Christine, of the Massey far	tine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com></cmssyc@gmail.com>		Sat, Apr 15, 2023 at 2:24 PM	
To: "Dr. Reiner Fuellmich"	J.J. Couey			
Cc: mike yeador	Rfk <sup>*</sup>			
Mary.h	olland			

Hi Reiner and Jay,

I hope this finds you both well.

I just read Reiner's new article that was published by Global Research: https://www.globalresearch.ca/fear-name-game-legal-approach/5815323?pdf=5815323.

Reiner, it's great to see that you have finally abandoned the "SARS-COV-2" story altogether.

However, you asserted that four endemic coronaviruses exist and are everywhere, and that they kill some people. You also suggested that influenza viruses exist and cause contagious, sometimes deadly, disease.

You cited a presentation by Jay that purportedly explains most of your virus claims, brilliantly and scientifically, but did not provide the necessary passcode to his presentation.

So, I'm writing to ask that you share the citations for the scientific evidence relied upon for the alleged disease-causing corona and influenza viruses as well as the passcode. Also scientific evidence of disease contagion, if you still assert contagion.

This is important because, as you know, many people including myself have already looked into these "virus" claims and found there to be no valid evidence to support them. Also, attempts to prove contagion of respiratory disease have failed quite miserably. And as Reiner noted, if you don't get the facts right then your analysis is useless.

(Reiner cited Mike Yeadon in the "virus" section of his article as well, and so I have copied Mike. Also copied are RFK Jr. and Mary Holland since Jay consults for CHD and/or RFK Jr., and with Robert hoping to act as president we need to make sure, now more than ever, that he has solid science to back up his position on "viruses".)

Reiner, for more details on what was really happening in Italy, I recommend Italy 2020: Behind the Claim of Covid's Ground Zero, by Michael Bryant: https://planetwavesfm.substack.com/p/italy-2020-behind-the-claim-of-covids#details

Thanks and best wishes, Christine



Dear Christine, Dear Jay,

Just like you and Mike, I don't believe anymore that there ever was a novel Corona virus. A very recent video by Sucharit Bhakdi, which we posted on our telegram channels is very good but seems to still believe in the dangerous novel Corona virus theory, which was confirmed by the "gain of function" experiments (unfortunately, this video also refers to Pascal Najadi's case against Pfizer, which has many question marks, to phrase it carefully). Jay, ICIC would very much love to interview you/have you give us the presentation you gave CHD as soon as possible. Would you be interested?

I did say, Christine, that there are four endemic Corona viruses which are included in many flus and colds. And I provided the link to the Jay's presentation in my original email (which was not meant to be an article, but is the foundation for major international law suits for damages). Here's the link:

https://us06web.zoom.us/rec/share/Pj\_rVq7yKa63WLesmp8sYWB9ALBMZS9SzuMj8x8sD3jNqEZU8UIGVWX\_DWL1FocR.\_hNhgFWHmJO61SUv

I know, of course, about the "no virus", and the "no scientific isolation of the allegedly novel Corona virus" theory. And I whish my former co host and Wolfgang Wodarg hadn't treated Stefan Lanka and Andrew Kaufmann the way they did when we interviewed them. We will repeat that interview on ICIC, but even though I believe that there has never been a scientifically valid isolation of the virus (only invalid computer games took place) I agree with Mike Yeadon that despite the serious doubts about the virus-theory, there's not yet enough to discard viruses all together. As I am a lawyer, I don't even think it is relevant for our case (even though it is additional, not just circumstantial, but direct evidence that these bumbling monsters are lying to us whenever they open their mouths).

I not only believe that for many months now we (the international group of attorneys) have had more than enough evidence to file big intl. complaints for damages, and, of course, criminal cases. The problem has always been to find the right court that would give us a fair hearing and look at this evidence, listen to our experts. I have been involved in many efforts to get through in one of the system's courts (we started out in Canada, then went to South Africa, then to the US, then back to Canada (there's a class action complaint pending in Vancouver, BC), always investing tons of time and energy, but to no avail. However, we have now found the perfect legal platform on which we will definitely be able to get a fair hearing, and have our expert witnesses questioned. Within a few days we will be able to make this public, but the people who we re working with need just a few more days to get everything ready.

