

## Due Diligence and Art

work for “covid”? Are microbes dangerous invisible boogeypersons? Do they or don’t they exist at all? Is there a third option? Can viruses be modified in labs and made into biological weapons? When they “leak” from a lab, why do they cross the road and go to the wet market?

Learning about Dr. Hazan’s work was an eye opening experience for me: once you start thinking in terms of microbiome, the virus-no-virus debate turns into a false binary, as it should. That’s because, as typical of these angry debates, they are not based on asking the right questions. Health as absence of illness, and presence of vitality, stability and longevity can be attributed to a vibrant, resilient microbiome which is to your body as good soil is to a well tended garden. It always starts with healthy soil, the terrain!

Microbes are essential for healthy ecosystem functioning and known for beneficial

Here is my own answer based on the conversation with Dr. Hazan and my own reading of literature, both old (~100 years) and more recent. Viruses exist as genetic “signals”, transferring packets of information within our bodies, between them and across our ecosystems. Contrary to propaganda pushed by Netflix and its subsidiary, the CDC, neither bacteria nor viruses can cause “global pandemics”.

Microbiome is part of our bodies’ terrain. Humans cannot function without microbes, nor without viruses. Bacteria and viruses do exist, but they are not scary nor pathogenic in and of themselves, in fact they are vital and necessary for our health. Viruses can be thought of as a communication and messaging protocol/language of microorganisms (and cells in multicellular organisms). Thus, they do not cause an “infection”, but may be involved in cellular miscommunication that accompanies a disease (synonymous to imbalance) state.

Can viruses be modified and turned into a weapon? If you start thinking about it using the communication paradigm, it maybe easier to understand. Psycho-science-paths like Ralph Baric at UNC Chapel Hill can synthetically make false or misleading messages from DNA and RNA by combining and recombining bits and pieces. They can make them in large quantities. They can even make scary messages by adding scary bits! I can tell you stories about vampires - are they going to affect or damage you? That depends.

These artificially made DNA/RNA messages do not “leak” from labs and spread around by themselves because, for one, they do not originate from living bodies, and need to be pushed externally (most effective is when the victims line up for injections of course!) This garbled genetic spam mail can be deployed in the environment and be detected by the PCR techniques. Continuing with my analogy, PCR cannot understand what message you got (make a diagnosis), it can simply detect that there is a message with certain letters in it. That’s how the evildoers created the hoax pandemic: by simply pushing quantities of genetic spam mail and then running the letter detectors in overdrive to detect particular signatures. Simple concept, really.

Another problem Ralph Baric and Anthony Fauci have with pushing genetic spam is overcoming numerous God-given natural defenses of our bodies. The most important defense being healthy, diverse and balanced microbiome, that can filter and eliminate viral junk mail in no time. As Dr. Hazan discovered, people with sufficiently high



viral junk mail in no time. As Dr. Hazan discovered, people with sufficiently high levels of bifidobacteria were not susceptible to the “covid” messages thrown at them. However, those with low or zero levels, i.e. already unbalanced microbiome were open to the viral messages that at certain level of exposure could trigger the illness symptoms. Ivermectin (made from bacteria similar to bifidobacteria) works well because it likely helps restoring the microbiome quickly. Same goes for vitamin C and other supplements. This also explains why seasonal flu symptoms exist: in the winter our vitamin levels are low and bifidobacteria and other microbiome imbalances may be

Top First ▾

2 new replies



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

Viruses, which are not just "whatever" we feel like defining them as, either exist or they don't. Using the word "virus" to mean something other than a replication-competent intracellular obligate parasite that transmits between hosts and causes disease via natural modes of exposure only adds to the division and confusion, which imho is irresponsible.

"Is there a third option? Can viruses be modified in labs and made into biological weapons?" How does one modify (or clone) something that was never shown to exist in the first place?

I challenge Sasha, Sabine or anyone else to show that any alleged "virus" particle (measles virus, influenza virus, etc, etc) has ever been shown to exist. SARS-COV-2 should be an easy one, so let's please see the evidence. Otherwise please stop adding to the confusion.

