



**James Lyons-Weiler**

Oct 9



Author

Actually the original isolate pulled from the lung of the first patient was fully sequenced. Same for the first person in Washington state. We have the full genome sequence that way. And when someone denies something and you call them a denialist about that that is not meant to be offensive, so I disagree. We have to use words.

♡ 2 Reply Collapse ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Oct 9

No amount of fake "sequencing" (which isn't even sequencing, it's assembling of sequences of unknown origins into a longer sequence fraudulently labelled as a "genome") can prove the existence of a replication-competent intracellular obligate parasite that transmits between hosts and causes disease via natural modes of exposure. I guess simply logic is lost on you. And: "Dr. James Lyons-Weiler is the Principal Investigator, Director and Scientific Director of the Consortium". <https://ipaknowledge.org/naat-evaluation-consortium.php>.

♡ 2 Reply Gift a subscription Delete Collapse ...



**James Lyons-Weiler** Oct 9 Author

But thank you, you've made my point. If we accepted the ridiculous position that viruses don't exist or even that the Stars cove to virus doesn't exist then why would the Consortium ever bother to do Sanger sequencing to show how flawed the RT-PCR test is - as implemented for use w COVID-19 - with no positive or negative control to provide a valid baseline to determine the critical cycle threshold per patient? We would not. And thereby no one would ever learn the fraud that DOES exist. Thank you.

Oct 9, 2020



**James Lyons-Weiler** Oct 11 Author

According to science, Bacteriophages are viruses.

Take any phage, such as Mycobacterium phage Malithi

Classification: Viruses; Duplodnaviria; Heunggongvirae; Uroviricota; Caudoviricetes; Pclasvirinae; Fishburnevirus.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=1567472>

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/medicine-and-dentistry/bacteriophage>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK493185/>

<https://www.nature.com/scitable/definition/bacteriophage-phage-293/>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3109452/>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2706577/>

<https://kids.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frym.2019.00146>

Do they have any evidence bacteriophages are NOT viruses?

♡ 1 Reply Collapse ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Oct 11

Review articles, children's blogs and entries in a taxonomy database are not science, James.

♡ Reply Gift a subscription Delete Collapse ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Oct 11

And there is no onus on me to provide evidence when you are the one making the claim that bacteriophages ARE viruses.

♡ Reply Gift a subscription Delete Collapse ...



**James Lyons-Weiler** Oct 11 **Author**

No onus for you? None for me, either.

♡ 1 Reply Collapse ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Oct 11

This is hysterical - now you claim that people making claims of "viruses" have zero onus to back up their claims. Governments and virologists love people like you!

♡ Reply Gift a subscription Delete Collapse ...



**James Lyons-Weiler** Oct 11 **Author**

That is a non-sequitur statement. I said that if, according your rules, you have no onus to back up your statements to me, then neither do I. To you.

♡ Reply Collapse ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Oct 11

No, you are the one claiming that some things exist. There is no onus on anyone to prove that Santa Claus doesn't exist - the onus would only be on those who claim he does exist.