Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 21, 2023 at 5:24 PM

Dear Del.

I hope this finds you well! Thanks for all your efforts to warn people of harm caused by quackcines!

You may have heard about my investigation into claims of viruses. I'd really appreciate your input. Attached are some papers wherein authors claimed to have isolated and sequenced the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (including the CDC paper, the Canadian paper and the earliest papers out of China).



I have some questions for you and the ICAN team.

 Have you read the Methods that were used in these papers, or in any other "SARS-COV-2 isolation/sequencing" papers? If so, do you consider them valid and scientific?

Keeping in mind that "SARS-COV-2" is said to be an infectious, replication-competent, obligate intracellular parasite that consists of a genome (of which there are millions of versions uploaded online) and a proteinaceous shell that is encoded by the genome, that spreads from host to host causing disease via natural modes of exposure...

2. Can you cite even 1 document (i.e. "isolation/sequencing" paper, "gain of function" paper, patent) wherein the scientific method was applied and the alleged "SARS-COV-2", or any other alleged virus, natural or man-made, was shown to exist?

#### If not:

- . Why are you continually talking as though you know viruses exist?
- Why do you let governments and other perpetrators off the hook for having to prove the existence of alleged "viruses"? Why are you "giving them the viruses for free"?
- Why do you defend dogma that keeps people in fear, makes them easy to manipulate, and has been weaponized
  against humanity for a very long time?
- Will you please stop doing these things and lend support to the Settling the Virus Debate statement?
- The following essays are recommended reading for you and your colleagues: https://drsambailey.com/the-covid-19-fraud-war-on-humanity/ https://drsambailey.com/a-farewell-to-virology-expert-edition/

To help with your answers, recall that scientific proof is not merely opinion, belief, speculation, and/or descriptive papers, and that scientific proof requires:

- · Use of the scientific method
- Repeatable and falsifiable hypotheses that have been tested using valid, controlled experiments where only 1 variable differs between the experimental and control groups
- In this case, the 1 manipulated variable would be the presence/absence of purified particles suspected of being a virus
- Consistent results from valid, controlled experiments and procedures (i.e. identical "genomes", consistent in vivo effects).

Looking forward to hearing from you.

Thanks and best wishes, Christine Massey Ontario, Canada

#### https://tinyurl.com/novirusisolated

#### 5 attachments

Zhu NEJM A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019 SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX.pdf

176K

Zhu NEJM A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019.pdf 910K

Wu.pdf 7165K

Zhou A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin.pdf

**CDC Harcourt June 2020.pdf** 3931K

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 21, 2023 at 5:27 PM

To

p.s. I'm copying in some of your colleagues :) [Quoted text hidden]

### 2 attachments



bailey-farewell-virology 220915.pdf

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 28, 2023 at 10:49 PM

Hi Del!

To: Del

I hope you're doing well:)

Just wondering if you could please share your scientific evidence for "viruses"? There is a lot of curiosity on this topic! People around the world are learning about virology and wondering if there is any science behind any of it. If you have some, it's really important to let the world know!

Thanks in advance :) Cheers!

Christine

CatharineLayton <

Wed, Mar 1, 2023 at 7:23 AM

To: "Christine, of the Massey family" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Cc:

Keep watching The HighWire- we are planning to have a show covering this topic very soon!

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

----- Original Message ------

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Mar 1, 2023 at 10:52 AM

To: CatharineLayton < Cc:

Hi Catharine and Del,

I don't actually watch the Highwire because I've done extensive research along with many other people and come to the conclusion that no "virus" has ever been shown to exist. Del and the Highwire, on the other hand, continue talking about them as though they do exist, along with pandemics, gain of function research and vaccines. Hence my questions.

Are either of you willing to answer?

Cheers, Christine

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 10, 2023 at 4:10 PM

To: CatharineLayton <

Cc: Del

Greetings Catharine and Del,

I hope this finds you well:)

Are either of you willing to answer my questions? I'll be publishing your response or non-response, as the case may be, possibly later today.

Cheers, Christine

Christine, of the Massey family <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Apr 12, 2023 at 10:17 AM

To: @icandecide.com>

Hi Del,

I hope you're well. I'm following up for a couple of reasons.

During your recent talk with Neil Degrasse Tyson you complained that the scientific method died. But confusingly you also spoke of "scientists" being "proven right" in regards to "this virus" and "variants".

Catherine had told me on March 1st that you were "planning to have a show covering this topic very soon". But then in your rant about no-virus people (Ep 310, Highwire: https://twitter.com/polybadger/status/1634006881080410119) you pushed this discussion "further down the road". So I'm writing to ask for this scientific proof which must begin with the existence of the alleged virus and contagion.

Also, during your rant you equated virology being pseudoscience with Fauci being innocent and with no-virus people wanting to let Fauci and all convid perpetrators off the hook for all their crimes of the last 3 years.