My November 2021 emails with Sabine Hazan wherein she came unhinged when I pointed out obvious flaws in her unscientific "SARS-COV-2" studies:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/exchange-with-Hazan.pdf>



LIKE



REPLY



My November 2021 emails with Sabine Hazan wherein she came unhinged when I pointed out obvious flaws in her unscientific "SARS-COV-2" studies:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/exchange-with-Hazan.pdf>

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 19 min ago    📌 Author

I suggest you learn to think creatively, which yes, involves re-defining definitions. In fact that's the only way to innovate and move forward.

♡ LIKE (1)    💬 REPLY (2)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

Sasha, are you claiming that Sabine's "SARS-COV-2" studies are valid and scientific? Yes or no?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 5 min ago

In other words neither you nor Sabine are able to cite any study demonstrating the existence of any alleged "virus", including SARS-COV-2.

Question: why are you, in your article above, promoting the idea that alleged "viruses" are just bits of RNA or DNA? Did you forget the alleged protein shells? Bits of RNA and DNA are just that - bits of RNA or DNA. e.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes **Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N...** 15 min ago

So according to Sasha, it's fine and dandy for her to insist that "viruses" do exist (with zero evidence cited), but for the rest of us it's the wrong question? It's wrong for us to discuss whether or not we've been lied to our entire lives? It's wrong for us to discuss whether or not zillions of harmful injections have been and still are being administered to protect us from things that were never even shown to exist? It's wrong for us to discuss whether or not entire institutes, journals, and vast amounts of resources are being directed to towards things never even shown to exist? It's wrong for us to discuss whether or not isolation camps have been set up under the guise of protecting each other from things never even shown to exist? It's wrong to point out that scientific method has been largely abandoned (Sabine's "SARS-COV-2" studies being prime examples of this problem)? ...

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**W Brian Killion** 30 min ago

I did not read the article. I did listen to the video up to the point where your guest stated that they found "sars cov 2" in stule samples. Thats when I decided to post the question.

❤️ LIKED (1) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 25 min ago 📌 Author

she identified SarsCov 2 sequence in samples of patients that had covid symptoms. She also identifies bacteria by same method in patient's samples. There is nothing particularly unusual in this. It's a message. A message can be identified and read.

❤️ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY (2) ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

The fact that something isn't unusual does not makes it valid. Logic please.

And imaginary viruses are quite different from bacteria.

Please show us where 1) "SARS-COV-2" was ever shown to exist (I'm not asking for meaningless, fabricated in silico "genomes", indirect tests of any kind, EM images of things that were never purified, sequenced and characterized, or monkey/cow/human/bacteria/fungi cultures), and 2) any sequence-based test, or any test at all, that was validated for detecting "it".

❤️ LIKE 💬 REPLY ...



**W Brian Killion** 15 min ago



shown to contain the specific particles that they claim exist (i.e. the alleged "SARS-COV-2 genome" surrounded by the alleged spikey protein shell.). It's all pseudoscience with virologists.

♡ LIKE (3)    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 1 hr ago    🏆 Author

Dr. Hazan is not a virologist

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N...

just now

So? You're presenting her as a scientist, and talking with her about "viruses". She has published false/fraudulent "SARS-COV-2" papers. Bill said that she's a "real scientist". Hence my question. Sasha, are you claiming that any of Sabine's "covid" studies are valid and scientific?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 5 hr ago

You completely sidestepped the key issue - you are spreading confusion by continuing to use the word "virus".

♡ LIKE (2)    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 1 hr ago    🏆 Author

I will use whatever words I think I need to use. I did not ask for your opinion on that matter. Thank you.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

Is it your goal to increase confusion? Because that is what you'll accomplish by using that word. You are sending the message that "SARS-COV-2" and all the alleged "viruses" do exist. Is that your position? Are you intentionally sending that message?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**W Brian Killion** 7 hr ago

What's the "virus" look like? What process did you use to isolate it and positively identify it? What did you use as a "standard"? Did the "virus" replicate itself in a healthy person?

❤️ LIKED (2) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 6 hr ago 🛡️ Author

Did you read the article? Did you listen to the video?

