

Will any qualified person challenge me 1-on-1 on anything I claim to be true? I doubt it.

If you have a real name, an h-index ≥ 7 , a bio, $> 1,000$ followers, and you disagree with me on something I said or wrote, you qualify. Any takers?



STEVE KIRSCH
JUN 11, 2023



402




349



17

Share



Executive summary

I'm getting the feeling nobody with any academic credentials wants to challenge me on anything I say.

Let's see if I'm right.

If you think anything I say is wrong, I invite you to challenge me.

To accept

To accept my challenge, simply reply to the PINNED comment below with these 6 items:

1. **Name:** Your real name
2. **Content:** Your contact info (e.g., if you use your Twitter account, give me your twitter handle and follow me so I can DM you)
3. **Followers:** Evidence that you have at least 1,000 followers on Twitter or other social media (i.e., that people respect you), e.g., a link to your profile page
4. **Bio:** A link to your bio
5. **H-index:** Proof you have an h-index ≥ 7 (mine is 7).
6. **Topic:** The specific topic you want to challenge me on, e.g., vaccines cause autism, vaccines in general are bad, etc.

Note: I qualify for the debate using this criteria.

Note: I qualify for the debate using this criteria.

The rules are simple

I'll set up a Twitter Space or Zoom call. The rules of engagement are super-simple and the same for both parties:

1. No ad hominem attacks
2. Make your arguments on facts, evidence, and science (not speculation or hand-waving arguments without evidentiary support).
3. Max of 2 minutes of continuous talk time before yielding the floor (so it isn't a monolog)
4. 1-on-1 debate (just me and you)
5. No moderator
6. Stick to the topic specified in the acceptance
7. If one side breaks the rules, the other side can terminate the debate.


The goal is simply to find the truth if we disagree.

Suggested topics for debate

Suggested topics for debate

I claim, among other things, that:

1. ICYMI: This [article by Joel Smalley appeared over 1 year ago](#). It is crystal clear evidence that the vaccines are killing people. Right from the government of Alberta, Canada. They are now hiding this data.
2. The medical community is inept; how could it not acknowledge that vaccines cause autism?
3. The Paul Thomas autism paper was unethically retracted and should be restored
4. Andrew Wakefield was right: vaccines cause autism
5. No vaccines, no mother vaccinated during pregnancy, and no k-shot → better health outcomes than fully vaccinated
6. There are no studies that compare the FULLY unvaccinated with the FULLY vaccinated that shows statistically significant better health outcomes for the FULLY vaccinated on a broad range of health conditions (i.e., chronic diseases).
7. Vaccines cause autism and other chronic health conditions
8. The gold-standard Medicare data proves that the COVID vaccines are not safe
9. The COVID vaccines have killed more people than they've saved
10. Masks don't work
11. Social distancing doesn't work

-
11. Social distancing doesn't work
 12. Lockdowns did more harm than good
 13. Mandates are unethical
 14. Anything in my PA Senate testimony
 15. The COVID virus exists and you can order it from ATCC and match the GenBank sequence 
 16. Anything else I've said verbally or claimed in writing

The lame excuses

The excuse given by the vaccine industry / public health to avoid an open forum discussion regarding the safety and effectiveness of vaccines is that they cannot give a platform to anti-vaxxers and risk vaccine hesitancy is now irrelevant.

Hesitancy, irrespective of the opinion of how it came to be, is here. The tables are turned.

If they want to get people to take the shots, they now have to take me down.

But they won't because they can't.



Christine Massey FOIs (Banned) Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 3 hr ago · edited 2 hr ago

Interesting how Steve's criteria favour "academics" with mainstream views on any topic. LOL.

It's pretty hard to get a paper published that challenges/exposes the bogus "virus" or "germ" paradigm and poses a massive threat to the medical establishment and political interests. Hence Stefan Lanka has not been able to publish the results of his control experiments except in his own magazine - despite having won in Germany's highest court years ago showing that there is zero science demonstrating the existence of the imaginary measles virus.

And interesting how Steve uses h-index as a measure of "qualification" yet didn't specify that the publications need to have anything to do with the topic being discussed.

I'd love to see Steve's - or anyone else's - scientific publications showing the existence of any "virus" lol. In fact, that's all that's needed to settle this - SHOW US THE SCIENCE.

And funny how Steve conflates having a social media presence with being "qualified".

I have a higher h-index than Steve (https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=christine+massey+cancer&btnG=), but was kicked off twitter in summer 2021 when I had 7,500 followers and my "appeal" was recently rejected, so that rules me out.

And let's face it, Steve has already run away from THREE virus-existence debates.