And yes, we know what happened in Bergamo, Italy (or New York City, for that matter), that will be part of the evidence we will present to the above mentioned court.

Best,

Reiner

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com> Wed, Apr 19, 2023 at 8:34 AM

Hi Reiner,

Thank you for the link, which I already had. It's the passcode that I hoped you would make available, because I can't watch Jay's presentation without the passcode. Would you please send it?

Yes, I realize that you wrote about 4 alleged endemic corona viruses which are allegedly found everywhere including cases of flus and colds, and thank you for confirming that this claim is part of the foundation for major international law suits. It's the scientific evidence relied upon for that claim that I'm looking for. Would you or Jay please forward or cite the evidence?

Just to clarify: "no virus" and "no scientific isolation of the allegedly novel Corona virus" is not a theory, it's the refutation of a hypothesis. No one has a sample of the alleged virus to establish that it has a physical existence let alone fits the definition of a virus, just as with all other alleged "viruses" (as evidenced by the published literature along with the vast collection of FOIA responses collected from the CDC and elsewhere). "Viruses" have never actually reached the level of theory, which would require the hypothesis to withstand repeated testing in accordance with the scientific method. "Virus theory" is a misnomer for that reason.

There is no need for anything further from the no-virus side, because the onus is on those who make the positive claim that viruses do exist. Which is why I've written to you.

I'm not sure how the nonexistence of SARS-COV-2 could be irrelevant to any "covid" court case, when its existence was the premise of the entire covid operation. I don't see how you could make arguments involving the tests, the "cases", the alleged fatality rate, etc, without taking a position one way or the other. Apparently you intend to argue that there were 4 endemic coronaviruses rather than 1 novel coronavirus.

So, to reiterate, I'm writing to ask that you please share/cite the scientific evidence relied upon for the 4 alleged endemic disease-causing coronaviruses (and the influenza viruses that you wrote about). This would include evidence of disease contagion, assuming that you still assert contagion. Also the passcode for Jay's presentation.

Thank you and best wishes in exposing these crimes against humanity, Christine

Dr. Reiner Fuellmich	Wed. Apr 19, 2023 at 10:52 Al	

Hi Christine,

There was no passcode necessary to open the link. I was able to open it without a link. Maybe Jay has it.

I realize that those who claim there is sth (a virus, for example) that others dispute, have to show and prove that that sth exists. And, just like Dr. Mike Yeadon, I have serious doubts about pretty much everything the other side (Mr. Global) has been telling us, including viruses.

However, if I am going to attack in a court of law, I need to take the safest route. As we have seen from numerous attempts at getting a court even to look at the evidence, it is easier to get a judge to look at PCR tests and its misuse (there are four decisions that I am aware of that explicitly state that no measures can be based on the PCR test, as that test cannot tell us anything about infections) than to get them to look at what they think is established, unquestionable science. We may very well, however, question the existence of viruses on that independent judicial platform that I hope to be able to talk about in a few days.

I also realize that those who believe

- that they have done everything to show that the other side cannot show that viruses exist,
- have become, in the meantime pretty frustrated and getting angry that the other side in their view cannot show/prove that viruses exist.

But I am a lawyer, not a scientist, and I have to go the safest way to win my case. Therefore, if there is a safe way (PCR tests, as the Portuguese, Austrian, German, and Turkish cases show) then I'll take it over one that requires me to get a judge to cross a (maybe only psychological, but still) threshold that he or she needs to be willing to cross despite the – alleged - established fact that viruses exist.