❤️ LIKE 💬 REPLY (2) ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 5 hr ago

Are you not willing to answer?

❤️ LIKE (3) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 1 hr ago 🛡️ Author

Christine, why are you here?

❤️ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

Please don't change the topic again, Sasha. Are you not willing to answer the question above re "virus" isolation?



I'm here to give feedback to your public post, and to ask you clarifying questions. Is that Ok?

❤️ LIKE 💬 REPLY ...



Sasha Latypova  28 min ago  Author

Christine, if you do not like the words I use, why are you here? I suggest you read and write substacks that cater to your tastes in words. Clearly, this one is not for you.

 LIKE  REPLY (1) ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 3 min ago

I thought someone with a blog entitled "Due Diligence" would be OK with receiving feedback and clarifying questions, and with being challenged to back up their claims with evidence. Are you not OK with that, Sasha?

FYI: I do write my own Substacks. In my newsletters I feature the latest legal, official Freedom of Information confessions from government institutions around the world (214 in total so far, from 40 countries), admitting they have no record of anyone on Earth finding the alleged "virus" in any sick person and purifying it so that actual science could take place. In others words, they admit they have zero ability to demonstrate the existence of "SARS-COV-2". Ditto re every other alleged "virus" we've asked about - dozens of them. This is because virology is illogical, irrational, and pseudoscience by definition.

This matters terribly, because immeasurable man-made suffering has occurred for >100 years, and will continue to occur, as long as the supporting beliefs persist.

If "experts" won't even admit to themselves and others that these tiny particles being passed off as "viruses" haven't even been purified, sequenced and characterized, let alone studied with controlled experiments - such a simple issue to understand! - what hope is there for humanity? We'll continue being led astray, this way and that, wasting





**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 31 min ago

Please don't change the topic again, Sasha. Are you not willing to answer the question above re "virus" isolation?

I'm here to give feedback to your public post, and to ask you clarifying questions. Is that Ok?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ⋮



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 22 min ago    📌 Author

If you disagree with something I write you can learn to engage in a dialogue constructively, or you can leave.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ⋮



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

You keep changing the topic instead of answering the questions. I am engaging in a constructive way, by reminding you of the questions re "virus" isolation. I hope you will answer them. If I leave I won't see your answers and I'd like to see them.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ⋮



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 3 hr ago

You keep changing the topic instead of answering the questions. I am engaging in a constructive way, by reminding you of the questions re "virus" isolation. I hope you will answer them. If I leave I won't see your answers and I'd like to see them.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 2 hr ago · edited 2 hr ago    📌 Author

I have not changed topic a single time. The topic is your absolute lack of manners, Christine. You keep being rude and aggressively begging me for answers. You need to learn to communicate constructively first. Being rude and demanding will not work, trust me.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

You have yet to answer any of the questions about "viruses" and Sabine's research involving "SARS-COV-2". There is nothing rude about being persistent with someone who publicly insists that "viruses do exist... they are vital and necessary for our health" and who refers people to Sabine's research.

I haven't been the slightest bit "aggressive" and certainly haven't begged you for anything.

I don't refuse to answer questions when people challenge me, or accuse them of being rude and aggressive. I just answer them. It would probably look really strange if I treated them that way.

Anyways, I've been taking screenshots and will share your responses. It's up to you if you want to answer or just keep making accusations.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 3 hr ago

You keep changing the topic instead of answering the questions. I am engaging in a constructive way, by reminding you of the questions re "virus" isolation. I hope you will answer them. If I leave I won't see your answers and I'd like to see them.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Skidmark** 6 hr ago    ❤️ Liked by Sasha Latypova

I've always taught my children two basic principles for a good health:

- 1) take good care of your gut flora, and
- 2) take good care of your microcirculation

\*From experience only\*, those two alone will prevent the vast majority of ailments.

I've also tried to teach myself to be generally patient with everyone but I cannot fathom for the life of me how Sasha can put up with the constant, pervasive circular babbling of the arrogant no-virus simpletons.

In a nutshell: virologists understand almost nothing of the complexity of biological processes, their self-appointed "opponents" understand just as little - and generally even considerably less.

