho)	
12	DR. SUSAN RAJ		BSc (Nursing), MSW (Psychiatry), PhD (Humanities), Certified Mineral Therapist	
13	DR. UMESH R SANKHLA		BAMS (Ayurveda), DPh (Pharmacy)	
14	MR. ANTARANG ANAND		Yoga Practitioner (*Yogacharya*)	
15	MR. DEENDAYAL JAISWAL		BSc (Chemistry)	
16	MR. HARIOM SAHU		BA, LLB (Law)	
17	MR. JAYASEELAN G		Social Activist, President of The National Reform Movement	
18	MR. LOVEKESH VERMA		Graduate	
19	MR. MATHEW THOMAS		ME (Missiles technology)	
20	MR. PRIMUS RASQUINHA		BA (Sociology)	
21	MR. PUNEET KAPOOR		Diploma (Electrohomoeopathy)	
22	MR. RAJESH KAPOOR		Traditional Medicine Practitioner (*Vaid*)	
23	MR. RAJPAL		BArch (Architecture)	
24	MR. RAVIKIRAN MAHAJAN		BE (Mechanical)	
25	MR. SAURABH BANERJEE		BE (Computer Science)	
26	MR. UMAMAHESHWAR		Independent Researcher and activist	
27	MS. DIKSHA		Journalist	
28	MS. JYOTI DHIMAN		PhD (Botany)	

NO.	NAME	LOCATION	QUALIFICATION / PROFESSION	EMAIL ADDRESS
29	MS. JYOTSANA RAJPAL		CMA (Accounts)	
30	MS. NIDHI GUPTA		B.El.Ed. (Elementary Education)	
31	DR. AAKASH GUPTA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
32	DR. ABHISHEK KOUNDAL		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
33	DR. ABHISHEK SHIVHARE		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
34	DR. ADITI YADAV		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
35	DR. ADITYA BHARDWAJ		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
36	DR. AJEET KUMAR SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
37	DR. AKANKSHA TAKANKHAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
38	DR. AKSHAY YOGI		MD, BAMS (Ayurveda)	
39	DR. ALOK KUMAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
40	DR. AMIT KUMAR SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
41	DR. AMIT SANDHU		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
42	DR. AMIT SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
43	DR. AMRITPAL KAUR		BHMS (Homoeopathy)	
44	DR. ANAMIKA SINGH		BPT (Physiotherapy)	
45	DR. ANAMIKA VISHWAKARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
46	DR. ANIL KUMAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
47	DR. ANIL KUMAR SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
48	DR. ANKIT SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
49	DR. ANURADHA KUMARI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
50	DR. ARVIND SHAKYA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
51	DR. BARKHA BANSAL		BPT, MPT (Physiotherapy)	
52	DR. BHAWANA BHATT		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
53	DR. BHAWNA WALIA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
54	DR. CHANDAN KUMAR SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
55	DR. CHETNA SINGH KOHAL		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
56	DR. DEEPAK SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
57	DR. DEEPALI MANE		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
58	DR. DISHA YADAV		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
59	DR. DIVYA SINGH CHARAN		MD (Medicine)	
60	DR. DIVYADEEP		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
61	DR. DR. RAJ NARAYAN SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
62	DR. GARIMA YOGI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
63	DR. GAURANG VISHWAKARMA		MD, BAMS (Ayurveda)	
64	DR. GAYATREE NAYAK		BAMS (Ayurveda)	