To make this clear: Had Leslie Manookian decided to win her mask mandate case (the one she won in Florida on April 14, 2022) based on the allegation that Joe Biden and his administration are all insane or that he needs to be hooked up to a 12 volt car batterie every morning to get his act together, it probably wouldn't have worked (and I know that quite a few people believe that they are all insane and that Joe Biden doesn't know who he is, etc.). Instead she challenged the governments authority /CDC's authority and won. Again: I am not a scientist, just a lawyer who needs to look at the scientific evidence, try to understand it, and then make a case out of it. As Mike and Jay are on this thread, they will probably be able to provide you with whatever scientific evidence you ask of them. My email was just an email with a draft/idea of how to go about putting a case together. It was never intended to become an article with footnotes, etc. In fact, someone else made it into an article (I don't even know who).

I believe it's good to fight/argue/debate over whether or not viruses exist, and when the time comes, I may even take a side. And by the way: ICIC will interview Stefan Lanka, Andrew Kaufmann, Tom Cowan again so that they can explain about their view (the first attempt at doing such an interview was, unfortunately, handled in an inappropriate way by my former co host, and two others). But right now I have to focus on what needs to be done for the legal cases that are about to be brought.

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Apr 19, 2023 at 5:50 PM

Hi Reiner and Jay,

Hmm, I don't know what's happening because at the zoom link for the presentation I am required to enter a passcode. I've pasted a screenshot below. Perhaps Jay can help me out somehow?

Just to clarify: I'm not suggesting that you, Reiner, argue in court that anyone is insane. Certainly that would not be a useful strategy. I am only asking that you or Jay please share/cite the scientific evidence relied upon for the 4 alleged endemic disease-causing coronaviruses (and the influenza viruses that you wrote about), including the evidence of disease contagion, if you/Jay still assert contagion.

I do agree that there is much corruption in the courts and have had my own personal experiences with this during the last year. And here in Canada when a colleague tried to challenge the existence of SARS-COV-2 in a federal case, the man acting as judge simply accepted an invitation from "the Attorney General" to "take judicial notice that the virus is real", with no review of the related pseudoscience.

At the same time, it doesn't seem ethical or lawful, or productive in the long run, to make false arguments about coronaviruses that have never been shown to exist. So I encourage you to re-think your strategy. Fortunately it's not difficult to see the fatal flaws in the methods of virology.

On a bright note, several years ago Stefan Lanka was able to establish in Germany's highest court that the measles virus hadn't been shown to exist. His win in court was not simply based on a technicality (as the mainstream press leads people to believe), but on the fact that important control experiments had not been carried out. The same is true for the alleged SARS-COV-2, on top of the fact that its alleged physical existence hasn't been established.

I'm all for challenging a government's alleged authority as well, Reiner, absolutely.

Jay, the claim of 4 endemic coronaviruses that allegedly make some people sick is contained in one of your recent presentations to MD4CE, so if you would please provide/cite the scientific evidence that would be great. Same for any influenza viruses that you claim exist. And the evidence of disease contagion if you assert contagion. (My understanding is that Mike has moved on from the idea of respiratory viruses.) Also help accessing your presentation, if you don't mind. Thanks in advance.

All the best to everyone, Christine



We won't work with false arguments, Christine. We are lawyers. We work with the – correct - evidence that will help us win the case. Stefan Lanka's case was won, but the decision did not say that the measles virus doesn't exist, you're right: it was a technicality that helped the court say that those who had challenged him hat not been able to show that the virus doesn't exist. Again, I believe that a case can be made to show that viruses don't exist at all. But the hurdles you have to take are – at this point and in the system's courts – too high; it may be different in the court that we will address. But even there we will have to work with real scientists who - as far a I can tell – do believe that viruses exist, event though they have doubts. So why go the hard route when there is an easier way, and that is the PCR test, and the way it was misused to create cases that never existed? I've filed some of these PCR test cases in Germany, and the response I got is that this is a conspiracy theory, we don't need to look at the evidence, we simply take judicial notice of what the German equivalent of the CDC, the RKI say: We have a pandemic. But now we have a real court that will definitely look at the pcr test, and that's why we will go our cases that way.

