

## valid scientific evidence of "HIV"?

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: gregg.gonsalves@yale.edu Sun, Mar 10, 2024 at 4:15 PM

Greetings Gregg,

I'm writing to challenge you to cite valid primary and scientific evidence showing that "HIV" (the specific alleged particle with the purported genome and proteins) exists and causes AIDS.

Reminder: scientific proof/evidence requires use of the scientific method to test falsifiable hypotheses through valid, rigorous, repeatable controlled experiments.

I also challenge you to cite valid scientific evidence of contagion.

Your response or non-response will be made public.

Best wishes, Christine



# valid scientific evidence of "HIV"?

**Gonsalves, Gregg** <gregg.gonsalves@yale.edu> To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 11, 2024 at 6:39 AM

#### https://www.aidstruth.org/

[Quoted text hidden]



## valid scientific evidence of "HIV"?

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Gonsalves, Gregg" <gregg.gonsalves@yale.edu> Mon, Mar 11, 2024 at 11:03 AM

Thanks Gregg, but a URL is not valid primary, scientific evidence of anything.

Can you cite specific studies that meet the challenge? [Quoted text hidden]



## valid scientific evidence of "HIV"?

**Gonsalves, Gregg** <gregg.gonsalves@yale.edu> To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com> Mon, Mar 11, 2024 at 11:22 AM

I actually don't deal with denialists. I sent you a compendium of evidence that you can easily access through the links of this site.

Have a good day and do not contact me again.

[Quoted text hidden]



## valid scientific evidence of "HIV"?

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com> To: "Gonsalves, Gregg" <gregg.gonsalves@yale.edu> Mon, Mar 11, 2024 at 12:19 PM

Hi Gregg,

I've looked at the Myths page on the site you sent and it doesn't even address the existence of "HIV". All of the so-called "myths" are either premised on the alleged existence of "HIV", or don't involve "HIV".

Of the 5 "Scientific Studies" listed under "The Discovery of HIV and its relationship to AIDS", 1 is a retrospective, 1 is actually a website and 1 refers to some videos and the hyperlinked page says "Page Not Found".

The 2 actual studies listed are not scientific (the scientific method was not applied). They describe indirect unvalidated tests, and culturing that lacks both a valid independent and dependent variable. They rely on circular reasoning and are not designed to show the existence of a disease-causing particle.

In neither study was a particle even found in bodily fluid/tissue, purified and then sequenced and characterized.

I'll be publishing these emails, so would you like to make any final comment?

Christine [Quoted text hidden]