NO.	NAME	LOCATION	QUALIFICATION / PROFESSION	EMAIL ADDRESS
65	DR. GURDAS KUMAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
66	DR. GURMEET KAUR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
67	DR. GURPREET SINGH AULAKH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
68	DR. HARENDRA SINGH SOLANKY		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
69	DR. HARPINDER SINGH SANDHU		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
70	DR. HARPREET KOUR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
71	DR. HEMANT SINGH CHAUHAN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
72	DR. HIMANI BINJI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
73	DR. HIMANSHU CHAWLA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
74	DR. HIMANSHU KUMAR SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
75	DR. JYOTI MITTAL		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
76	DR. KAJAL GUPTA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
77	DR. KANCHANA CHOURE		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
78	DR. KANU PRIYA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
79	DR. KARISHMA RANA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
80	DR. KREETIKA SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
81	DR. LEKHA SONI		MD (Medicine)	
82	DR. MANDEEP SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
83	DR. MANISHA TANWAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
84	DR. MAYANK KUMAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
85	DR. MEGHNA SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
86	DR. MITHLESH KUMAR SEN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
87	DR. MOHIT GAUTAM		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
88	DR. MOHIT SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
89	DR. MONIKA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
90	DR. NAMITA GUPTA		MD (Medicine)	
91	DR. NATHMAL SUTHAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
92	DR. NAVNEET KAUR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
93	DR. NAWEESA KHATOON		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
94	DR. NEERAJ KUMAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
95	DR. NEHA BANSAL		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
96	DR. NEHA MAHAJAN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
97	DR. NEHA OHRI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
98	DR. NIDHI PUNIYA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
99	DR. NIDHI SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
100	DR. NINEEMAA BARNALI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	

NO.	NAME	LOCATION	QUALIFICATION / PROFESSION	EMAIL ADDRESS
101	DR. NITESHWARI	[redacted]	BAMS (Ayurveda)	[redacted]
102	DR. PARUL SONI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
103	DR. PAWAN RAWAT		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
104	DR. PAYAL SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
105	DR. PIYUSH YADAV		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
106	DR. POOJA BHATIA		BHMS (Homoeopathy)	
107	DR. PRABHAT GARG		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
108	DR. PRATIBHA KUMARI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
109	DR. PRAVEEN CHANDRA SEMWAL		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
110	DR. PRITAM PYARE		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
111	DR. PRIYA SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
112	DR. PRIYANK SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
113	DR. PRIYANKA BISWAS		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
114	DR. PRIYANKA KHOSLA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
115	DR. PRIYANKA SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
116	DR. PUNEET TIWARI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
117	DR. RACHANA MEHALWAL		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
118	DR. RAGHVENDRA SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
119	DR. RAHUL KUMAR SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
120	DR. RAHUL SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
121	DR. RAJAT TYAGI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
122	DR. RAJEEV KUMAR VERMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
123	DR. RAJESH K R PATHAK		MD (Medicine)	
124	DR. RAJPAL DHAKA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
125	DR. RAKHI VAID		Indian Citizen	
126	DR. RAMESH SOLANKI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
127	DR. RAVINDER KAUR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
128	DR. RITESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
129	DR. RITU CHAUHAN		BHMS (Homoeopathy)	
130	DR. RITU VARDHAN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
131	DR. ROHINI DHIMAN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
132	DR. ROHIT SINGH		BHMS (Homoeopathy)	
133	DR. RUCHIKA RAJPOOT		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
134	DR. SACHIDANANDA PANIGRAHI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
135	DR. SANGITA DAS		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
136	DR. SANGITA DEEPAK		BAMS (Ayurveda)	

NO.	NAME	LOCATION	QUALIFICATION / PROFESSION	EMAIL ADDRESS
137	DR. SANJU KHARI		BDS (Dentistry)	
138	DR. SANTOSH SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
139	DR. SARIKA MALIK		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
140	DR. SHALINI BANYAL		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
141	DR. SHEELA KUMARI MEENA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
142	DR. SHIKHA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
143	DR. SHILPA CHINCHOLKAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
144	DR. SHIV TIWARI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
145	DR. SHIVANGI KAUR		BHMS (Homoeopathy)	
146	DR. SHIVANI DHIMAN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
147	DR. SHRADDHA BHOGTE		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
148	DR. SIDDHARTH CHARAN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
149	DR. SONALI GARG		BHMS (Homoeopathy)	
150	DR. SONAM KASHYAP		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
151	DR. STUTI KUMARI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
152	DR. SUKSHAM		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
153	DR. SUNITA NEGI		BHMS (Homoeopathy)	
154	DR. SWATI SHARMA		BDS (Dentistry)	
155	DR. TARUN BELE		MD, BAMS (Ayurveda)	
156	DR. UPAMDEEP KAUR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
157	DR. URVISHA BALDHA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
158	DR. VAISHALI CHAUDHARY		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
159	DR. VANDANA KUMARI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
160	DR. VANSHIKA AROLIYA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
161	DR. VARUN SHARMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
162	DR. VIKAS MISHRA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
163	DR. VINAY KHATRI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
164	DR. VINAY PRUTHI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
165	DR. VINEET TIWARI		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
166	DR. VINOD KUMAR		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
167	DR. VIRENDRA MOHAN MISHRA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
168	DR. VISHAL RAMAN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
169	DR. VISHAL SINGH CHOUHAN		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
170	DR. VIVEK SINGH		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
171	DR. VIVEK VERMA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	
172	DR. YOGESH HANDA		BAMS (Ayurveda)	