So, third principle I've also taught my kids, when you don't \*know\* something, look it up and meanwhile, STFU.

There's a great leap forward in knowledge sometime in the future of mankind if it ever becomes humble enough to speak those four words:

We do not know.

♡ LIKE (2)    💬 REPLY (3)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** 🟢 5 hr ago    🏆 Author

Thank you 🙏🥰

♡ LIKE (1)    💬 REPLY (1)    ...

Exactly! Thanks Skidmark.

And as Dr Hazan said, we don't know what we don't know.....

♡ LIKE (1)    💬 REPLY    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs**

Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 29 min ago

Oh wow, I ask simple, valid reasonable questions, and you yes-virus people can't handle it and immediately resort to ad hominen attacks? Pathetic. So much for "due diligence" in this blog.

My colleagues and I have done our due diligence. I've collected LEGAL CONFESSIONS from around the world showing no one even has a sample of this alleged virus. The onus is on you virus-pushers to prove your claims. How about citing some science instead of just blowing obnoxious condescending hot air?

Freedom of Information Responses reveal that health/science institutions around the world (212 and counting!) have no record of SARS-COV-2 (the alleged covid virus) isolation/purification, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

FOIs reveal that health/science institutions have no record of any "virus" having been found in a host and isolated/purified. Because virology isn't a science:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/>

Do virologists perform valid control experiments? Is virology a science?

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-virologists-perform-valid-control-experiments-is-virology-a-science/>



← Return to thread



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 28 min ago · edited 25 min ago 📌 Author

it's very simple, Christine. No need to throw temper tantrums. This is my newsletter which is subscription based. If you feel it doesn't fit your worldview and it is quite clear you are upset by it - you can leave. I am not reading your posts, nor your links, so you are wasting your time here.

And here is a warning - continued outbursts of your gibberish will lead to me blocking you. I only had to do this 2 times before, and you will be the 3rd if you don't improve your silly attention seeking behavior.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 5 min ago

Oh sorry Sasha, I thought you were an evidence-based investigator who does her "Due Diligence", as implied by the name of your blog. I see now that you're just posting stories about your "worldview", at least when it comes "viruses". Like Katherine Watt and her "personal perceptions" of a "contagious pathogen" that she has admitted she had no evidence for. You might want to clarify this for your readers, maybe change the name of your blog.

I do hope that not tooo many people get misled by your loosey-goosey references to "viruses". Cheers.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

p.s. I don't have a subscription to your blog. Anyone can access it, without a subscription. Someone alerted me to your post. That is what brought me here.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY ⋮

Anyways, I've been taking screenshots and will share your responses. It's up to you if you want to answer or just keep making accusations.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ⋮



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 27 min ago · edited 26 min ago 📌 Author

Screenshot this, Christine: Viruses exist and are vital to our health. Humans would not exist without viruses. God made humans and viruses and it was good.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ⋮



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

And do you have evidence for any of these "viruses" that you insist exist and are vital to our health, for example "SARS-COV-2"?

Or are you just talking about random bits of RNA and DNA?

If so, once again I suggest you stop confusing people by inappropriately using the term "virus".

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ⋮



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 10 min ago

p.s. I don't have a subscription to your blog. Anyone can access it, without a subscription. Someone alerted me to your post. That is what brought me here.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 4 min ago    📌 Author

Oh, what a relief to know this. Phew. Then nothing at all should keep you here, especially for hours. Ciao.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

My motivation for being here remains, it never had anything to do with a subscription. Just seeking clarity and evidence of your due diligence on this topic.

Another important question: Do you deny that contracts and legal frameworks based on an imaginary particle are null and void?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...

Another important question: Do you deny that contracts and legal frameworks based on an imaginary particle are null and void?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 6 min ago    📌 Author

Of course they are null and void. Go get them, tiger! Show us how it's done.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N...  
just now

I'm not a legaler, don't pretend to be, and the courts are corrupt, imho. My focus is on telling people the truth about "viruses". People can't make the best decisions for themselves and their families when they're working from a faulty premise. Hence my issue with people who misled others about the existence of the premise - "the virus".