Best,

R

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 20, 2023 at 4:31 PM

Hi Jay and Reiner,

Just to clarify: the lack of control experiments is not a "technicality" and is not what the mainstream press tells people about Stefan's trials. Controlled experiments are foundational to the scientific method, which is based on logic.

Also, beliefs of "scientists" are not a substitute for scientific evidence.

I'm having a hard time seeing how it would be possible to make arguments about a test for an alleged virus without either 1) exposing the facts that the virus was never shown to exist, no tests were needed and none could possibly be valid/accurate, or 2) arguing within a virus framework and making claims about the accuracy (i.e. 97% false positives) - which requires a gold standard. Or how a real scientist could believe that viruses exist and at the same time have doubts.

Anyways, I don't want to take up more of your time, so if Jay would please share or cite the evidence relied upon showing that 4 endemic coronaviruses and influenza viruses do exist, as well as contagion - if he still asserts contagion - that would be great, thank you.

Also instructions on how the public may access Jay's presentation, which sounds important. None of my colleagues have been able to access it. Thanks in advance.

All the best to everyone,

Christine

**Dr. Reiner Fuellmich** 

To: "Christine, of the Massey family" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Found the passcode:

https://us06web.zoom.us/rec/share/Pj\_r/vq7yKa63WLesmp8sYWB9ALBMZS9SzuMj8x8sD3jNqEZU8UIGVWX\_DWL1FocR.\_hNhgFWHmJO61SUv Passcode: oa7=JU48

Best,

R

Sat, Apr 22, 2023 at 2:30 AM

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Dr. Reiner Fuellmich"

That's great, thank you Reiner! Christine

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

To: "J.J. Couey'

Thu, Apr 27, 2023 at 2:07 PM

Hi Jay,

Reiner found the passcode and I watched your presentation.

You stated that you were only presenting a hypothesis, which is the same thing you told me on December 1, 2022. You also admitted that no-virus people are "largely correct", and "not wrong" in our objections regarding isolation/purification and metagenomics.

"It's frustrating because this is what gives the no virus people so much ground to stand on. It's what gives them this wonderful narrative where it sounds like everybody should just forget about it..."

"First of all, I assume that the protocols killed about everybody. It's hard for me to need any virus at all. When you do the real math, and you say, take away all the explainable deaths first, very little left for a real virus to have done. And I think people like Denis Rancourt and others have really come to that conclusion with the math."

Yet at the same time you stated that the narrative of no-virus and that the protocols caused all the deaths (which isn't actually what most no-virus people say) is "dangerous" because it somehow enables the whole thing to happen all over again. This makes no sense because our position is that there has never been any "virus" shown to exist, ever in the history of virology, and that contagion has never even been demonstrated (despite numerous efforts), thus there was no reason to get tested or for "covid-19" to even become a topic of discussion. This is the opposite of enabling the whole thing to happen again.

You also made claims/statements about influenza viruses, adenoviruses, clones of viruses and you stated that "synthetic viruses exist". You made claims about 4 endemic coronaviruses, SARS virus, SARS-COV-2 (you claimed that the SARS-COV-2 genome has been found intact via nanopore sequencing - you also stated this during your interview with Eric Coppolino, but you never followed through with the promised study that purportedly shows this).

"On this background we have been enriching and growing and finding and doing whatever with Coronaviruses, and they've been released over and over again, and increasing in the background that nobody's cared about. It is on this background that the pandemic occurred."

You claimed that PCR tests are "hot" for endemic/seeded coronaviruses, calling them "crazy specific".

My colleagues and I certainly don't want to misinform anyone, so if you would please share or cite the evidence relied upon for these claims, as well as contagion - if you assert contagion - that would be great, thank you.

Best wishes, Christine No response from Jay as of May 4, 2023