NO.	NAME	LOCATION	QUALIFICATION / PROFESSION	EMAIL ADDRESS
173	DR. RUPESH SURVE	[redacted]	BDS (Dentistry)	[redacted]
174	DR. MUNKIR MUJAWAR		PhD (Social Work)	
175	ADV. PAVITRA SINGH SINDHU		BCom, PGDIS, LLB (Law)	
176	MR. VINOD SALVE		Social Worker	
177	MR. ABHISHEK AMBEKAR		Graduate	
178	MR. ANIL MASANE		BE (Electrical Engineer)	
179	MR. AVINASH WAGH		BCom (Commerce)	
180	MR. BHARAT KUDALE		Diploma in Mechanical Engineering	
181	MR. GAJANAN JAYBHAYE		BA (Arts)	
182	MR. JAYVANT KAMDI		Farmer	
183	MR. KIRAN SHINDE		Traditional Medicine Practitioner (*Panchgavya nisargopchar*)	
184	MR. LAXMAN NIRGUDHA		Farmer	
185	MR. MAHANT MAVJINATH		BSc (Science)	
186	MR. MAHESH KOLI		BA (Arts)	
187	MR. MOHAN BHALA		Farmer	
188	MR. NAGNATH BAGAL		BA (Arts)	
189	MR. PRASAD GUPTE		MCA (Computer Science)	
190	MR. RAMHARI KADAM		ND (Naturopathy), MD (*Panchgavya nisargopchar*)	
191	MR. RAVIKUMAR WADEKAR		BCom, Traditional Medicine Practitioner (*Panchgavya nisargopchar*)	
192	MR. SAMEER GHUMKAR		BCom, Activist	
193	MR. SANJAY PATIL		BA (Education), Traditional Medicine Practitioner (*Panchgavya nisargopchar*)	
194	MR. SANJAY SONWANE		Traditional Medicine Practitioner (*Panchgavya nisargopchar*)	
195	MR. SUBHASH DHAMDHERE		Indian Citizen	
196	MR. UTTAM GODASE		MBA (Marketing)	
197	MR. VIKRAM BANKAR		Indian Citizen	
198	MR. VINAYAK MALI		BCom, Traditional Medicine Practitioner (*Panchgavya nisargopchar*)	
199	MR. ROSHAN BUCHA		BCom Hons. (Commerce)	
200	MR. SRIKANTH RG		BA (English Literature), Bioenzyme expert	

Our reply to your responses.