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



Or are you just talking about random bits of RNA and DNA?

If so, once again I suggest you stop confusing people by inappropriately using the term "virus".

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 10 min ago    📌 Author

hop-hop Christine, run off already. Nobody asked for your opinion here, you are wasting your precious FOI links, please go post them somewhere else. Screenshot this.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 8 min ago

So you're refusing to answer the questions?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 5 min ago    📌 Author

there was a question?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

Happy to repeat them for you:

And do you have evidence for any of these "viruses" that you insist exist and are vital to our health, for example "SARS-COV-2"?

Or are you just talking about random bits of RNA and DNA?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 6 min ago 📌 Author

is legaler a word?



LIKE



REPLY (1)



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

It is now. It's more accurate than law-yer, imho.

So are you going to let people know that contracts and legal frameworks based on the imaginary SARS-COV-2 particle are null and void, or will you continue encouraging people to think otherwise?



LIKE



REPLY



Or are you just talking about random bits of RNA and DNA?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 18 min ago · edited 14 min ago 📌 Author

Are viruses in the room with us now, Christine?

PS. Read my post, those questions are answered there. You could have done it hours ago instead of being hysterical here.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Christine Massey FOIs** Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... just now

Your blog doesn't make it entirely clear whether you're admitting that SARS-COV-2 and other "viruses" have never actually been shown to exist, and that you're just talking about bits of RNA and DNA (without a protein shell) when you say "viruses" (in which case I can't understand why you're not telling people that the contracts and legal frameworks are null and void)...

or if you're claiming that the alleged genomes exist and are surrounded by the alleged protein shells and you just have no science to back up your claims.

Maybe I'm slow, but would you please just provide a clear answer?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**Michael** 16 hr ago

Thanks for this, Rob. And, Sasha, I'm glad you liked this post and that you found the works of the Drs Bailey, Kaufman, et al., helpful. 😊

As an inquisitive layman, I, too, have been sorting out the terminology of the germ vs. terrain evidence, and I do like Dr Andy Kaufman's use of the term exosome in place of the term virus. And it seems that eliminating the word "virus," does help keep things consistent for me. This also seems to be especially important in light of the terrain folks's assertion that they have not found anyone who has truly isolated a so-called virus.



LIKE (1)



REPLY (1)



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 15 hr ago 📌 Author

What Kaufman calls exosome is the same as what I call virus here. I am not the science nomenclature setting body. I also do not get triggered by words, and can understand the meaning of what a person is saying regardless of how they call exosome/virus. If my guest is in the habit of using the term virus, I am not going to be clutching pearls. I asked her to specify what she meant by it, and she did - a sequence of DNA/RNA. That is satisfactory to me.



LIKE (1)



REPLY (2)





**Rob (c137)** Writes Robert's Occam's razor 3 hr ago

Yes, so the point is not moot..

Terrain theory is correct.

What are viruses but genetic codes of dead cells? A result.

Why bother calling them viruses?



LIKE



REPLY (1)



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 56 min ago 📌 Author

-How do you know they are codes of dead cells?

-How do you know they are ONLY codes of dead cells?

-How do you know they are ONLY codes?

-How do you know they are ONLY genetic?

-How do you know they are codes of cells ONLY?

Do you see how much you don't know?

Do you see how much you don't know you don't know?

PS. You can use whatever words you prefer and I will use whatever words suit me best. Neither of us are a standards and nomenclature setting body for scientific and medical language.



LIKE



REPLY







W Brian Killion 20 hr ago

Sasha... please understand that the majority of these comments are not directed at you. However... they are towards your guest. This begs the question.... which camp are you in? Do you believe there is a "virus"? If so... based on what evidence? If not... again...based on what evidence. We, as followers of yours want to know what your official stance is... and what makes your stance legitimate. I can't speak for others, but I believe in you whole heartedly as I believe you are one of the heros of this "scamdemic" BS. And.... it's ok to be on the fence. I think a lot f people are not convinced either way. But I believe that myself and others would be interested in knowing your stance. Also... have you considered having people such as Dr. Andrew Kaufman, Dr. Tom Cowan, Drs. Sam Bailey and her husband on your show?