I don't know where you got this idea but I can assure you that nothing could be further from the truth and in fact the opposite is true. Fauci and many other people around the world are complicit in crimes against humanity relating to fake-virus terrorism and carnage which began long before "covid". We want all of the fraud/delusion exposed (so that humanity never gets duped that way again and no longer lives in fear of imaginary pathogens) and we want the real crimes dealt with.

Hopefully you have already seen Tom Cowan's response to your rant; if not you can find the 2 minute clip on his Facebook page (March 16).

Lastly, I'd just like to remind you that scientific method has nothing to do with consensus or with the pedigree of the scientists involved.

Best wishes, Christine [Quoted text hidden]

#### re ICAN's "novel FOIA approach" newsletter

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: info@icandecide.org, press@icandecide.org, whistleblower@icandecide.org,

Tue, Jan 30, 2024 at 5:39 PM

Greetings Del and everyone at ICAN,

A colleague sent me a newsletter that was sent out yesterday about ICAN's "novel FOIA approach", highlighting FOIs involving California's Department of PH (CDPH).

What a coincidence, because just 2 days earlier I published an article about a FOIA response from CDPH confessing that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" or any "vax" schedule "virus" being found in and purified from any "host", anywhere, ever - which means that they, like everyone else on the planet, have no valid scientific evidence that any of these alleged "viruses" even exist.

I notice that your newsletter implies that some people aren't persistent enough with their FOI requests:

"As you can see, FOIA is a process that takes patience and persistence. It is not as simple as filing one request and receiving documents."

(but also that getting a "no records" response and leaving it at that is fine for some of ICAN's purposes).

In case you're not connecting the dots: in the FOIs that I collect, institutions are challenged to provide evidence that they would be happy and motivated to provide if it actually existed. Yet there are now responses on my site from 220 institutions in 40 countries, and all of them failed to cite even 1 record of "SARS-COV-2" being found and purified from a sick person.

(The "genomes" have never even been found intact, all are hypothetical, assembled in silico creations - all 16+ million versions, and no "SARS-COV-2" sequence or protein has been shown to come from a specific particle let alone one fitting the definition of a "virus". Nor has contagion of "covid" symptoms been demonstrated.)

We also have dozens of responses on other alleged "viruses", including from the CDC regarding all "viruses" listed in their childhood and adult "vaccine" schedules. And FOIs on contagion and on the lack of necessary controls in virology.

The 100% failure rate in these responses align with what is seen in the virology literature. It was the literature, the work of no-virus colleagues and key admissions from Mount Sinai Hospital in Toronto (2020) and the CDC (March 1, 2021) regarding the pseudoscientific nature of virology are what prompted me to expand to other "viruses" beyond "SARS-COV-2".

Meanwhile almost all of the FOIs featured in your newsletter and your "virus" work in general actively perpetuate the core invented narrative, the foundational fraud/delusion that facilitates immeasurable carnage across the planet and is foundational to the "success" of new narratives i.e. "Disease X":

"breakthrough infections"

"reinfections"

"variants"

"vaccine-resistant variants"

"covid-19 death"

"gain of function"...

Therefore, I challenge you to cite valid scientific evidence showing the existence of any alleged "virus". I think we all already know that you can't, but I'm giving you the chance to prove me wrong and to show that you really are all about "the science" as you claim to be.

Christine Massey

----- Forwarded message -----

From: ICAN Legal Update <noreply@info.icandecide.org>

Date: Mon, Jan 29, 2024 at 2:59 PM

Subject: ICAN's Novel FOIA Approach Produces Unprecedented Results

To:



A significant amount of the breaking news that ICAN has had about our federal health agencies is thanks to information it has uncovered under one single piece of legislation: The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). ICAN's attorneys have used this Act to uncover an amazing amount of information that our government would prefer not to be public—including our recent update revealing that CDC knew about breakthrough infections as early as March 2021! But it's no simple process. Here's an inside look at how the FOIA process works.

The Freedom of Information Act is a law that allows the public to monitor how (and if) the federal government is fulfilling its responsibilities. Since federal employees work for the American people—we pay their salaries with our taxes—we have the right to see what they are doing. While there are

some restrictions on what the public can view (such as national security or trade secrets), anyone can request access to agency records, including emails, meeting memos, reports, etc.