GROUND FOR CONTENTIONS	YOUR REPLY	OUR ENQUIRY
1. Obtaining of the alleged virus from clinical sample has never performed. If an intact virus particle is not obtained directly from human samples or body parts, then how can virologists claim the existence of virus and it being a causative agent of human disease?	*Viruses are infectious agents associated with many diseases in the humans. There are several approaches for virus detection from the human clinical samples. There are detection methods associated with PCR (DNA virus), RT-PCR (RNA virus), next generation sequencing, Sanger sequencing of specific gene, immunoassays, and cell-based assays. Some approaches allow only the detection of viral nucleic acids or proteins, while others allow the detection of viable viral particles. The most widespread detection method is based on the amplification of viral genome material i.e., PCR. PCR-based methods allow the detection of even a few molecules in a sample. Additionally, PCR-based methods allow the detection of only the viral genome and not the live infectious virus. Another popular method for virus detection is to use antigen-based assays. The standard methods using antibodies against viruses include virus neutralization tests, hemagglutination inhibition, complement fixation, and indirect immunofluorescence. These methods are used only for diagnosing already known viruses whose capsid structure has been studied. There is a group of methods for detecting viable viruses using cell culture. Using these methods, it is possible to determine the presence of viral particles in a clinical sample. Additionally, viral particles obtained from cell cultures can be studied by electron microscopy for the examination of viral morphology.* *The Koch postulates are the four criteria designed to assess whether a microorganism causes a disease. The four criteria are: (1) The microorganism must be found in diseased but not healthy individuals; (2) The microorganism must be cultured from the diseased individual; (3) Inoculation of a healthy individual with the cultured microorganism must recapitulated the disease; and finally (4) The microorganism must be re-isolated from the inoculated, diseased individual and matched to the original microorganism.* *We performed initial diagnosis of the samples for COVID-19 by RT-PCR and then further confirmed the results by sequencing of clinical samples of human or animal in a next generation sequencing platform. The positive samples were further taken up for virus isolation using the classic virology technique of virus isolation in cell lines derived from vertebrate cells. The virus particles were also*	1. You failed to understand the question. We concluded that you have no proof for obtaining the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus or its variants in its intact & purified form from any clinical sample till date. Therefore, your claim of existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus is disproved, unscientific and misleading. If you have a proof of the same or if you have ever done this original work, then kindly provide us the appropriate papers/records. 2. You failed to understand the drawbacks in your research and your claims of existence of any disease-causing viruses, which we mentioned/ pointed out through our Open Legal Notice. 3. You failed to understand the rationale behind unethical medical practices for the use of RT-PCR as a tool for the alleged disease-causing virus detection and as a testing mechanism for any disease, which we mentioned in the Notice. 4. You have never established the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus or it's so-called variants as an entity through original research work and/or your alleged research/experiments. 5. You also failed to provide any valid scientific document to establish the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus and it's so-called variants as an entity, as mentioned thoroughly in the Notice. This alongside the alleged COVID-19 pandemic being run since 2019 and all the prevention and control measures taken using thousands of crores of tax payer's money without

	demonstrated in the samples by electron microscopy techniques. After virus isolation, the cell culture propagated virus were also sequenced and compared with the original samples. A single virus can be purified using plaque purification method and propagate in cells and then use for pathogenicity study in animal models. The isolated virus could produce disease in animal models. *Thousands of such studies are published during COVID-19 pandemic as well by a wide group of researcher across the globe.*	scientifically establishing the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus in question.
2. The isolation and detection practice of Virologists is unscientific and misleading. i. Without obtaining the virus particle directly from human sample, how the cell culture technique is scientifically valid to establish the existence of the virus and its causation of disease? ii. No separation, isolation and purification of the alleged virus particle has been demonstrated. iii. No proper control set-ups, no multiplication in fresh cell culture without additives to establish the infectiousness, no bio-chemical characterization etc., have been shown. iv. No pathogenicity experiments with control setup have been done. Therefore, without above steps, how is it possible to claim a particle to be the disease-causing virus?	*i. Please refer the following publication on cell culture usage in virology. World Health Organization. Regional Office for South-East Asia. (2017). Use of cell culture in virology for developing countries in the South-East Asia Region. World Health Organization. Regional Office for South-East Asia. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/258809.* *ii. Replied in 1 above* *iii. For each set of the positive patient swab samples in the cell culture, controls were also kept to rule out any contamination. This is a standard practice and is well known to virologists.* *iv. Age matched controls that are not inoculated with any virus are always kept as controls while doing any pathogenicity experiment. Again, this is a standard practice.*	1. You failed to understand the question. There is no proof that you have ever established the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus as a real physical entity (i.e., obtained the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus in its intact and purified form from a clinical sample). Therefore, without doing the original research work, your alleged cell culture practices are completely unscientific, which we showed through our Notice. **2.** When you say "This is a standard practice and is well known to virologists", **our counter question is that how is 'standard practice' scientific in the first place?** **Because any 'Practice'** *(synonymous with traditionalism)* **in itself is based on a belief system, which in turn lacks rationality and scientificity as it necessarily is not always backed with scientific temperament and data and is averse to questioning and change.** 3. Moreover, the standard practice also incorporates the cell culture technique as proof of isolation which in itself is meaningless and unscientific and does not fulfil the definition of isolation. 4. We believe that "a lie repeated several times is perceived as truth".