♡ LIKE (1)    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



Sasha Latypova ✓ 12 hr ago · *edited 12 hr ago* 🏠 Author

I advise people to learn to think for themselves. I do not subscribe to any "camps" because that is intellectually lazy. I also do not believe in taking a "stance", like the deranged Christine Masey for example, who is very entertaining I must admit, but I had to put her out of her misery purely out of compassion. Sent her off to her own pastures and blocked here. I hope that demonstrated the effects of "camping". She is definitely in a camp and took a stance and can no longer function as a result, poor thing. My only stance is search for truth by scientific method of formulating and testing hypotheses. That's it. If new data comes in and changes an old theory - I change my view. There is nothing much to it. Nothing to throw hysterics over.

My current view of the viruses clearly explained in this post. They exist as a cellular communications protocol and are thus vital to normal functioning of the organism.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



Sasha Latypova  12 hr ago  Author

Bioweapons are not as dangerous as conventional or chemical weapon. The reason you think they are is purely because of propaganda of biodefense which sucked trillions of dollars into the pockets of government goons and private profiteers. There have not been a single example of bioweapons being deployed with any similar effect of devastation as a few conventional bombs. Anthrax killed 5 people. Anthrax sprayed over 1 million people in Sverdlovsk caused 70 cases. Marburg intentionally deployed in 2 labs killed a couple of hundred people. These are representative effects of bioweapons. Please point to a successful attack, once. I am sick of Netflix inspired discussions of manipulated viruses and other scifi bullshit used to appropriate and siphon money from taxpayers and a bunch of garbage papers in journals and some board games. This has to stop.

 LIKE (2)  REPLY (1) ...



**Removed (Banned)** 23 hr ago

*Comment removed*

♡ LIKE (4)    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 22 hr ago    🏆 Author

I suggest you learn to think creatively, which yes, involves re-defining definitions. In fact that's the only way to innovate and move forward.

♡ LIKE (3)    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Removed (Banned)** 22 hr ago

*Comment removed*

♡ LIKE (2)    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 11 hr ago 📌 Author

Laboratory tests are not diagnostic. Diagnosis is made by clinical presentation, history, may be assisted by labs but does not have to be. By definition of clinical diagnosis covid illness exists as described by many physicians who treated thousands of patients. And you are clearly not one of them.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Bill Huston** Writes Apocalyptic Yoga 8 hr ago

> Laboratory tests are not diagnostic.

Correct. But do you agree that Lab Tests (PCR) which you admit are "not diagnostic" were used to create the illusion that a pandemic occurred?

> Diagnosis is made by clinical presentation

Oh really? Then please describe which symptom or collection of symptoms are pathognomonic for COVID?

> covid illness exists

Clearly it does not. This can be EASILY demonstrated 2 dozen different ways. Would you like to hear the list?

history, may be assisted by labs but does not have to be. By definition of clinical diagnosis covid illness exists as described by many physicians who treated thousands of patients. And you are clearly not one of them.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY    ...



**W Brian Killion** 21 hr ago

Spot on Mr. Huston!



**Removed (Banned)** 14 hr ago

*Comment removed*

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)    ...



**Sasha Latypova** ✓ 14 hr ago · edited 14 hr ago    🏆 Author

it's very simple, Christine. No need to throw temper tantrums. This is my newsletter which is subscription based. If you feel it doesn't fit your worldview and it is quite clear you are upset by it - you can leave. I am not reading your posts, nor your links, so you are wasting your time here.

And here is a warning - continued outbursts of your gibberish will lead to me blocking you. I only had to do this 2 times before, and you will be the 3rd if you don't improve your silly attention seeking behavior.

♡ LIKE (4)    💬 REPLY    ...





Sasha Latypova replied to your comment on Conversation with Dr. Sabine Hazan + my own thoughts on Ralph Baric, FDA and other pathogens.

I am going to continue treating you like a funny clown that you are, absolutely.

[View comment](#)

[Mute thread](#)