The real reason I now refuse to debate Steve Kirsch (or engage with him, Richard Fleming or Kevin McCairn) (hint: it's not what Steve tells his readers)

Posted July 24, 2022:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/why-i-now-refuse-to-debate-steve-kirsch-or-richard-fleming-or-kevin-mccairn/>

What a joke.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮

What a joke.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



Pamela Burns 2 hr ago

This is NOT Rocket Science...Either Have a Real Debate With Steve or Remain Insignificant

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY (2) ⋮



Christine Massey FOIs (Banned) Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 2 hr ago ·
edited 2 hr ago

Remain insignificant? Lol, I'm not insignificant to begin with, so not sure how that could work.

Debates, especially with Steve's ridiculous parameters, are not the way to reach a conclusion on a scientific issue. What Steve proposes is entertainment, a circus, a contest of who has the better sound-bites. God help anyone who relies on such entertainment to decide what the truth is.

Steve already ran from no less than 3 virus-existence topics. He's already wasted the time of myself and others who were arranging a debate with him, long ago, until he ran away and lied to his readers about what actually happened, as documented on my site:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/why-i-now-refuse-to-debate-steve-kirsch-or-richard-fleming-or-kevin-mccairn/>.

Steve would have to issue public retractions and apologies towards myself and all my no-virus colleagues, who he has lied about and screwed around with, before I'd even consider having anything to do with him.

Official Evidence that Virology is Pseudoscience - Christine Massey June 10 2023

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/gvu4NbieSuVb/>

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ⋮



WayneBGood Writes I Protest 2 hr ago · *edited 2 hr ago*

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/gvu4NbieSuVb/>

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ...



WayneBGood Writes I Protest 2 hr ago · *edited 2 hr ago*

Lots of "no-virus" people are willing to come here, be polite and accept that we have different views on this one subject but agree on others.

However, there are just a few in the no-virus crowd who are full of bitterness and hate. It really doesn't help their no-virus cause but there it is. Steve Kirsch ran a few "no-virus" articles to talk about the subject which are in the archive.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ...



Christine Massey FOIs (Banned) Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 2 hr ago

There are people full of bitterness and hate everywhere, including in the yes-virus crowd! Steve's colleague Kevin McCairn being an especially vulgar example, as documented on my webpage below.

And Steve's habit of lying about no-virus people, and misleading his readers about them, doesn't help his case in the long-run. Many were shocked to see that it was in fact Steve who ran from debates:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/why-i-now-refuse-to-debate-steve-kirsch-or-richard-fleming-or-kevin-mccairn/>

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ...



WayneBGood Writes I Protest 1 hr ago

Well, you've said your usual things so let's wrap this up. As you know, a few off-

[fleming-or-kevin-mccairn/](#)

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



WayneBGood Writes I Protest 2 hr ago

Well, you've said your usual things so let's wrap this up. As you know, a few off-topic subjects are ok but the other commenters deserve some consideration and don't need to be subjected to long, off-topic posts.

You're not here to talk about the subject but to yell at Steve Kirsch. Since you have accomplished your mission, can you give the other commenters a break now?

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



Christine Massey FOIs (Banned) Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N...

1 hr ago

I weighed in on Steve's debate challenge, entirely on-topic. It's actually your comments and only yours that have been entirely off-topic on this thread. I'm doing my best to stay on-topic.

The real reason I now refuse to debate Steve Kirsch (or engage with him, Richard Fleming or Kevin McCairn)

(hint: it's not what Steve tells his readers)

Posted July 24, 2022:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/why-i-now-refuse-to-debate-steve-kirsch-or-richard-fleming-or-kevin-mccairn/>

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



WayneBGood Writes I Protest 42 min ago

Since you have accomplished your mission, can you give the other commenters a break now?

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



WayneBGood Writes I Protest 43 min ago

Since you have accomplished your mission, can you give the other commenters a break now?

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



Christine Massey FOIs (Banned)

Writes Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... 25 min ago

User was banned for this comment. [Hide](#)

That's now your 3rd comment where you literally make no reference to Steve's debate challenge. Seems to me you're more concerned with getting the last word in and giving the false impression that I've done something wrong/inappropriate. And no, I didn't "yell" at Steve, contrary to your earlier comment.

You could demonstrate that you're really concerned about off-topic comments by remaining silent now.

The real reason I now refuse to debate Steve Kirsch (or engage with him, Richard Fleming or Kevin McCairn) (hint: it's not what Steve tells his readers)

Posted July 24, 2022:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/why-i-now-refuse-to-debate-steve-kirsch-or-richard-fleming-or-kevin-mccairn/>

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮

1 new reply

Posted July 24, 2022:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/why-i-now-refuse-to-debate-steve-kirsch-or-richard-fleming-or-kevin-mccairn/>

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



WayneBGood Writes I Protest 28 min ago · *edited 27 min ago*

OK, now you're banned for trolling, if anyone wants more of this they can go to your substack.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY ⋮