ICAN's attorneys, led by Aaron Siri, have submitted close to 1,800 FOIA requests to date. Making the request is just the first step though. Siri outlined this complex process on a recent episode of *The HighWire*. Here are just some of the details on the FOIA request that led to our recent update about breakthrough infections, a related request regarding reinfection, and additional requests which resulted from these. The saga began over two years ago in 2021:

- July 16, 2021: ICAN, through its attorneys, files a FOIA request for any documents received by CDC from the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) relating to COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections.
- **July 19, 2021:** ICAN files a second FOIA request for any documents received by CDC from CDPH relating to cases of COVID-19 re-infections.
- **September 8, 2021:** CDC denies both requests, stating that it cannot release the records due to a Data Use Agreement it has with the State of California.
- October 15, 2021: ICAN submits a third FOIA request, this time asking for a copy of that Data Use Agreement.
- October 19, 2021: ICAN files an appeal for both original FOIA requests, claiming that CDC's reliance on the Data Use Agreement to deny the requests was improper.
- **December 9, 2021:** In an unusual move, CDC doesn't wait for the appeal to be decided and instead asks that the requests be remanded to CDC for further processing.
- June 24, 2022: Finally, after 49 weeks, three requests, and an appeal, ICAN receives documents from CDC regarding the first FOIA request on breakthrough infections. And it was worth the wait! Read more about what the documents revealed in our previous update. The response also mentioned that there were five pages held back that were being evaluated by the Department of Defense (DOD).

- **July 20, 2022:** CDC responds to the second FOIA request on cases of COVID-19 reinfections claiming it can't find a single record.
- **July 20, 2022:** On the same day, CDC also responds to the third FOIA request for the Data Use Agreement claiming it can't find that either!
- **August 17, 2022:** ICAN files an appeal for the third FOIA request arguing that CDC failed to conduct an adequate search for the Data Use Agreement given that CDC relied on it to deny the initial request it must exist, no? Seems not.
- **September 26, 2022:** ICAN files an appeal for the first FOIA request arguing that the CDC failed to conduct a thorough search for documents pertaining to breakthrough infections. While CDC had produced documents related to this request on June 24, there was evidence that other relevant documents had been left out.
- October 17, 2022: ICAN files an appeal for the second FOIA request arguing that CDC failed to conduct an adequate search for records on re-infection.
- **January 3, 2023:** The DOD produces the five pages from the first FOIA request which included July 2021 emails from a DOD contractor noting there were 80,000 breakthrough cases among 7 million fully vaccinated Medicare beneficiaries with a 10% hospitalization rate and 3% death rate.

There is more but the above is sufficient to give you a taste of just part of the life cycle of two related FOIA requests, neither of which are complete and may require additional action, including potentially a lawsuit if CDC does not satisfy the pending appeals. As you can see, FOIA is a process that takes patience and persistence. It is not as simple as filing one request and receiving documents. ICAN is thankful for supporters like you who help fund this vital work.

See below for more instances where ICAN used FOIA to uncover "health" agencies making unsupported claims to the public:

- ICAN DEMANDS ANSWERS ABOUT DEATH DISCREPANCIES IN PFIZER'S CLINICAL TRIAL
- CDC ADMITS ONCE AND FOR ALL IT HAS NO BASIS FOR ITS CLAIM THAT COVID-19 VACCINES DO NOT CAUSE VARIANTS

- THE CDC'S RESPONSE TO SCIENTIFIC INQUIRY: BECAUSE WE SAID SO!
- CDC HAS NO RECORDS TO SUPPORT CLAIM THAT COVID VACCINES DO NOT CAUSE VARIANTS
- CDC CANNOT PROVIDE AN INSTANCE OF A SINGLE CONFIRMED COVID-19 DEATH IN A CHILD YOUNGER THAN 16
- CDC CANNOT BACK UP ITS "FACTS" REGARDING POTENTIAL GENETIC MUTATION FROM COVID-19 VACCINES

To share this legal update, please use this link: https://icandecide.org/press-release/icans-novel-foia-approach-produces-unprecedented-results/



If boyes888@gmail.com should not be subscribed or if you need to change your subscription information for The HighWire, please use this preferences page.

1401 Lavaca Street, Unit #7022, Austin, TX 78701



#### Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

### re ICAN's "novel FOIA approach" newsletter

ICAN Whistleblower < Whistleblower@icandecide.org>
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" < cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 31, 2024 at 2:17 PM

Thank you for your email and information. We will forward this to our team and if we have further questions, we will be in touch with you. We are honored to hear your ideas and for you to reach out to us. It is this kind of collaboration that moves us forward.

Respectfully,

Kari Black

**ICAN** 

### re ICAN's "novel FOIA approach" newsletter

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Mar 6, 2025 at 9:18 PM

To: ICAN Whistleblower < Whistleblower@icandecide.org>, info@icandecide.org, press@icandecide.org,

Good evening,

This is Del's last chance to prove that he is actually *not* a lying piece of shit and really does "follow the science" as claimed.

- viruses (SARS-COV-2, measles virus, avian influenza virus...)
- contagion
- "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" actually found in anyone
- measles quackcine (that he now promotes) works

Cite the valid scientific evidence, within the next week.

His response or lack thereof will be made public.

Christine

### re ICAN's "novel FOIA approach" newsletter

Thu, Mar 6, 2025 at 9:52 PM

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com> Thu, Ma To: ICAN Whistleblower <Whistleblower@icandecide.org>, info@icandecide.org, press@icandecide.org,

p.s. Also the evidence that "measles" is a distinct illness lol. [Quoted text hidden]