		Therefore, in the case of virology, why we should not think that 'a misconception has happened in the scientific community" since decades? And that the misconception has been followed blindly by the virologists without verifying the reality supported by proper scientific principles and methods. 5. This is our doubt that Virologists are blindly following the misconception since a century and you have not established that correlation between belief and real science/truth.
3. TEM images prove nothing and do not identify the intact virus. i. The question/findings are mentioned in above point no. 2 (i to iv) ii. The TEM images do not represent anything or the research work does not establish the existence of 'disease-causing virus' without point no. i.	*i. Replied above point no 2 (from I to iv)* *ii. TEM is a characterizing technique that is used to define the structure of a virus in the field of virology. Virus is subsequently shown by other techniques as disease causing virus. Please see reply to 1 above.*	1. You have to prove that the SARS-CoV-2 virus (or any other alleged disease-causing virus) is a real physical entity, which you have never established till date as per your research papers/ records. 2. Therefore, showing TEM images to claim as a proof of existence of virus without isolation is a falsified and misleading act.
4. Alleged pathogenesis practice is unscientific and invalid. i. Without obtaining the purified virus particle, how a pathogenicity experiment is scientifically valid? ii. Absence of a detailed explanation to the entire process of pathology of generation of each and every symptom by the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus.	*The mentioned papers are not the research findings of ICMR.*	Your reply clearly concludes that: 1. You have never established the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus (or any other alleged disease-causing virus) as a real physical entity. 2. You have not performed and/or demonstrated any pathogenesis experiment with the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus, given that it is not established in its existence in the first place.

iii. Lack of evidence to confirm that the alleged virus is a 'disease-causing agent' for human being/host.		
5. Use of RT-PCR for this purpose is unscientific and misleading. i. Lack of valid evidence to establish the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus. ii. There is no evidence to confirm the obtaining/extraction of the 'entire genome sequence' from the purified virus sample. iii. There is no valid evidence to establish that the alleged SAR-CoV-2 is a causative agent of any symptom or disease. Therefore, how would any test in this situation be scientifically validated in any manner? iv. As per the peer review from 22 renowned scientists across the world, RT-PCR test protocol which was used to detect alleged SARS-CoV-2 is useless. (mentioned in point no. 7 in the letter).	*Koch's postulates have been critically important in establishing the criteria whereby the scientific community agrees that a microorganism causes a disease. For two centuries, Koch's postulates have set the gold standard for establishing the microbiological etiology of infection and disease. The Koch postulates are the four criteria designed to assess whether a microorganism causes a disease. As originally stated, the four criteria are: (1) The microorganism must be found in diseased but not healthy individuals; (2) The microorganism must be cultured from the diseased individual; (3) Inoculation of a healthy individual with the cultured microorganism must recapitulated the disease; and finally (4) The microorganism must be re-isolated from the inoculated, diseased individual and matched to the original microorganism. ICMR-NIV could prove the existence of virus in the clinical samples of COVID-19 patients using the PCR based detection, obtaining the full genome of the virus through sequencing, by observing cytopathic effects in cell lines after inoculating the clinical samples in the cells, observing the virus particle by electron microscopy and by demonstrating the development of pneumonia in the animal model. The virus could be reisolated from the animal model too.*	1. You know better whether it's a deliberate act of yours, that you could not understand the questions and their rationality. 2. We showed that the PCR just amplifies the nucleic acid, but does not prove the source of the nucleic acid. Therefore, to use PCR as a detection tool for the virus which has not been established to exist and to declare a person as patient using the RT-PCR test is seriously an unscientific practice, which is going on continuously. 3. We demanded the proof of existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus (in its intact and purified form), in other words, the proof that the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus is a real physical entity and not an imaginary one. 4. **We, therefore, are eager to participate and witness your practical experimental demonstration**.